

COLLEGE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE ROLL VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Fair 1 - Poor

## OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (OPCR)

I, Santiago T. Peña, Jr., Dean of the College of Veterinary Medicine, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following Accomplishment in accordance with the indicated m

SANTIAGO TIPEÑA, JR.

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Rating Equivalents:

TION ON P	ERSONNEL FULL-TIME TEACHING EQUIV	Total FTE (1x2)	RDE Commitments***							
	Personnel	Number (1)	Min. FTE (2)		Research	Publication	Extension			
	Department Head   Faculty w/ Univ. Designated Position	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0			
	Regular Faculty (VSL)*	0	0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0	0			
	Regular Faculty (TLS)* Part time Faculty	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0			
	Admin Staff Members	0	ŏ -	0.0	0.0	V	O,			
TOTAL:	2 111 21 21 21 21				0.0	0	0			

Note:

INFORMAT

- \* On vacation-sick-leave status. Ratio of Instruction and RDE commitments should determined based on FTE of 18 units
- \*\* On Teacher's Leave status. Minimum FTE required is 18 units
- \*\*\* Professors and Associate Professors are required to commit RDE outputs (Please Performance Indicators under Research (UMFO 3) and extension (UMFO 4)

					Actual Accomplishments			F	Ratin	g		1
MFO No.		Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Units/Perso Responsib		% Accomplishment as of June 2021	Details of Accom- plishments	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark (Details of the targetted output indicators with **)	
MFO 2	HIGHER	EDUCATION SERVICES (50%)										7
		entage of first time licensure exam takers that icensure exams *	CVM	61%	53.33%		5;	5	4	4.67		7.5%
	Pl 2. Perc employed	entage of graduates (2 years prior) that are	CVM	82%	95%		5;	5	5	5.00		2.5%
		entage of undergraduate student population CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority *	CVM	100%	100%		5.	5	5	5.00	,	2.5%
	Pl 4. Pero accreditat	entage of undergraduate programs with ions *	CVM	100%	100%		5	5	5	5.00		2.5%
	PI 5: Tota	al FTE, coordinated, implemented and monitored *	CVM	100	255.88		5	5	5	5.00		20%
	PI 8: Nu	mber of students advised: *							7	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

				The second secon	- Annual Contract of the last		Section of the last				
	On thesis/ field practice/special problem	CVM	12	20		5	4	5	4.67		1%
	No. of approved manuscript submitted within prescribed period	CVM	15	20		5	5	5	5.00		1%
	On consultation	CVM	50	20		5	4	5	4.67		0.5%
	PI 9: Number of student organizations advised/								-		
	Student organizations advised	CVM	3	3		5	4	5	4.67		1.25%
	Student organizations assisted on student related activities	CVM	3	3		5	4	5	4.67		1.25%
	PI 10: Number of instructional materials developed *								-	Titles and name of faculty	10%
	On-line ready courseware	CVM	27	43		5	5	5	5.00		
	Flexible instructional materials	CVM	27	43		5	5	5	5.00		
	Assessment tools	CVM	54	43		5	5	5	5.00		
	PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized	CVM	27	43		5	5	5	5.00		
	PI 11: Additional Outputs - No of instructional materials reviewed	CVM	27	43		5	5	5	5.00		
MFO 3	RESEARCH SERVICES (10%)								-		
	PI 1. Number of research outputs in the last three (3) years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries *	CVM	3	3		5	4	5	4.67		2%
	Pl 2. Number of research outputs completed within the year	CVM	2	0 (ongoing)		5	4	5	4.67		2%
	PI 3. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-referred or CHED recognized journal within the year *								-		
emanderi nace anameninini	In refereed int'l journals	CVM	5	60%		5	5	5	5.00		1.50%
	In refereed nat'l/regional journals	CVM	0	0		5	4	5	4.67		0.50%
	PI 4. Number of research outputs presented in regional/national/ int'l fora/conferences *								-		
	In int'l fora/conferences	CVM	0	0		5	4	5	4.67		1.50%
***************************************	In nat'l/regional fora/conferences	CVM	1	2		5	5	5	5.00		0.50%
	PI 5. Percent of research proposals approved *	CVM	20%	75%		5	5	5	5.00		0.5%
	PI 6. Additional outputs *								-		

(9)

10%

	No. of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)	CVM	0	0		5	4 4	4.33	1.50%
MFO 4	EXTENSION SERVICES (10%)					$\dagger$	$\dagger$	<b>†</b> -	1
	PI1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities *	CVM	3	0 (2 due for signing)		5	5	4.67	2.0%
	PI 2. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training *	CVM	833	-		T	T	-	2%
	PI 3. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs *	CVM	3	3		5	5	4.67	2%
	Pl 4. Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance*	CVM	90%	99%		5 5	5	5.00	1%
	PI 5. Number of technical/expert services *	CVM				$\top$	T	-	1%
	Research Mentoring		1	2		4 5	5	4.67	
	Peer reviewers/Panelists		3	9		5 5	5	5.00	$\vdash$
	Resource Persons		3	1		5 4	5	4.67	
	Convenor/Organizer		2	0		4 5	5	4.67	$\vdash$
	Consultancy		0	-		4 5	_	4.67	+
	Evaluator		0	-		5 4	-	4.67	+-1
	Pl 8. Percent of extension proposals approved	CVM	100%	100%		5 5	+-	5.00	0.5%
	PI 11. Additional outputs *	CVM				T		-	$\vdash$
	No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty)		1	0		5 4	5	4.67	1.5%
MFO 5	Support to Operations					+	T	_	$\vdash$
	1. Faculty Development Services					+	$\vdash$	_	
	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced research degree programs (PhD) facilitated, monitored and assisted *	CVM	1	2 (PhD.) 2(MSc.)		5 4	5	4.67	0.625%
OVPI MFC	2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services					+		-	
	PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired based on needed competencies and aligned with ISO standards *	CVM	1	1		5	5	5.00	0.125%
OVPI MFC	3. Faculty Evaluation Services					T			$\vdash$
	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated for entire university *	CVM	2	0	5	4	5	4.67	0.25%
	PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated outside of the university *	CVM	1	0	5	4	5	4.67	0.25%

5%

5%

	PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating in 50% of the subjects evaluated *	CVM	90%	100%	5	5	5	5.00		0.75%
	PI6: Number of in-house seminars/trainings/ workshops/reviews conducted/attended *	CVM	2	1	5	5	5	5.00		0.25%
	PI 7 : Additional outputs							-		
nguaryahukaa ahmuuh-amaa M	Number of faculty/staff awards/honors received related to operations support	CVM	1	0	5	4	5	4.67		0.25%
OVPI MF	O 4. Program and Institutional Accreditation Services							-		-
	Compliance to all requirements thru the established/adequate implementation, maintenance and improvement of the QMS of the core processes of the College/department under ISO 9001:2015	CVM	1	1	5	5	5	5.00		2.50%
MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)							-		
ahan dalagih sapradi terbahan kebada dal	PI 1. Submission of College/Department PPMP for the following year within deadline as prescribed by BAC	CVM	10	100%	5	5	5	5.00		1%
***************************************	Pl 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served	CVM	0	100%	5	5	5	5.00		2%
	PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among department heads, faculty & staff ***	CVM	10	5.00	5	5	5	5.00		
	Pl 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of College/department targets ***	CVM	10	5.00	5	5	5	5.00		
man kulusiya salakih disensusiya e Am	PI 5. Number of regular executive committee meetings conducted ***	College Dean	12	6	5	5	5	5.00		
	PI 6: Additional Outputs							-		
	Number of Best practices/new initiatives in College administration replicated/benchmarked by other Colleges/other agencies *	CVM	1	1	5	5	5	5.00	CVM Online Newsletter	2%
and a post-of-section of the section	Colleges/Cartor agentolics							4.84	48	
Total Ove	er-all Rating									
Average										1
										1
Adjectiva			B " 1		 		Ann	round:		
Received	by: Calibrated by:		Recommending Approva	:			App	roved:		
			100010				(V. II	Que.	<b>C</b>	

**DILBERTO O. FERRAREN** 

Planning Office Date: NOV 12

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice Pres. for Acad. Affairs

Date: 1 04 21

DGARDO E: TULIN

President

Chairman, PMT

Date:

<sup>\*</sup> The College target should be equal to the consolidated target of the departments under the College.

<sup>\*\*</sup> To indicate in the remarks column the details of the targetted outputs for easy review and calibration.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> No percentage weight for ranking purposes since the Office of the Dean is automatically attached to the highest ranking department under him.