"Exhibit A"

OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (OPCR)

I, <u>ROTACIO S. GRAVOSO</u>, Head of the <u>University Review Center</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July</u> to <u>December</u>, 20<u>19</u>.

ROTACIO SI GRAVOSO

Head of Unit

Dean Director



Personnel	Designation	Numbe	
Head	URC Head	1	
Casual Staff	Administrative Aide III	1	
JO Staff	Administrative Aide III	1	
JO Staff	Utility/Messenger	2	

				Unit/Persons	Rating			Remarks	
MFOs/PAPs	Success Indicators	Targets	Actual Accomplishment	Responsible	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
JMFO 6: General Administra	ation and Support Services						-		
. Efficient and customer	0% percent complaints	0 complaint	0 complaint	Head/URC Staff	5	5	5	5.00	
friendly frontline service	from client served								
II. Effective and efficient	Timely preparation,	Official documents	Released and processed all	Head/URC Staff	5	4	5	4.67	
processing of	processing and release of	prepared and	administrative and financial						
administrative and	all administrative and	processed are acted	documents on time						
financial documents	financial documents	and released on							
		time							
III. Effective management	Percentage of URC	40%	55.25%	Head/URC Staff	4	5	4	4.33	
and monitoring services	reviewee passers in the								
	Licensure examination for								
	Agriculture exam								

Regular monitoring of the	Review classes are	Monitored the Agriculturists	Head/URC Staff	5	5	5	5.00	
review classes conducted.	monitored regularly	review classes conducted						
	to evaluate per area.							
Percentage satisfaction of	80%	85.98%	Head/URC Staff	4	5	5	4.67	
the reviewees								
Improved gross income	400,000.00	733,825.00	Head/URC Staff	5	5	5	5.00	
generated from the review								
class offered.								
							28.67	
		ACCOUNTS OF THE PROPERTY OF TH					4.78	
				***********	The second secon	Outs	tanding	
	Percentage satisfaction of the reviewees Improved gross income generated from the review	review classes conducted. monitored regularly to evaluate per area. Percentage satisfaction of the reviewees Improved gross income generated from the review	review classes conducted. monitored regularly to evaluate per area. Percentage satisfaction of the reviewees Improved gross income generated from the review	review classes conducted. monitored regularly to evaluate per area. Percentage satisfaction of the reviewees Improved gross income generated from the review	review classes conducted. monitored regularly to evaluate per area. Percentage satisfaction of the reviewees Improved gross income generated from the review	review classes conducted. monitored regularly to evaluate per area. Percentage satisfaction of the reviewees Improved gross income generated from the review	review classes conducted. monitored regularly to evaluate per area. Percentage satisfaction of the reviewees Improved gross income generated from the review class offered. monitored regularly to evaluate per area. 80% 85.98% Head/URC Staff 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	review classes conducted. monitored regularly to evaluate per area. Percentage satisfaction of the reviewees Improved gross income generated from the review class offered. monitored regularly review classes conducted 85.98% Head/URC Staff Head/URC Staff 5 5 5 5.00 28.67

Received by:	Calibrated by:	Recommending Approval:	Approved by:
Planning Office	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Chairman, PMT	DILBERTO O. FERRAREN Vice President	EDGARDO E. TULIN SA
Date:	Date:	Date:	Date:

1 – Quality

2 – Efficiency

3-Timeliness

4 - Average