

COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT AND ECONOMICS VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY

OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (OPCR)

I, Moises Neil V. Seriño, Dean of the College of Management and Economics, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January June 2023 MOISES NEIL V. SERINO BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS Vice President for Acad. Affa INFORMATION ON PERSONNEL FULL-TIME TEACHING EQUIVALENT (FTE): Total FTE (1x2) RDE Commitments*** Personnel Number (1) Min. FTE (2) Research Publication Extension Department Head #VALUE! 0% 0% Rating Equivalents: Faculty w/ Univ. Designated Position Regular Faculty (VSL)*
Regular Faculty (TLS)*
Part time Faculty
Admin Staff Members 5 - Outstanding #VALUE! 200% #VALUE! 0% 0% 4 - Very Satisfactory #VALUE! 3 - Satisfactory 200%

On vacation-sick-leave status. Ratio of Instruction and RDE commitments should determined based on FTE of 18 units

** On Teacher's Leave status. Minimum FTE required is 18 units

*** Professors and Associate Professors are required to commit RDE outputs (Please Performance Indicators under Research (UMFO 3) and extension (UMFO 4)

	-						Actua	al Accomplishments		Ra	ting			1
MFO No.			Success/Performance Indicator (PI)		Units/ Persons Responsible	Consolidated Targets of all acad. departments*	% Accom- plishment as o Jan-June 2023	plishments	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark (Details of the targetted output indicators with **)	% weight
MFO 1	ADVAN	CED	EDUCATION SERVICES (20%)									-		
	OVPI N	IFO	1. Graduate Degree Program Management Se	ervices										
		-	Percentage of graduate school faculty						T			T		
			gaged in research work applied in any of the					1					1	
		-	owing: *											4%
			pursuing advanced research degree program		College Dean &		100%							
			(Ph.D) *		Dept. Heads	5	(n=5)	DBM, DOE, ISRDSDS	5	5	5	5		
			actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied											
		D.	scientific research, policy research social		College Dean &		84.62%							
			science research)	74.5	Dept. Heads	13	(n=11)	DBM, DOE, ISRDSDS	4	4	. 4	4		
			producing technologies for commercialization or		College Dean &				<u> </u>					
			livelihood improvement		Dept. Heads									
		d	whose research resulted in an extension		College Dean &		100%							
	- ann-	u,	program		Dept. Heads	3	(n=3)	DOE, ISRDSDS	5	5	5	5	5	





OVPI MFO 2. Graduate Stu	dent Management Services										
PI 1: Percentage of graduate degree programs *	students enrolled in research	College Dean & Dept. Heads	83%	98%	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5		2
PI 2: Percentage of accredite		College Dean & Dept. Heads	100%	100%	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5		2
monitored *	gree specializations offered and	College Dean & Dept. Heads	7	100% (n=7)	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5		2
PI 4: Total FTE coordinated,	implemented & monitored*	College Dean & Dept. Heads	41	187% (n=77)	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5		2
PI 5: Percentage increase in enrolled *	number of graduate students	College Dean & Dept. Heads			DBM, DOE, ISRDS						2
PI 6: Percentage increase in graduated within prescribed p		College Dean & Dept. Heads			DBM, DOE, ISRDS						3
<u>PI 7:</u> Number of graduate stu honors/distinction *	idents awarded with	College Dean & Dept. Heads	1	0% (n=0)	DBM, ISRDS	1	1	1	1		
PI 8: Number of graduate stu		College Dean & Dept. Heads	34	106% (n=36)	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5		
PI 9: Number of instructional	materials developed *	College Dean & Dept. Heads									
On-line ready courseware		College Dean & Dept. Heads	15	120% (n=18)	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5		
Flexible instructional materia	als	College Dean & Dept. Heads	39	246% (n=96)	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5		
Assessment tools		College Dean & Dept. Heads	66	127% (n=84)	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5		
PI 10: Number of virtual class operationalized	rooms created and	College Dean & Dept. Heads	16	150% (n=24)	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	Ε.	
HIGHER EDUCATION SERV	ICES (50%)										
PI 1. Percentage of first time I pass the licensure exams *	icensure exam takers that	College Dean & Dept. Heads				\prod					
PI 2. Percentage of graduates employed *	(2 years prior) that are	College Dean & Dept. Heads	83%	89%	DBM, DOE, DTHM	5	5	5	5		
PI 3. Percentage of undergraden enrolled in CHED-identified are programs *		College Dean & Dept. Heads	60%	92%	DBM, DOE, DTHM	5	5	5	5		
PI 4. Percentage of undergrad accreditations *	luate programs with	College Dean & Dept. Heads	100%	100%	DBM, DOE, DTHM	5	5	5	5		
PI 5: Total FTE, coordinated,	implemented and monitored *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	1,133	72% (n=820)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	4	4	4	4		
PI 8: Number of students adv	ised: *		528	155% (n=820)	DBM	5	5	5	5	to and the state of the state o	T

10

4 9.

	On thesis/ field practice/special problem	College Dean & Dept. Heads	208	136% (n=282)	DBM, DOE, DTHM	5	5	5	5	1%
	No. of approved manuscript submitted within prescribed period	College Dean & Dept. Heads	135	140% (n=189)	DBM, DOE, DTHM	5	5	5	5	1%
X 9 11 2	On consultation	College Dean & Dept. Heads	771	186% (n=1433)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	0.5%
	PI 9: Number of student organizations advised/ assisted *									
	Student organizations advised	College Dean & Dept. Heads	10	120% (n=12)	DBM, DOE, DTHM	5	5	5	5	1.25%
	Student organizations assisted on student related activities	College Dean & Dept. Heads	9	156% (n=14)	DBM, DOE, DTHM	5	5	5	5	1.25%
	PI 10: Number of instructional materials developed *									10%
	On-line ready courseware	College Dean & Dept. Heads	27	152% (n=41)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	
	Flexible instructional materials	College Dean & Dept. Heads	101	219% (n=221)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	
	Assessment tools	College Dean & Dept. Heads	125	300.8% (n=376)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	
	Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized	College Dean & Dept. Heads	74	112% (n=83)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	
	PI 11: Additional Outputs (no. of students advised as SRC member)	College Dean & Dept. Heads		100% (n=7)	ISRDS	5	5	5	5	
MFO 3	RESEARCH SERVICES (10%)									
	PI 1. Number of research outputs in the last three (3) years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	12	142% (n=17)	DOE, DBM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	2%
	PI 2. Number of research outputs completed within the year *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	6	150% (n=9)	DBM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	2%
	PI 3. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-referred or CHED recognized journal within the year *									
	In refereed int'l journals	College Dean & Dept. Heads	83%	48%	DBM, DOE, ISRDS	3	3	3	3	1.50%
	In refereed nat'l/regional journals	College Dean & Dept. Heads	50%	0%	DBM	1	1	1	1	0.50%
	PI 4. Number of research outputs presented in regional/national/ int'l fora/conferences *									
	In int'l fora/conferences	College Dean & Dept. Heads	5	180% (n=9)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	1.50%
	In nat'l/regional fora/conferences	College Dean & Dept. Heads	6	183% (n=11)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	0.50%
	PI 5. Percent of research proposals approved *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	57%	118%	DBM, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	0.5%

4 (4

	PI 6. Additional outputs *										
-	No. of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)	College Dean & Dept. Heads		100% (n=2)	DOE	5	5	5		5	1.509
MFO 4	EXTENSION SERVICES (10%)			()					,		
	PI 1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	13	1085% (n=141)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5		5	2.0%
	Pl 2. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	520	56% (n=292)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	4	4	4		4	2%
	PI 3. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	6	917% (n=55)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	5	2%
	PI 4. Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance*	College Dean & Dept. Heads	85%	113%	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	5	1%
	PI 5. Number of technical/expert services *	College Dean & Dept. Heads									1%
	Research Mentoring		9	244% (n=22)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	5	
	Peer reviewers/Panelists		5.	240% (n=12)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5			
	Resource Persons		16	256% (n=41)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5				5	
	Convenor/Organizer		4	100% (n=4)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	Ė	5	
	Consultancy			100% (n=2)	DTHM, ISRDS	5			5	5	
	Evaluator		5	280% (n=14)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5		5	
	PI 8. Percent of extension proposals approved	College Dean & Dept. Heads	57%	147%	DBM, DTHM, ISRDS	5			5	5	0.5%
	PI 11. Additional outputs *	College Dean & Dept. Heads									
	No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty)			100% (n=2)							1.5%
	Support to Operations										
OVPI MF	O 1. Faculty Development Services										1
	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced research degree programs (PhD) facilitated, monitored and assisted *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	5	140% (n=7)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	5	0.625%
OVPI MF	2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services										

PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired based on needed competencies and aligned with ISO standards *	College Dean &		133%	DDM DOE DTIM		-	-	-	0.125
OVPI MFO 3. Faculty Evaluation Services	Dept. Heads	6	(n=8)	DBM, DOE, DTHM	5	5	5	5	
PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated for entire university *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	3	133% (n=4)	DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	0.25
PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated outside of the university *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	6	200% (n=12)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5				0.25
PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating in 50% of the subjects evaluated *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	78%	119%	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5				0.75
P16 : Number of in-house seminars/trainings/ workshops/reviews conducted/attended *	College Dean & Dept. Heads	5	280% (n=14)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	0.25
PI 7 : Additional outputs									
Number of faculty/staff awards/honors received related to operations support	College Dean & Dept. Heads								0.259
OVPI MFO 4. Program and Institutional Accreditation Services									
Compliance to all requirements thru the established/adequate implementation, maintenance and improvement of the QMS of the core processes of the College/department under ISO 9001:2015	Dean & Dept. Heads	No NC	95%	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	Zero non-conformity (No NC	2.509
MFO 6 General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)									
PI 1. Submission of College/Department PPMP for the following year within deadline as prescribed by BAC	College Dean & Dept. Heads	8	125% (n=10)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	1%
PI 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served	All faculty & staff	100%	101%	DOE, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	2%
PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among department heads, faculty & staff ***	Dean and Dept. Heads	21	67% (n=14)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	4	4	4	4	
PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of College/department targets ***	Dean and Dept. Heads	9	111% (n=10)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	5	5	5	5	
PI 5. Number of regular executive committee meetings conducted ***	College Dean	41	73% (n=30)	DBM, DOE, DTHM, ISRDS	4	4	4	4	
PI 6: Additional Outputs									
Number of Best practices/new initiatives in College administration replicated/benchmarked by other Colleges/other agencies *	Deans & Dept. Heads							explain here briefly why said College wide practice can be considered a best practice	
Fotal Over-all Rating									
Average Rating						4.7	54		

Adjectival Rating			
Received by	Calibrated by:	Recommending Approval:	Approved:
TONI MARC DARGANTES	DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN	BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS	EDGAROO E. TULIN
Planning Office Date: JUL 1 4 LUL3	Charman, PMT Date: 111 1 4 2023	VP cademic Affairs Date: 111 1 4 2023	President UL 25, 2023

^{*} The College target should be equal to the consolidated target of the departments under the College.

^{**} To indicate in the remarks column the details of the targetted outputs for easy review and calibration.

^{***} No percentage weight for ranking purposes since the Office of the Dean is automatically attached to the highest ranking department under him.