# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

### **ZANDRO G. ISRAEL**

Particulars (1)		Numerical Rating (2)		Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.5	70%	3.15
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.83	30%	1.449
	4.599			

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.599
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:	
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.599
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.599
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	VS

Prepared by:

MARIO C. BANTUGAN

Adm. Aide III

Reviewed by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Vice Pres. for Adm. & Finance

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, ZANDRO ISRAEL of the GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

Approved:

**MARIO E. BALIAD** 

Head, Unit

ZANDRO G. ISRAEL Ratee

				Actual	Rating				
MFO & Performance	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishme					Remarks
Indicators				nt	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
FMO1-Ground	maintained	Prepares schedule of work activities of LSWMU personnels	5 schedule per work	8 schedule per work	5	4	4	4.33	
		2. Monitors daily work assignments of laborers	15 laborer	15 laborer	5	5	4	4.67	
maintenance & waste		3. Supervised in the cutting and prunning of Trees	5 laborer	5 laborer	5	5	4	4.67	
management services		4. Monitors the collection and disposal of waste around the campus	5 days/week	5 days/week	5	4	4	4.33	
Total Over-all Rating								18.00	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)			4.50	Comments & Recommendations				nendations	
Additional Points:				for Development Purpose:			urpose:		
Punctuality:					After Contrares/Show.			ver/Shous 1	
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)									made l
FINAL RATING				4.50	to whate amount				12-7
ADJECTIVAL RATING			<u></u>	VS		50	• ~	me C	The same
		1 1 4 Dated Bus							1

Evaluate & Rated By:

Recommending Approval:

Approvedby:

MANYO E- BALIAD

ANZIO LILLO VALENZONA

VICE PRES. POR ADM.

#### Annex O

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating Period:	Junaury to June 2018
Name of Staff: ZANDRO G. ISRAEL	Position: Adm. Ai	ide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description				
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	he performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirement	ents.			
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements				
Commitme	ent (both for subordinates	and supervisors)	5	Scale		
1	•	to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting				E
2		ients even beyond official time		_	- 🕂	6
		ne reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED,				
3	DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA	A, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time rk even without overtime pay				E
4	within the prescribed time	s as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs				E
5	employees who fail to pe					E
6		on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out logs out upon departure from work.				E
7	Keeps accurate records of	of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.		(	A)	Г
8		rther improve her work and the services of the office to its clients				E
9		assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment on but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the				(
10		uring lean periods by performing non-routine functions the as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office				E
11	Accepts objective criticismos his work accomplishment	ns and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of				(E
12	Willing to be trained and				. (	(5
		Total Score		59		
B. Lea	dership & Management (	For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor		Scale		
1	confidence from subordi	nd expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and nates and that of higher superiors				
2	office/department aligned	draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the to that of the overall plans of the university.				
3	processes and functions	e of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.  The overall performance and in delivering the output required of				L
4	his/her unit.	monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved				_
5		ess in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the				
	Tarraminous of the equipilat	Total Score				$\vdash$
		Average Score	1	. 83		Щ

Overall recommendation	
	H
	MARIO E. BALIAD

Head, LSWMU

# EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: <b>ZANDRO</b>	<u>O G. ISRAEL</u>	•	
Performance Rating: Very Satisf	factory		•
Aim:			
Proposed Interventions to Improv	ve Performance:		•
Date:	Target Date:		
First Step:		•	
Result: Attend Conference/show		n Landscaping	
Date:	Target Date:		
Next Step:			
Outcome:			
Final Step/Recommendation:			•
**			*.
	Prepared by:		/
		MARIO E	, BALIAD
		Supe	ervisor

Conform:

ZANDROG) ISRAEL
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff