

Department of Pest Management
COLLEGE OFAGRICULTURE and FOOD SCHEM

VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSIT

1 5 JAN 2021

OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (OPCR)

I, <u>JESUSITO L. LIM</u>, Head of the Department of <u>PEST MANAGEMENT</u>, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July - December</u>, 2020 _____.

JESUSITO L. LIM

VICTOR B. ASIO

Department Head College Dean Date: Date: INFORMATION ON PERSONNEL FULL-TIME TEACHING EQUIVALENT (FTE): Total FTE (1x2 RDE Commitments*** Publication Min. FTE (2) Research Extension Personnel **#VALUE!** 0% Department Head **#VALUE!** 0% 0 Faculty w/ Univ. Designated Position **#VALUE!** 200% Regular Faculty (VSL)* **#VALUE!** 0% Regular Faculty (TLS)* Part time Faculty 0% **#VALUE!**

| Rating |
|------------------|
| Equivalents: |
| 5 - Outstanding |
| 4 - Very |
| Satisfactory |
| 3 - Satisfactory |
| 2 - Fair |
| 1 - Poor |
| |

Note:

TOTAL

Admin Staff Members

200%

^{*} On vacation-sick-leave status. Ratio of Instruction and RDE commitments should determined based on FTE of 18 units

^{**} On Teacher's Leave status. Minimum FTE required is 18 units

^{***} Professors and Associate Professors are required to commit RDE outputs (Please Performance Indicators under Research (UMFO 3) and extension (UMFO 4)

| | | | | Units/ | | Ac | tual | Rating | | | Remark (Details of | |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------|------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|
| MFO No. | | | Success/Performance Indicator (PI) | Persons Responsi ble | Departmen t Target | % Accomplishment | Details of Accom- plishments | Quality | Efficiency | Timeliness | Average | the targetted output indicators with **) |
| MFO 1 | The state of the s | | DUCATION SERVICES (20%) | | Madaghasian Mayarah dinamaka sanadang | | | | | | | |
| | OVPLI | MFO 1. | Graduate Degree Program Management Serv | ices | T | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in rch work applied in any of the following: | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | | a. | pursuing advanced research degree program (Ph.D) * | | | | | | | | | |
| | | b. | actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research social science research) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | C. | producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement | | | | | | | | | |
| | OVE | d. | whose research resulted in an extension program | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | OVPI MFO 2. Graduate Student Management Services PI 1: Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs * | | Dept. Head & Faculty | 100% | 100% | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | | |
| | <u>PI 2</u> : Pe | rcenta | ge of accredited graduate programs * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 3: No | | of graduate degree specializations offered and | Dept. Head & Faculty | 6 | 6 | 100% | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4.7 | |
| | PI 4: To | otal FT | E coordinated, implemented & monitored* | Dept. Head & Faculty | 3 | 9.15 | 305.00% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |

w) 4);

1)

43

1)

4)

| | PI 5: Percentage increase in number of graduate students enrolled * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 20% | 80% | 80% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|--------|------|---|---|---|-----|----------------------------------|
| | PI 6: Percentage increase in number of students who graduated within prescribed period * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 7: Number of graduate students awarded with honors/distinction * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 8: Number of graduate students advised * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 2 | 9 | 450% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 9: Number of instructional materials developed * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | On-line ready courseware | | 3 | 5 | 166% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | Flexible instructional materials | | 10 | 15 | 150% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | Assessment tools | | 25 | 120 | 480% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized | | 3 | 5 | 166% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| FO 2 | HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES (50%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 1. Percentage of first time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 60% | | | | | | | no exam due to COVID |
| | PI 2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 50% | | | | | | | reflected in Jan to June 2020 |
| | PI 3. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 100% | 100% | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 4. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditations | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 5: Total FTE, coordinated, implemented and monitored * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 100 | 238.55 | 239% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 8: Number of students advised: * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |

3)

| | On thesis/ field practice/special problem | | 4 | 19 | 475% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----|-----|---------|---|---|---|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | No. of approved manuscript submitted within prescribed period | | | | | | | | | |
| | On consultation | | 10 | 19 | 190% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 9: Number of student organizations advised/ assisted * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | Student organizations advised | | 1 | 1 | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | Student organizations assisted on student related activities | | 1 | 1 | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 10: Number of instructional materials developed * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | Title and name of faculty |
| | On-line ready courseware | Dept. Head & Faculty | 6 | 11 | 183.33% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | Flexible instructional materials | Dept. Head & Faculty | 6 | 33 | 550% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5,0 | |
| | Assessment tools | Dept. Head & Faculty | 15 | 264 | 1760% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized | Dept. Head & Faculty | 4 | 11 | 275% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 11: Additional Outputs | Dept. Head & Faculty | 2 | 6 | 300% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| MFO 3 | RESEARCH SERVICES (10%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 1. Number of research outputs in the last three (3) years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 2 | 2 | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | needs project titles; None due to COVID |
| | PI 2. Number of research outputs completed within the year * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 1 | 1 | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | None due to COVID |
| | PI 3. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-referred or CHED recognized journal within the year (2%) * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | needs title of publication, name of journal and where published |

e e

| | In refereed int'l journals | | 1 | 1 | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | due to COVID |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|------|--------|---|---|---|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | In refereed nat'l/regional journals | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 4. Number of research outputs presented in regional/national/int'l fora/conferences * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | title of paper, what for a/conference, where and when presented |
| | In int'l fora/conferences | | | | | | | | | |
| | In nat'l/regional fora/conferences | | 4 | 2 | 50% | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4.7 | due to COVID |
| | PI 5. Percent of research proposals approved * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 6. Additional outputs* | Dept. Head & Faculty | 1 | | | | | | | None due to COVID |
| | No. of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty) | | | | | | | | | |
| MFO 4 | EXTENSION SERVICES (10%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 1 | 2 | 200% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 2. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 1 | 276 | 27600% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 3. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 4. Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance* | Dept. Head & Faculty | 80% | 100% | 125% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 5. Number of technical/expert services * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | Research Mentoring | | | | | | | | | |
| | Peer reviewers/Panelists | | 1 | 1 | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |

| | Resource Persons | | 6 | 4 | 67% | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4.7 | due to COVID |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----|---|------|---|---|---|-----|-------------------|
| | Convenor/Organizer | | | | | | | | | |
| | Consultancy | | | | | | | | | |
| | Evaluator | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 8. Percent of extension proposals approved * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 11. Additional outputs * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty) * | | | | | | | | | |
| MFO ₅ | Support to Operations | | | | | | | | | |
| | OVPI MFO 1. Faculty Development Services | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced research degree programs (PhD) facilitated, monitored and assisted * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | OVPI MFO 2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired based on needed competencies and aligned with ISO standards * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 1 | 2 | 200% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | OVPI MFO 3. Faculty Evaluation Services | | | | | | | | - | |
| | PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated for entire university * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated outside of the university * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating in 50% of the subjects evaluated * | Dept. Head & Faculty | 90 | | | | | | | None due to COVID |
| | <u>PI6</u> : Number of in-house seminars/trainings/ workshops/reviews conducted * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | 2 | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 7 : Additional outputs * | Dept. Head & Faculty | | | | | | | | |

• s) s₀ (0

| *************************************** | Number of faculty/staff awards/honors received related to | | 1 | 1 | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|------|-----|------|----------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | operations support | | - | | | | | | | |
| | OVPI MFO 4. Program and Institutional Accreditation Service PI 8. Compliance to all requirements thru the established/adequate implementation, maintenance and improvement of the QMS of the core processes of the College/department under ISO 9001:2015* | Dept. Head & Faculty | zero non- conformity | | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | Zero non-conformity (No NC) |
| MFO 6 | General Admin. & Support Services (GASS) | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 1. Submission of College/Department PPMP for the following year within deadline as prescribed by BAC* | Dept. Head | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served | Dept. Head & all faculty & staff | | | | | | | | |
| | PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff** | Dept. Head | 1 | 2 | 200% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | <u>PI 4.</u> Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets** | Dept. Head | 2 | 2 | 100% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted** | Dept. Head | 2 | 3 | 150% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| | PI 3: Additional Outputs | Dept. Head & all faculty & staff | | | | | | | | |
| | Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies * | | | 2 | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | explain here briefly why consider said department practice can be considered as a best practice |
| | | | | | | 185 | 182 | 185 | 179.1 | |
| - | r-all Rating | | | | | | | 1.04 | | |
| Average F | | | | | | | | I.84 FANDIN | C | |
| Adjectival | Rauny | | | | L | L | 0013 | ANUN | U | |

Gr.

4

| Received by: | Calibrated by: | Recommending Approval: | Approved: |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| - sauch | REMBERTO A. PATINDOL | BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS | EDGARDO E. TULIN |
| Planning Office | Chairman, PMT | Vice Pres. for Instruction | President |
| Date: | Date: | Date: | Date: |

^{*} To indicate in the remarks column the details of the targetted outputs for easy review and calibration.

^{**} No percentage weight for ranking purposes since these are activities only expected to be performed at the department level