



OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (OPCR)

I, JOEL Q. MABALHIN, Head of the Department of Teacher Education, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance measures for the period January- June, 2021.

See Oroth -JOEL Q. MABALHIN

Department Head

07-14-2021

BAYRON S. BARREDO

College Dean Date:

Total FTE (1x2, RDE Commitments*** INFORMATION ON PERSONNEL FULL-TIME TEACHING EQUIVALENT (FTE): Number (1) Publication Extension Min. FTE (2) Personnel Research **рерактиент** неао 12.0 0.0 Faculty W/ Univ. Designated Position 2.5 24.0 1.0 0 Regular Faculty (VSL) 3 12 120.0 1.0 Regular Faculty (ILS)" 10 0 18 234.0 2.0 Part time Faculty 10 0 Actual 0.0 0.0 Admin Statt Members 1

Rating Equivalents: 5 - Outstanding

4 - Very Satisfactory

3 - Satisfactory

2 - Fair

1 - Poor

Note:

TOTAL

Minimum FTE required is 18 units

^{***} Professors and Associate Professors are required to commit RDE outputs (Please Performance Indicators under Research (UMFO 3) and extension (UMFO 4)

		Success/Performance Indicator (PI)					Act	tual		Rat	ing			
MFO No.					Units/Persons Responsible	Department Target	% Accomplishment	Details of Accom- plishments	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark (Details of the targetted output indicators with **)	% weight
MFO 1	FO 1 ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES (20%)													
	OVPI	MFC	1. Graduate Degree Program Management S	ervices										
	PI 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:				Dept. Head & Faculty									4%
			pursuing advanced research degree program (Ph.D) *			3								
		b.	actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research social science research)			2	5.00		5	5	3	4.3	Abela, Andrade, Aure, Bellen, Manaig	

^{*} On vacation-sick-leave status. Ratio of Instruction and RDE commitmtents should determined based on FTE of 18 units

	T			T		Г						
			producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement									
		1 4	whose research resulted in an extension program									
	OVPI N	/FO	2. Graduate Student Management Services									
	Pl 1: Pe		ntage of graduate students enrolled in research grams *	Dept. Head & Faculty								0%
	Pl 2: Pe	ercei	ntage of accredited graduate programs *	Dept. Head & Faculty								0%
M (M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M	PI 3: N		per of graduate degree specializations offered red *	Dept. Head & Faculty	4	4.00	5	5	5	5	M. Ed. (Biology, Chemistry, English & P. E.)	2%
	PI 4: T	otal	FTE coordinated, implemented & monitored*	Dept. Head & Faculty	18.0	21.25	5	5	5	5		2%
	PI 5: P		entage increase in number of graduate students	Dept. Head & Faculty	2%							2%
			entage increase in number of students who within prescribed period *	Dept. Head & Faculty	2%	79.00	5	4	4	4.33		2%
			per of graduate students awarded with nction *	Dept. Head & Faculty	0							2%
	PI 8: N	lumb	per of graduate students advised *	Dept. Head & Faculty	2	43.00	5	5	5	5.00		2%
	<u>PI 9:</u> N	umb	er of instructional materials developed *	Dept. Head & Faculty								
	On-li	ine r	eady courseware		3	2.00	5	4	4	4.33		
	Flexil	ble ir	nstructional materials		1	1.00	5	5	5	5.00		
39,000.00	Asse	ssm	ent tools		11	4.00	5	4	5	4.67		
	PI 10: I		ber of virtual classrooms created and ized		3	2.00	 5	5		5.00		
MFO 2	HIGHE	REI	DUCATION SERVICES (50%)									
	PI 1. Percentage of first time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams *			Dept. Head & Faculty	60%							7.5%
	PI 2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed *			Dept. Head & Faculty	50%	65.89%	5	5	5	5.00		2.5%
	PI 3. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs *		Dept. Head & Faculty	70%	100.00	5	5	5	5.00		2.5%	
		erce	ntage of undergraduate programs with ns *	Dept. Head & Faculty	80%							2.5%

.

	PI 5: Total FTE, coordinated, implemented and monitored *	Dept. Head & Faculty	352	277.45	5	5	5	5.00		20%
	PI 8: Number of students advised: *	Dept. Head & Faculty								
	On thesis/ field practice/special problem		15							1%
	No. of approved manuscript submitted within prescribed period									1%
	On consultation			1182	5	5	5	5.00		0.5%
	PI 9: Number of student organizations advised/ assisted *	Dept. Head & Faculty								
	Student organizations advised		5	13	5	5	5	5.00		1.25%
	Student organizations assisted on student related activities			9	5	5	5	5.00		1.25%
	PI 10: Number of instructional materials developed *	Dept. Head & Faculty								10%
	On-line ready courseware	Dept. Head & Faculty	15	36	5	4	5	4.67		
	Flexible instructional materials	Dept. Head & Faculty	10							
	Assessment tools	Dept. Head & Faculty	10	243	5	5	5	5.00		
	PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized	Dept. Head & Faculty	10	47.00	5	5	5	5.00		
	PI 11: Additional Outputs	Dept. Head & Faculty	2	8.00	5	5	5	5.0	Barredo, Aure	
MFO 3	RESEARCH SERVICES (10%)									
	PI 1. Number of research outputs in the last three (3) years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries *	Dept. Head & Faculty								2%
	PI 2. Number of research outputs completed within the year	Dept. Head & Faculty	1							2%
	PI 3. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-referred or CHED recognized journal within the year (2%) *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1							
	In refereed int'l journals			3	5	5	5	5.0	Mabalhin (IJRSE), Oclinaria (IJRSE & SSE)	1.50%
	In refereed nat'Vregional journals									0.50%
	PI 4. Number of research outputs presented in regional/national/ int'l fora/conferences *	Dept. Head & Faculty	2							

+ 4

	In int'l fora/conferences									1.50
	In nat'l/regional fora/conferences									0.50
	PI 5. Percent of research proposals approved *	Dept. Head & Faculty	2							0
	PI 6. Additional outputs*	 Dept. Head & Faculty								
	No. of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)									1.50
MFO 4	EXTENSION SERVICES (10%)									
	PI 1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1	2	5	5	5	5.0	DepEd Baybay & DepEd Ormoc	2.0
	PI 2. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training *	Dept. Head & Faculty	100							29
	PI 3. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1							29
	PI 4. Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance*	Dept. Head & Faculty	70%							19
	PI 5. Number of technical/expert services *	Faculty								19
	Research Mentoring									
	Peer reviewers/Panelists			1	5	4	5	4.7	Bellen	
	Resource Persons		2.00	2	5	5	5	5.0	Aure, Barredo	
	Convenor/Organizer									
	Consultancy			3	4	4	4	4.0	Abela. Aure, Mabalhin	
	Evaluator									
	PI 8. Percent of extension proposals approved *	Dept. Head & Faculty		2	5	5	5	5.0		0.5
	PI 11. Additional outputs *	Dept. Head & Faculty								
	No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty) *									1.5
MFO 5	Support to Operations									
	OVPI MFO 1. Faculty Development Services									T
-	PI1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced research degree programs (PhD) facilitated, monitored and assisted *	 Dept. Head & Faculty	2	3.00	5	5	4	4.7	Prof. Bellen. Mr. Vega & Mr Ycong	0.62

	T	-			_		_				
	OVPI MFO 2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services										
	PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired based on needed competencies and aligned with ISO standards *	Dept. Head & Faculty	5	10.00		5	5	5	5.0	Avella, Alajas, Apostol, Borneo, Mardo. Morantte, Paller, Tagalog,	0.1259
	OVPI MFO 3. Faculty Evaluation Services										
	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated for entire university *	Dept. Head & Faculty									0.25%
	PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated outside of the university *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1								0.259
	PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating in 50% of the subjects evaluated *	Dept. Head & Faculty	80%								0.75%
	P16 : Number of in-house seminars/trainings/ workshops/reviews conducted *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1								0.25%
	PI 7 : Additional outputs *	Dept. Head & Faculty									
	Number of faculty/staff awards/honors received related to operations support			1		5	5	5	5.0	Mabalhin	0.25%
	OVPI MFO 4. Program and Institutional Accreditation Serv	rices									1
	PI 8.Compliance to all requirements thru the established/adequate implementation, maintenance and improvement of the QMS of the core processes of the College/department under ISO 9001:2015*	Dept. Head & Faculty	75%							Zero non-conformity (No NC)	2.50%
IFO 6	General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)										
	PI 1. Submission of College/Department PPMP for the following year within deadline as prescribed by BAC*	Dept. Head	80%								1%
	Pl 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served	Dept. Head & all faculty & staff	0%								2%
	PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**	Dept. Head	3								
	PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**	Dept. Head	2								
	PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**	Dept. Head	1								
	PI 3: Additional Outputs	Dept. Head & all faculty & staff									
	Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *	accorny to ottell	1								2%

4 10

. .

Total Over-all Rating		· T		139.00 134.00 134.00 135.63	
Average Rating			75.16%	4.96 4.79 4.79 4.84	
Adjectival Rating				Outstanding	

Received by:

Calibrated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

DANIEL LESUE S. TAN

Director to The Project of The

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT Date: 7 2 BEATRIZ SELONIAS

Vice Pres. for Academic Affairs Date: 7 9 2 EDGARDO E. TULIN

President Date:

To indicate in the remarks column the details of the targetted outputs for easy review and calibration.

** No percentage weight for ranking purposes since these are activities only expected to be performed at the department level