

Department of Agronomy **COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE** VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Rating Equivalents:

4 - Very Satisfactory

5 - Outstanding

3 - Satisfactory

2 - Fair

1 - Poor

## OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (OPCR)

I, DIONESIO M. BAŇOC, Head of the Department of Agronomy, commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accomplishments in accomplishments in accomplishments in accomplishments and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accomplishments are followed by the complex of the

the indicated measures for the period January - June 2023.

DIONESIO M. BAÑOC

Department Head Date: July 7, 2023

College Dean

Date: 1111 1

INFORMATION ON PERSONNEL FULL-TIME TEACHING EQUIVALENT (FTE): RDE Commitments\*\*\* Total FTE (1x2 Num Min. FTE (2) Research Publication Extension Personnel Department Head 15.44 15.4 1.0 Faculty w/ Univ. Designated Position 0 0.0 0.0 0 0 Regular Faculty (VSL)\* 14 100.3 3 2.0 Regular Faculty (TLS)\* 0 0 0.0 0.0 0 Part time Faculty 3 24 70.7 0 0 0.0 Admin Staff Members 3 0 TOTAL: 206 3.0 4

Note:

\*\* On Teacher's Leave status. Minimum FTE required is 18 units

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Professors and Associate Professors are required to commit RDE outputs (Please Performance Indicators under Research (UMFO 3) and extension (UMFO 4)

					Act	Rating					
MFO No.		Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	l ns	Departme nt Target	% Accom- plishme nt	of Accom- plishm	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark (Details of the targetted outputs indicators with *)
MFO 1	ADVANCE	EDUCATION SERVICES (20%)					8				

1 3 JUL 2023

<sup>\*</sup> On vacation-sick-leave status. Ratio of Instruction and RDE commitments should determined based on FTE of 18 units

OV	/PI MF	O 1. Graduate Degree Program Management	Servic	es								
1	1 e	1 1. Percentage or graduate school faculty ngaged in research work applied in any of the ollowing:		Dept. Head & Faculty								
	ā	pursuing advanced research degree program (Ph.D) *		Dept. Head & Faculty	9%	27%	/11 Facult	5	5	5	5.00	Two faculty pursuing PhD degree at UPLB and one at Oklahoma State University
	b	actively pursuing in the last three (3) years i. (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research social science research)		Dept. Head & Faculty	27%	75%	3 projects implemen ted at 75% accopmlis hment	5	4	5	4.67	Two on-going projects under VSU-IRRI Internationalization Program. One legume research project under the Department of Agronomy.
	С			Dept. Head & Faculty							0.00	
	C	d. whose research resulted in an extension program		Dept. Head & Faculty	12%	60%	extension projects conducte d at 60%	4	5	5	4.67	The previous extension project was improved into four components for DA Extension Program
AVE	RAGE										4.78	
OVP	PI MFO	2. Graduate Student Management Services									0.00	
		entage of graduate students enrolled in research grams *		Dept. Head & Faculty	100%	100%	half only	5	5	5	5.00	
<u>PI 2</u> :	: Perce	entage of accredited graduate programs *		Dept. Head & Faculty	100%	100%	2/2	5	5	5		DA has 2 existing graduate degree programs + Ph.D. program with approved COPC
	: Numl monito	ber of graduate degree specializations offered red *		Dept. Head & Faculty	2	100%	2/2	5	5	5	5.00	DA has 2 existing graduate degree programs
PI 4:	: Total	FTE coordinated, implemented & monitored*		Dept. Head & Faculty	15	93%	13.9/15	5	5	5	5.00	For two graduate programs; MS & MagDev.
	: Perce	entage increase in number of graduate students		Dept. Head & Faculty	0%	4%					0.00	

PI 6: Percentage increase in number of students who six graduates out of nine students for Dept. Head & 70% 67% 6/9 4.67 2% same class of CY 2022-2023 graduated within prescribed period \* 5 Faculty PI7: Number of graduate students awarded with Dept. Head & honors/distinction \* Faculty PI 8: Number of graduate students advised \* MS and MagDev Students Dept. Head & 13 161% 21/13 5.00 2% 5 Faculty PI 9: Number of instructional materials developed \* Dept. Head & Faculty On-line ready courseware MagDev. Subjects; Agro141e, 241e, etc. Dept. Head & 3 100% 3/3 5.00 5 Faculty 5 5 рерт. пеаа а Flexible instructional materials Faculty Edited and improved assessments and Assessment tools 7/10 learning tasks 4.67 10 70% half 5 5 4 Dept. Head & only Faculty Edited and improved learning modules PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and Dept. Head & 6 6 half on 4 5 4.67 operationalized Faculty **AVERAGE** 4.37 MFO 2 HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES (50%) 0.00 PI 1. Percentage of first time licensure exam takers that No LEA this sem, LEA will be scheduled in Dept. Head & 62% 0% half only 0.00 7.5% November 2023 pass the licensure exams \* Faculty PI 2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are 90 % of the graduates were employed employed \* 82% 90% half only 4.67 and got DOST scholarship for their 2.5% Dept. Head & graduate degree programs. Faculty 5 4 5 PI 3. Percentage of undergraduate student population All students are enrolled in CHED identified and RDC-identified priority enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority 2.5% 100% 100% full 5.00 Dept. Head & programs. programs \* 5 Faculty 5 5 PI 4. Percentage of undergraduate programs with All students are enrolled in CHED Dept. Head & 100% 2.5% 100% full 5.00 5 acrredited prorgams accreditations \* Faculty Dept. Head & PI 5: Total FTE, coordinated, implemented and monitored \* 108 178% 192.2/108 20% 5.00 Faculty

			_							_	
	PI 8: Number of students advised: *	Dept. Head & Faculty	20.00	105%	21/20	5	5	5	5.00	Undergraduate students major in Agronomy	2.5%
	On thesis/ field practice/special problem	Dept. Head & Faculty	27	107%	29/27	5	5	5	5.00	Thesis students & OJT	1%
	No. of approved manuscript submitted within prescribed period	Dept. Head & Faculty	2	550%	11/2 2nd sem only	5	5	5	5.00		1%
	On consultation	Dept. Head & Faculty	20	145%	29/20 half only	4	5	5	4.67	Number of undergraduate students consulted regarding thesis outline & manuscript, and QUT narrative reports	0.5%
	PI 9: Number of student organizations advised/ assisted *	Dept. Head & Faculty							0.00	No organized/recognized students' organization	
	Student organizations advised	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	100%	1/1	5	5	5	5.00	O GOI NECOVOTI	1.25%
	Student organizations assisted on student related activities	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	100%	1/1	5	5	5	5.00		1.25%
	PI 10: Number of instructional materials developed *	Dept. Head & Faculty	5	60%	3/5 half only	4	5	5	4.67	Continue to develop instructional materials for BS and graduate programs	10%
	On-line ready courseware	Dept. Head & Faculty	4	100%	4/4	5	5	5	5.00	Continue to develop online instructional materials for undergd and graduate	
	Flexible instructional materials	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	200%	2/1	5	5	5	5.00	Continue to develop flexible instructional materials for BS and graduate programs	
	Assessment tools	Dept. Head & Faculty	20	100%	20/20	5	5	5	5.00	Continue to develop and edit assessment tools for BS and graduate programs	
	PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized	Dept. Head & Faculty	7	71%	5/7 half only	4	5	5	4.67	Continue to develop virtual classroom for BS and graduate programs	
	PI 11: Additional Outputs	Dept. Head & Faculty	none						0.00		
	AVERAGE								4.91		
MFO 3	RESEARCH SERVICES (10%)								0.00		
	PI 1. Number of research outputs in the last three (3) years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries *	Dept. Head & Faculty	0	0					0.00		

10 pt		W 12			П						
	PI 2. Number of research outputs completed within the year	Dept. Head & Faculty	0	0					0.00	All researches are ongoing	2%
	PI 3. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-referred or CHED recognized journal within the year (2%) *								0.00		20%
	In refereed int'l journals	Dept. Head & Faculty	10%	100%	1/1	5	5	5	5.00	Published by Blanco, Ratilla & Banoc At SVU-IJAS, 2023	1.50%
	In refereed nat'l/regional journals	Dept. Head & Faculty	10%			5	5	5	5.00	More publications in referred journals are coming from the research output of the Department of Agronomy	0.50%
	PI 4. Number of research outputs presented in regional/national/ int'l fora/conferences *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	200%	2/1	5	5	5	5.00	presented by Dr. Ratilla, Dr. Baňoc & during the in-house review of VSU Internationalization program	0.5%
	In int'l fora/conferences		0						0.00		1.50%
	In nat'l/regional fora/conferences		0	2					0.00		0.50%
	PI 5. Percent of research proposals developed *	Dept. Head & Faculty	0	200%	2/1	5	5	5	5.00	Developed and approved by IRRI (VSU Internationalization Program)	0.5%
	PI 6. Additional outputs*	Dept. Head & Faculty	0						0.00	5	
	No. of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)								0.00		1.50%
	AVERAGE								5.00		
MFO 4	EXTENSION SERVICES (10%)								0.00		
	<u>PI 1</u> . Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	300%	3/1	5	5	5	5.00	Project Collaborators from LGU Baybay City, Albuera, Leyte & Naval, Biliran	2.0%
	PI 2. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training *	Dept. Head & Faculty	0	0					0.00		2%

PI 3. Number of extension programs organized and Extension program relative to training supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority 100% 5 5 5 1/1 5.00 2% Dept. Head & spearheaded by the department programs \* Faculty PI 4. Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in 0.00 1% Dept. Head & terms of quality and relevance\* Faculty PI 5. Number of technical/expert services \* Expert services on rice ratooning Dept. Head & 3 100% 3 half on 5 5 conducted in Baybay City, Albuera, Leyte 1% Faculty & Naval, Biliran Research Mentoring Mentored 2 MS students & LGU 2/2 half Dept. Head & 100% 5 2 5 5 technicians in the conduct of transect only Faculty survey in Albuera & Inopacan, Leyte Peer reviewers/Panelists Numerous articles for publicaction were Dept. Head & 5 100% 5/5 half only 5 5 5 5.00 reviewed by the DA faculty Faculty Resource persons in training on climate Resource Persons Dept. Head & 5 100% 1/5 half only 5 5 smart technologies in combatting climate Faculty change in Naval, Albuera & Baybay City Convenor/Organizer 0 Faculty Dept. Head & Consultancy in production services Free consultancy regarding the conduct of 100% 1/1 half only 5 5 Faculty a transect survey in Albuera, Leyte A lot of articles for publication were Evaluator evaluated by the DA faculty 5 5.00 3 100% 8/3 half only 5 Dept. Head & Faculty Dept. Head & PI 8. Percent of extension proposals approved \* 0 0.00 Faculty Dept. Head & PI 11. Additional outputs \* 0.00 none Faculty No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by Dept. Head & 0 0.00 1.5% faculty or student & faculty) \* Faculty **AVERAGE** 4.96 MFO 5 Support to Operations 0.00 **OVPI MFO 1. Faculty Development Services** 0.00

100					11						
	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced research degree programs (PhD) facilitated, monitored and assisted *	Dept. Head & Faculty	2	150%	3/2	5	5	5	5.00	1 DA faculty on study leave, 2 reinstated but still writing her manuscript & other one conducting his field experiment	0.625%
	OVPI MFO 2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services								0.00		
	PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired based on needed competencies and aligned with ISO standards *	Dept. Head & Faculty	3	100%	3/3 half only	5	5	5	5.00	3 parttimers instructors were hired	0.125%
	OVPI MFO 3. Faculty Evaluation Services								0.00		
	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated for entire university *	Dept. Head & Faculty	0	0							
	PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated outside of the university *	Dept. Head & Faculty	0	5					0.00	3 seminars/training conducted in LGU Baybay, one in Albuera & one in Naval. Biliran	
	PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating in 50% of the subjects evaluated *	Dept. Head & Faculty	100%	100%		5	5	5	5.00	No result yet from OVPAA.	0.75%
	P16 : Number of in-house seminars/trainings/ workshops/reviews conducted *	Dept. Head & Faculty	0						0.00		
	PI 7 : Additional outputs *	Dept. Head & Faculty	None						0.00		
	Number of faculty/staff awards/honors received related to operations support		0						0.00		0.25%
	OVPI MFO 4. Program and Institutional Accreditation Service	s							0.00		
	PI 8. Compliance to all requirements thru the established/adequate implementation, maintenance and improvement of the QMS of the core processes of the College/department under ISO 9001:2015*	Dept. Head & Faculty	None								
	AVERAGE								5.00		
MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)								0.00		
	PI 1. Submission of College/Department PPMP for the following year within deadline as prescribed by BAC*	Dept. Head	2	50%	2 half on	5	5	5	5.00	complied and submitted	1%

PI 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served	Dept. Head & all faculty & staff	0	0.00							
PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**	Dept. Head	1	300%	'1 half on	5	5	5	5 00	No. 100 No. 10	
PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**	Dept. Head	1	300%	/1 half on	5	5	5		Conducted during Departments` monthly meeting	
PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**	Dept. Head	1	300%	'1 half on	5	5	5	5.00	DA monthly meeting	
PI 3: Additional Outputs	Dept. Head & all faculty & staff							0.00		
Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *		1	100%	'1 half on	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	smooth operations of the department's	2%
AVERAGE								5.00		
					229	231	235	231.67		
-all Rating						4	7.77			
Average Rating						4	.85			
Adjectival Rating					0	UTST	ANDI	NG		
	PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**  PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  PI 3: Additional Outputs  Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  all Rating	PI 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served  PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**  Dept. Head  PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  Dept. Head  PI 3: Additional Outputs  Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  all Rating  ating	PI 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served  PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**  PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  PI 3: Additional Outputs  PI 3: Additional Outputs  Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  all Rating  ating	P1 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served  P1 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  P1 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**  P1 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  P1 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  P1 3. Additional Outputs  P1 3. Additional Outputs  Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  1 300%  Dept. Head  Dept. Head  Dept. Head & all faculty & staff  1 100%  1 100%	P1 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served  P1 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  P1 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**  P1 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  P1 3. Additional Outputs  Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  1 300% /1 half on Dept. Head  1 300% /1 half on Dept. Head  1 100% /1 half on Dept. Head  2 1 100% /1 half on Dept. Head  3 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	P1 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served  P1 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  P1 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**  P1 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  P1 3: Additional Outputs  Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  1 300% /1 half on 5  Dept. Head  1 300% /1 half on 5  Dept. Head & all faculty & staff  1 100% /1 half on 5.0  1 229  1 100% /1 half on 5.0	PI 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served  PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**  PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  PI 3: Additional Outputs  Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  Dept. Head  1 300% /1 half on 5 5  Dept. Head  1 300% /1 half on 5 5  Dept. Head  1 100% /1 half on 5 5  AUERAGE  1 100% /1 half on 5 5  AUERAGE  1 100% /1 half on 5 5  AUERAGE	PI 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served  Figure 1. Sero percent complaint from clients served  Figure 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served  Figure 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  Dept. Head  Dept. Head  1 300% 1 half on 5 5 5  Dept. Head  1 300% 1 half on 5 5 5  Dept. Head  1 300% 1 half on 5 5 5  Dept. Head  PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  Dept. Head  Dept. Head  Dept. Head  Dept. Head & all faculty & staff  Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  Dept. Head & all faculty & staff  AVERAGE  1 100% 1 half on 5 5 5  AVERAGE  47.77  47.77	PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**  PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  PI 3. Additional Outputs  PI 4. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  Dept. Head  Dept. Head  Dept. Head & all faculty & staff  PI 1 100% 1 half on 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**  PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**  Dept. Head  Dept. Head  1 300% 11 half on 5 5 5 5 5.00 conducted a coaching sessions between faculty and the head  Conducted during Departments' monthly meeting  PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**  Dept. Head  Dept. Head & all faculty & staff  The staff meeting in a cademic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  Dept. Head  Dept. Head  Dept. Head & all faculty & staff  The staff meeting in a cademic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  Dept. Head  Dept. Head & all faculty & staff  The staff meeting in a cademic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  The staff meeting in a cademic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  The staff meeting in a cademic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  The staff meeting in a cademic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *  AVERAGE  The staff meeting in a cademic units' meeting in a ca

Planning Office Date: JUL 1 3 2023

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

To indicate in the remarks column the details of the targetted outputs for easy review and calibration.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No percentage weight for ranking purposes since these are activities only expected to be performed at the department level