# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

## **CARMELINO I. CASTAÑAS**

Particulars (1)		Numerical Rating (2)  Percentage Weight (3)		Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.66	70%	3.262
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.58	30%	1.3749
	4.6369			

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING
------------------------

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.6369

4.6369

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

4.6369 O

Prepared by:

MARIO C. BANTUGAN

Adm. Aide III

Reviewed by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice Pres. for Adm. & Finance

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, CARMELINO I. CASTAÑAS of the GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

**CARMELINO I. CASTAÑAS** 

Ratee

Approved:

		Tasks Assigned Target	Actual	Rating			Damanla		
MFO & Performance Indicators	Success Inditors		rarget	Accomplish ment	Q¹	E²	T³	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks
MFO1: Performance Indicators	PI-1: Completed repairs	various repair of Buildings	100	120	5	5	4	4.67	
MFO2: Furnitures Works	Completed repairs and fabrication	various repair and fabraication of furnitures	90	120	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating								9.33	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	4.665	Comments & Recommendations
Additional Points:		for Development Purpose:
Punctuality:		After A TEDA TENNING
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)		After a TOSDA tochings
FINAL RATING	4.665	·
ADJECTIVAL RATING	0	,

Evaluated & Rated by:

**Recommending Approval:** 

Approvedby:

#### Annex O

## **Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff**

	Rating Period:	January to June 2018
lame of Staff: CARMELINO I CASTAÑAS	Position:	Adm. Aide V

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description							
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model							
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements							
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements							
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirem	nents.				_		
1									
	1	th for subordinates and supervisors)	Scale						
	-						L		
1	business with the office fu	to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting ulfilling and rewarding.	<u> </u>	4	3	2	L		
2	Makes self-available to cl	ients even beyond official time	(3)	4	3	2	۱		
3	DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA	ne reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, A, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time rk even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2			
4	within the prescribed time	s as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs	(5)	4	3	2	1		
5	Commits himself/herself temployees who fail to per	o help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-	5	4	3	2			
6	Regularly reports to work on personal matters and		4	3	2				
7		ps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.				2			
8	Suggests new ways to fu	(S)	4	3	2				
9	Accepts additional tasks is not related to his position university	( <del>S</del> )	4	3	2				
10	Maximizes office hours d outputs of which results a or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	,			
11	Accepts objective criticismos his work accomplishment	ms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of	5	4	3	2			
12	Willing to be trained and		(3)	1	3	2	I		
		Total Score					_		
			Scale						
B. Lea	adership & Management (	For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor	<del> </del>	7		<u> </u>	Т		
1		nd expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and nates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	T		
2	Visionary and creative to	draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the	5	4	3	2	t		
3	Innovates for the purpose	d to that of the overall plans of the university. e of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational	5	4	3	2	t		
 	Accepts accountability fo his/her unit.	of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.  The overall performance and in delivering the output required of	5	4	3	2	t		
<del>_</del>	Demonstrates, teaches,	monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved ess in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the	5	4	3	2	T		
5	attainment of the calibrat		-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1		
		Average Score				)			

Overall recommendation

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

Director, GSD

# EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: <u>Carmeling</u> Performance Rating: <u>Outstanding</u>	1. Castañas			.*
<u></u>		•		
Aim:				
Proposed Interventions to Improve	Performance:	•		
Date:	Target Date:			
First Sten		4		
	•			
Result: Attend TESDA trainings				
			4	
Date:	Target Date:	-		
Next Step:				
		·		
Outcome:				
	. ;			
Final Step/Recommendation:		<u>.</u>		
	Prepared by:	1.	Als	61c
		MARIO LII	YALE pervisor	NZONA

Conform:

CARMELINO I. CASTAÑAS
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff