

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: ELIZABETH C. PEQUE

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.33	70%	3.03
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.33	30%	1.44
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.47

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.47

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.47

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.47

ADJECTIVAL RATING: vs

Prepared by:

ELIZABETH C. PEQUE
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

ROSARIO A. SALAS
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, ELIZABETH C. PEQUE of the Dept. of Horticulture commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June, 2017.

Elizabeth C. Peque
ELIZABETH C. PEQUE
Ratee

Approved:

Rosalio A. Salas
ROSARIO A. SALAS

Head of Unit

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Administrative support Services	No. of planting materials produced	Propagation of planting materials	300	400	5	5	4	4.66	
	No. of planting materials maintained in the nursery	Maintained propagated plants in the nursery	3,000	4,600	5	5	4	4.66	
	No. of improvements made in the nursery	Improvements made	6	7	5	5	4	4.66	
	No. of labor managed/supervised in the nursery	Supervised laborers	3	6	5	5	4	4.66	
Other tasks in support to administrative services	No. of walk-in costumers	Attended to walk-in customers	1500	2000	5	5	5	5	
	No. of reports made	Submitted reports	12	12	4	4	4	4.0	

Assist during laboratory classes at the Nursery	No. of Laboratory classes	Assisted laboratory classes	2	3	5	5	5	5	5	
Total Overall Rating										4.66

4) Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by Additional Points:		4.66
Punctuality	4	4
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.33
ADJECTIVAL RATING		VS

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Received by:
HERESIA L. QUINANOJA
 Planning Office

Calibrated by:
REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
 PMT

Recommending Approval by:
BEATRIZ L. BELONIAS
 Vice President

Approved by:
EDGARDO E. TULIN
 President

- Date: _____
- 1 - Quality
 - 2 - Efficiency
 - 3 - Timeliness
 - 4 - Average

Date: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January – June 2017Name of Staff: ELIZABETH C. PEQUE Position: Agricultural Technician


Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for	5	4	3	2	1

improvement of his work accomplishment						
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score		4.83				
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score						
Average Score						

Overall recommendation : _____



ROSARIO A. SALAS

Name of Head