Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

ARGIE SINGSON

	TOTAL NU	MERICAL	4.3367
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4	30%	1.2
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.481	70%	3.1367
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.3367	_	
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:			
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:		_	
		_	
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING	4.3367	_	
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	VS		
		_	
Prepared by:		Reviewed by:	
mount			
MARIO C. BANTUGAN			MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Name of Staff			Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

BERTO A. PATINDOL, PI

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>ARGIE P. SINGSON</u> of the <u>WATER AND SEWERAGE SYSTEM MAINTAINANCE UNIT</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>July- December 2016</u>

Approved:

ARGIE P. SINGSON Ratee

APOLONIO M. ENCIERTO

Head, WSSMU

mFO1-Water distribution systems for new and major repairs/ renovations PI 1.2 No. of water distribution renovated/implemented admin PI 1.3 No. of water distribution renovated/implemented IGP bu PI 1.4 No. of water distribution renovated Student/ Staff Housin	Drogram / Activities / Drojects	Tacks Assigned	Tasks Assigned TARGET				Rating	Remarks	
MFO & Performance indicators	Program/Activities/Projects	Tasks Assigned TARGET		Accomplish ment	Q ¹	£²	T ³	A ⁴	Kemarks
	systems for new and major buildings Begairs water distribution system in VSU main Campus		2	3	5	5	4	4.6666667	
repairs/ renovations	PI 1.2 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated/implemented administrative buildings	main Campus	1	2	5	4	4	4.333333	
	PI 1.3 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated/implemented IGP buildings and structures PI 1.4 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated Student/ Staff Housing units		1	2	5	5	4	4.666667	
MFO 2 Plumbing systems improvement and maintenance inside buildings			2	3	5	5	4	4.666667	
	PI 2.1 No. of plumbing systems improvements/repairs inside academic and research buildings		25	30	5	4	4	4.333333	
	PI 2.2 No. of plumbing systems improvements/repairs inside administrative buildings	Repairs water distribution system in VSU main Campus	30	40	5	4	4	4.333333	
	PI 2.3 No. of plumbing systems improvements/repairs inside IGP buildings and structures		15	25	5	4	4	4.333333	
	PI 2.4 No. of plumbing systems improvements inside Student/staff housing units		15	17	5	4	4	4.3333333	

MFO3, Water distribution	PI 3.1 No. of water distribution lines repaired							
systems repair and		10	12	5	5	4	4.6666667	
maintenance outside buildings								
			100					
Total Over-all Rating							40.33	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4) Additional Points:	4.4811111	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:
Punctuality:		
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)		*
FINAL RATING	4.4811111	
ADJECTIVAL RATING	VS	

Received:

Calibrated by:

REMORRIO A. PATINDOL, Pho

PMT

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President

Approvedby:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

resident

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Name of Staff: Argie	Singson	Rating Period: <u>JULY - DEC. 2016</u> Position: Publing Procum

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)					Scale						
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5 4 3 2			2	1					
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1					
Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay				3	2	1					
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1					
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1					
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1					
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1					
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1					
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1					
0.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1					
1.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1					
2.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1					
	Total Score		48								
B. L	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		/	Scale	Э						
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5 4		3	2	1					
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1					
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	5 4		2	1					
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	-1					
5.			4	3	2	1					
						L					
	Total Score		48	,							

Overall recommendation

Name of Head