





DEPARTMENT OF METEOROLOGY

1/F Annex Engineering Building

Visca Baybay City, Leyte, PHILIPPINE

Email: dmet@vsu.edu.ph

Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

DATE: FEB 0 4 2021

I, DANIEL C. LOR, head of the Department of Meteorology, College of Engineering & Technology, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated

DANIEL C. LOR

Department Head

Date:

20 Jan 2021

measures for the period July - December 2020.

College Dean Date: 20 an

Rating Equivalents: 5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory

- 2 Fair
- 1 Poor

					1	ctual plishments		Ra	iting		Remark (Details of the
MFO No. & PAPS			Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Task Assigned T	Target	Details of Accom- plishments	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	targetted output indicators with **)
MFO 1	ADVANO	ED E	DUCATION SERVICES (20%)			phominento					
	OVPI M	FO 1	Graduate Degree Program Management Services								Not yet applicable
	1 1		Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in of the following:	Dept. Head & Faculty							
		a.	pursuing advanced research degree program (Ph.D) *								
			actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research social science research)	i i							
		C.	producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement					1			
7.		d.	whose research resulted in an extension program								
	OVPI MF	0 2.	Graduate Student Management Services			-					Not yet applicable
	Pl 1 : Per	centa	ge of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	Pl 2 : Per	centa	ge of accredited graduate programs *	Dept. Head & Faculty							

OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (OPCR)

MFO No. &	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)		Actual Accomplishments			Ra	iting		Remark (Details of the
PAPS		Task Assigned	Target	Details of Accom- plishments	Q ¹	E²	T ³	A ⁴	targetted output indicators with **)
	PI 3: Number of graduate degree specializations offered and monitored *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	PI 4: Total FTE coordinated, implemented & monitored*	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	PI 5: Percentage increase in number of graduate students enrolled *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	PI 6: Percentage increase in number of students who graduated within prescribed period *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	PI 7: Number of graduate students awarded with honors/distinction *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	PI 8: Number of graduate students advised *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	PI 9: Number of instructional materials developed *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	On-line ready courseware								
	Flexible instructional materials								
	Assessment tools								
	PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized								
MFO 2	HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES (50%)								
	PI 1. Percentage of first time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams *	Dept. Head & Faculty							Not Applicable
	PI 2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed *	Dept. Head & Faculty							Not Applicable
	PI 3. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs *	Dept. Head & Faculty							Not Applicable
	PI 4. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditations *	Dept. Head & Faculty							Not Applicable
	PI 5: Total FTE, coordinated, implemented and monitored *	Dept. Head & Faculty	8	18.75	5.0	5.00	5.00	5.0	Andan = 18.3; Labisores = 24.90; Lor = 16.4; Torrion = 15.45
	PI 8: Number of students advised: *	Dept. Head & Faculty							

	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)		1	Actual Accomplishments		Rating			Remark (Details of the
MFO No. & PAPS		Task Assigned	Target	Details of Accom- plishments	Q ¹	E²	T ³	A ⁴	targetted output indicators with **)
	On thesis/ field practice/special problem		5.00	However, thi faculty to o college who	to BS Met graduating students. is service is an extension of the other graduating students in the property of the property of the faculty steorology are capable to do.			on of the s in the faculty	
	No. of approved manuscript submitted within prescribed period								
	On consultation		20	50.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	BS Met Students and other students on online consultation
	PI 9: Number of student organizations advised/ assisted *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	Student organizations advised		2	2.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	MetSoc ; Tarsier
	Student organizations assisted on student related activities		2	2.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	On line GA of MetSoc ; Tarsier
	PI 10: Number of instructional materials developed *	Dept. Head & Faculty							Title and name of faculty
	On-line ready courseware	Dept. Head & Faculty	4	6.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	Teaching Manual on ABen 145 Hydrometeorology by:
	Flexible instructional materials	Dept. Head & Faculty	4	6.00	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	Rotsen B. Labisores Teaching Manual on PhSc
	Assessment tools	Dept. Head & Faculty	4	6.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	118 Astronomy (for Science
	PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized	Dept. Head & Faculty	4	6.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	Teachers) by: Daniel C. Lor Teaching Manual on Mete 111 Introduction to Meteorology

MEO No. 9	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)			Actual Accomplishments		Rating			Remark (Details of the
MFO No. & PAPS		Task Assigned	Target	Details of Accom- plishments	Q ¹	E²	T ³	A ⁴	targetted output indicators with **)
	PI 11: Additional Outputs	Dept. Head & Faculty							
MFO 3	RESEARCH SERVICES (10%)								
	<u>PI 1.</u> Number of research outputs in the last three (3) years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries *	Dept. Head & Faculty							needs project titles
	PI 2. Number of research outputs completed within the year *	Dept. Head & Faculty							needs project titles
	PI 3. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-referred or CHED recognized journal within the year (2%) *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	Faculty were teaching the they cannot o	e subje	cts to the	studen	ts, thus	LAND COVER CHANGE DETECTION IN ORMOC CITY, PHILIPPINES USING SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING AND GIS TECHNOLOGIES WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment
	In refereed int'l journals								
	In refereed nat'l/regional journals								
	PI 4. Number of research outputs presented in regional/national/ int'l fora/conferences *	Dept. Head & Faculty							title of paper, what for a/conference, where and when presented
	In int'l fora/conferences								
	In nat'l/regional fora/conferences								
V	PI 5. Percent of research proposals approved *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1		No proposal submitted. The faculty were so engrossed in making the online teaching materials				
	PI 6. Additional outputs*	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	No. of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)								

	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)			ctual plishments	Rating				Remark (Details of the
MFO No. & PAPS		Task Assigned	Target	Details of Accom- plishments	Q ¹	E²	T ³	A ⁴	targetted output indicators with **)
MFO 4	EXTENSION SERVICES (10%)								
	<u>PI 1</u> . Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	Weather Philippines; Phil. Meteorological Scieity; National Aeronotics and Space Administration South/Southeast Asia Research Initiative (NASA-SARI)
	PI 2. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training *	Dept. Head & Faculty	30		onducted, was still apprehensive s activity due to Pandemic onducted, was still apprehensive s activity due to Pandemic onducted, was still apprehensive s activity due to Pandemic				30 pax with 2 days of training (8 hours per day)
	PI 3. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1						Youth attending the Conference on Weather & Climate
	PI 4. Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance*	Dept. Head & Faculty	30	No training o					
	PI 5. Number of technical/expert services *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	Research Mentoring								
	Peer reviewers/Panelists								
	Resource Persons								
	Convenor/Organizer		1		onducted, was still apprehensive s activity due to Pandemic				
	Consultancy								
	Evaluator								
	Pl 8. Percent of extension proposals approved *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1		vere so engrossed in making the structional materials & webinars				
	PI 11. Additional outputs *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty) *								

MFO No. &	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)			Actual Accomplishments		Rating			Remark (Details of the
PAPS		Task Assigned	Target	Details of Accom- plishments	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	targetted output indicators with **)
MFO 5	Support to Operations								
	OVPI MFO 1. Faculty Development Services								
	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced research degree programs (PhD) facilitated, monitored and assisted *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	OVPI MFO 2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services								
	PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired based on needed competencies and aligned with ISO standards *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	OVPI MFO 3. Faculty Evaluation Services								
	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated for entire university *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1		conducted, was still apprehensive				
	PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated outside of the university *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	<u>PI 5:</u> Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating in 50% of the subjects evaluated *	Dept. Head & Faculty	80%		No TPES results yet				
	P16 : Number of in-house seminars/trainings/ workshops/reviews conducted *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	4.00	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	
	PI 7 : Additional outputs *	Dept. Head & Faculty							
	Number of faculty/staff awards/honors received related to operations support								
	OVPI MFO 4. Program and Institutional Accreditation Services								
	PI 8. Compliance to all requirements thru the established/adequate implementation, maintenance and improvement of the QMS of the core processes of the College/department under ISO 9001:2015*	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	1.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	Zero non-conformity (No NC)
MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)								
	<u>PI 1</u> . Submission of College/Department PPMP for the following year within deadline as prescribed by BAC*	Dept. Head	1	1.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
	PI 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served	Dept. Head & all faculty & staff	1	No complaiin	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
	PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**	Dept. Head	1	2.00	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	

MFO No. & PAPS	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)		Actual Accomplishments		Rating				Remark (Details of the
		Task Assigned	Target	Details of Accom- plishments	Q ¹	E²	T ³	A ⁴	targetted output indicators with **)
	PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**	Dept. Head	3	3.00	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	
	PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**	Dept. Head	2	6.00	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	
	PI 3: Additional Outputs	Dept. Head & all faculty & staff							
	Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *								explain here briefly why consider said department practice can be considered as a best practice
Total Over-all R	lating							76.3	

Calibrated by:

Chairman, PMT

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 16)	4.771
Additional Points:	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	4.771
FINAL RATING	
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Outstanding

^{*} To indicate in the remarks column the details of the targetted outputs for easy review and calibration.

Received by:	
DILBERTO O FERRAREN	
DILBERTO O FERRAREN	
VP, Planning, Resource Generation & Auxiliarry Services	
Date:	

1- Quality 2- Efficiency 3- Timeliness 4- Average Recommending Approval:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS VP, Academic Affairs Date: 1 28 2

Approved:

President

Date:

^{**} No percentage weight for ranking purposes since these are activities only expected to be performed at the department level