





## DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL **ENGINEERING**

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte, PHILIPPINES Telefax: (053) 565-0600 local 1029 Email: coe@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

## OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (OPCR)

I, Engr. Jundy R. Castil, Head of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the ANNING, RESO following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January - December 2021.

JUNDY R. CASTIL Department, Head Date: 61/25 hs 2

Note:

College Dean

Date: 01 25 2022

INFORMATION ON PERSONNEL FULL-TIME TEACHING EQUIVALENT (Fotal FTE (1. **RDE Commitments\*\*\*** Number (1) Min. FTE (2) Publication Extension Personnel Research Department Head 4.0 8.0 0 Faculty w/ Univ. Design 2.5 0.0 0 0 Regular Faculty (VSL)\* 12.0 0.0 0 0 Regular Faculty (TLS)\* 18.0 180.0 0 0 Part time Faculty 10.0 40.0 0 Admin Staff Members 0.0 0.0 TOT 228.0 0

Rating Equivalents:

5 - Outstanding

4 - Very Satisfactory

3 - Satisfactory

2 - Fair 1 - Poor

\*\* On Teacher's Leave status. Minimum FTE required is 18 units

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Professors and Associate Professors are required to commit RDE outputs (Please Performance Indicators under Research (UMFO 3) and exten

MF	O No.		Units/	Departm	Act	ual		Ra	ating		Remark (Details of the	
			Persons	ent	% Accom-	Details of			ဟ		targetted outputs	ŧ.
		Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Responsible	Target	plishment	Accom-		JCy	es	е	indicators with *)	reigh
					as of Dec.	plishment	ality	ciei	elin	erage		%
					31, 2021	S	Que	Efficien	E	Ave		

\* On vacation-sick-leave status. Ratio of Instruction and RDE commitments should determined based on FTE of

MFO No.		Units/	Departm	Act	ual		Ra	ating		Remark (Details of the	
		Persons	ent	% Accom-	Details of			10		targetted outputs	weight
	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Responsible	Target	plishment	Accom-		Cy	es	Φ	indicators with *)	eig
	,			as of Dec.	plishment	lity	ie	elin	rag		% %
				as of Dec. 31, 2021	s	Qua	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average		•
MFO 1	ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES (20%)										
	OVPAA MFO 1. Graduate Degree Program Managemer	nt Services									
	PI 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty										4%
	engaged in research work applied in any of the										
	following:  a. pursuing advanced research degree program										
	b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years										
	c. producing technologies for commercialization										
	or livelihood improvement d. whose research resulted in an extension										
	OVPAA MFO 2. Graduate Student Management Services	:									
	PI 1: Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research	,									2%
	degree programs *										270
	PI 2: Percentage of accredited graduate programs *										2%
	PI 3: Number of graduate degree specializations offered										2%
	and monitored *										
	PI 4: Total FTE coordinated, implemented & monitored*										2%
	PI 5: Percentage increase in number of graduate students enrolled *										2%
	PI 6: Percentage increase in number of students who										2%
	graduated within prescribed period *										
	PI 7: Number of graduate students awarded with										2%
	honors/distinction * PI 8: Number of graduate students advised *					-					2%
	PI 9: Number of instructional materials developed *							-			270
	On-line ready courseware							-			
	Flexible instructional materials					-		-			
	Assessment tools										
	PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and							-			
MFO 2						_		-			
WIFU Z	HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES (50%)	All Families	040/					_		1' ' '	7.50
	<u>PI 1</u> . Percentage of first time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams *	All Faculty & Staff	61%		0					licensure examination was cancelled	7.5%

MFO No.		Units/	Departm	Act	ual		R	ating		Remark (Details of the	
	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Persons Responsible	ent Target	% Accomplishment as of Dec. 31, 2021		Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	targetted outputs indicators with *)	% weight
	PI 2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed *	JRC, ASC, ACM (Alumni	85%	118%	100%	5	4	4	4.33	conducted tracer study	2.5%
	PI 3. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs *	All Faculty & S	100%	100%	100%	4	4	4	4.00	complied the requirements of CHED-RQAT for issuance of COPC	2.5%
	PI 4. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditations *	DME Faculty 8	100%	100%	100%	5	5	5		AACCUP Level 1 Accredited (assigned 1 area per faculty &	2.5%
	PI 5: Total FTE, coordinated, implemented and monitored	Dept. Head &	228	191%	435.45	5	5	5		2nd sem SY 2020-2021: MSA-1 preparation (ESci 122n), 3 grades, 13 completions, 8 exams, 18 quizzes, 11 reports; CSB-3 preparations (MEng 143, MEng 122, MEng 139); JRC-3 preparations (MEng 124, MEng 195, MEng 200), 26 completions, 2 exams, 14 quizzes, 2 manuscripts; PLE-3 preparations (GEng 132, MENg 125n, MENg 141), 7 grades, 10 completions, 7 exams, 19 quizzes, 657 reports; ACM-3 preparations (MEng 142, MTec 122, ESci 134a), 7 grades, 6 exams, 33 quizzes, 3 reports;	

MFO No.		Units/	Departm	Act	ual		R	ating		Remark (Details of the	
	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Persons Responsible	ent Target	plishment	Details of Accom- plishment s	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	targetted outputs indicators with *)	% weight
	On thesis/ field practice/special problem	ME Project Adviser, Chairman and Members On the Job Training Committee (Chairman	31	100%	31	4	4	4	4.00	26 students for OJT and 5 groups for ME Project advised 2nd sem SY 2020-2021 MSA-26; JRC-29; PLE-8; ACM- 26; ECO-3; RGP-8; TOS- 3 1st sem SY 2021-2022 MSA-5; JPB-2; PLE-9; ACM- 10	1%
	No. of approved manuscript submitted within prescribed period	All faculty & St	19	42%	8	3	3	3	3.00	only 8 students got their OJT manuscript approved	1%
	On consultation	All faculty & St	270	123%	333	4	4	4	4.00	MSA-92 1st year JRC-71 4th year PLE-82 3rd year ACM-65 2nd year ECO-21 irregular TOS-19 irregular	0.59
	PI 9: Number of student organizations advised/ assisted *	Dept. Head & I	aculty								
	Student organizations advised	JRC, ACM, AS	1	100%	1	5	4	4	4.33	PSME VSU SU	1.25
	Student organizations assisted on student related activities	JRC, ACM, AS	1	100%	1	5	4	4	4.33	Department Online Consultation & JPSME Week	1.25
	PI 10: Number of instructional materials developed *										100
	On-line ready courseware	JRC, ASC &	17	176%	30	5	5	4	4.67	2nd sem SY 2020-2021	
	Flexible instructional materials			100%	286	5	5	4	4.67	2nd sem SY 2020-2021	
	Assessment tools	All faculty men	17	271%	46	5	5	4	4.67	2nd sem SY 2020-2021	
	PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized	Dept. Head & I	17	171%	29	5	5	4	4.67	2nd sem SY 2020-2021 CSB-3; JRC-2; PLE-3; ACM-3; ECO-4; RGP-3; TOS-2 1st sem SY 2021-2022 MSA - 3; PLE - 3; ACM-3	
	Pl 11: Additional Outputs										
	Agency/firm/industry linkages			100%	1	5	5	5	5.0	CoreTech System, Samar State University	

MFO No.		Units/	Departm	Act	ual		Ra	ating		Remark (Details of the	
	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Persons Responsible	ent Target	% Accomplishment as of Dec. 31, 2021	Accom-	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	targetted outputs indicators with *)	% weight
	Revised OJT/FP manual conforming to college standards			100%	1	5	5	5	5.0	OJT Manual	
MFO 3	RESEARCH SERVICES (10%)										
	<u>PI 1.</u> Number of research outputs in the last three (3) years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries *										2%
	PI 2. Number of research outputs completed within the year *										2%
	PI 3. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-referred or CHED recognized journal within the year (2%) *										
	In refereed int'l journals										1.50%
	In refereed nat'l/regional journals										0.50%
	PI 4. Number of research outputs presented in										
	In int'l fora/conferences			100%	1	5	5	5	5.0	Asian Conference on Resource Management & Good Governance	1.50%
	In nat'l/regional fora/conferences										0.50%
	PI 5. Percent of research proposals approved *	RGP, JRC	50%							Submitted research proposal	0.5%
	PI 6. Additional outputs*										
	No. of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)				1	5	5	5	5.0	3rd place Best Paper Category, Asian Conference	1.50%
MFO 4	EXTENSION SERVICES (10%)										
	<u>PI 1</u> . Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities *	RGP, JRC, PLE, ASC	1	100%	1	4	4	4	4.0	OJT webinars	2.0%
		RGP, JRC,	10								2%
	<u>PI 3</u> . Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs *	RGP, JRC, PLE, ASC	1								2%

MFO No.		Units/	Departm	Act	ual		R	ating		Remark (Details of the	
	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Persons Responsible	ent Target	% Accomplishment as of Dec. 31, 2021	Harris de la constitución de la	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	targetted outputs indicators with *)	% weight
	PI 4. Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance*  PI 5. Number of technical/expert services *	RGP, JRC, PLE, ASC	80%								1%
	Research Mentoring										170
	Peer reviewers/Panelists										
	Resource Persons	RGP, JRC, PL	1	200%	2	5	5	5	5.0	Resource person during ME Week; Energy Engineering class	
	Convenor/Organizer										
	Consultancy				1	5	5	5	5.0	Collaborative project with SSU	
	Evaluator										
	PI 8. Percent of extension proposals approved *	RGP, JRC	50%							No extension proposal	0.5%
	PI 11. Additional outputs *										
	No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by										1.5%
MFO 5	Support to Operations										
	OVPAA MFO 1. Faculty Development Services										
	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced research degree programs (PhD) facilitated, monitored and assisted										0.63%
	PI 1.1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced research degree programs (MS) facilitated, monitored and assisted *	Department Personnel & Scholarship	3	100%	3	5	5	5	5.00	Faculty on study leave under monitoring (AVB, JPB, VLI)	0.63%
	PI 1.2: Number of faculty who finished advanced degree program on time	Department Personnel & Scholarship	1							Engr. Binueza reinstated with lacking requirements for his MSME degree	0.63%
	PI: Number of faculty granted with external scholarships	Department Personnel &	2	100%	2	5	5	5	5.00	Faculty members with external scholarships: JPB, VLI	0.63%
	PI 3: Number of faculty granted with internal fellowship grants	Department Personnel &	1	100%	1	5	5	5	5.00	Faculty members under VSU Fellowship: AVB	0.63%
	PI 4: Number of faculty granted with sabbatical leave										0.63%
	PI 5: Number of faculty sent for trainings, seminars, conferences	Department Personnel &	8	13%	1	4	4	4	4.00	PLE - IIEE Annual National Convention	0.63%

MFO No.		Units/	Departm	Act	ual		Ra	ating		Remark (Details of the	
	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Persons Responsible	ent Target	% Accomplishment as of Dec. 31, 2021	The state of the s	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	targetted outputs indicators with *)	% weight
	OVPAA MFO 2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services										
	PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired based on needed	Department									0.13
	OVPAA MFO 3. Faculty Evaluation Services										
	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated for entire university *										0.25
	PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated outside of the										0.25
	PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating in 50% of the subjects evaluated *	All faculty members & Staff	100%	100%	100%	5	5	5	5.0	All faculty members are rated with O/VS	0.75
	PI6 : Number of in-house seminars/trainings/ workshops/reviews conducted *	JRC	1	100%	1	5	5	5	5.0	Training on syllabus preparation to Engr. De Los Santos and Engr. Bilocura	0.25
	PI 7 : Additional outputs *										
	Number of faculty/staff awards/honors received related to operations support										0.25
	OVPAA MFO 4. Program and Institutional Accreditation										
	PI 8. Compliance to all requirements thru the established/adequate implementation, maintenance and improvement of the QMS of the core processes of the College/department under ISO 9001:2015*	All faculty & st	100%	100%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	no NC as per IQA	2.50
MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)										
	PI 1. Submission of College/Department PPMP for the following year within deadline as prescribed by BAC*	JRC, ASC	2	100%	2	5	5	5	5.00	PPMP funded by GF and STF	1%
	Pl 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served	All faculty &	100%	100%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	no complaints received	2%
	PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**	JRC	8	100%	8	4	4	4	4.00	conducted coaching among faculty & staff	
	<u>PI 4</u> . Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**	All faculty & St	1	200%	2	5	5	5	5.00	discussed during department meetings	

MFO No.		Units/	Departm	Act	ual		R	ating		Remark (Details of the	
	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Persons Responsible	ent Target	% Accomplishment as of Dec. 31, 2021	Accom-		Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	targetted outputs indicators with *)	% weight
	PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**	JRC, ASC	4	300%	12	5	5	5	5.00	conducted department monthly/special meetings	
	PI 3: Additional Outputs										
	Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *										2%
Total Over	-all Rating				32		14	18.67			
Average R	ating						4	1.65			
Adjectival	Rating						Outs	tand	ing		

Andrew C. Merafuentes, MSA-Mr. Mark Anthony S. Arcayan, ASC- Ms. Antonette S. Cruz(Admin.Aide IV)

Faculty on study leave: AVB- Engr. Ayrton John V. Bantay, JPB- Engr. Jake Ernest P. Binueza, VLI-Engr. Vic Angelo L. Impas

Calibrated by:

1	no	

Received by:

**DILBERTO O. FERRAREN** DANIEL LESLIE'S. TAN

Chairman, PMT

Planning Office
Date: 8 Feb www Date: \_ Recommending Approval:

Vice Pres, for Acad. Affairs

Approved:

President

To indicate in the remarks column the details of the targetted outputs for easy review and calibration.

No percentage weight for ranking purposes since these are activities only expected to be performed at the department level