COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: ORLANDO V. LATRAS

Particulars (1)		Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (2)	Equivalent Numerical rating (2x3)		
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.75	0.70	3.33		
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.67	0.30	1.40		
	TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING					

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.73

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.73

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

V. LATRAS

Name of Staff

DINAH M. ESPINA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President /

Legend:

4.6 - 5.0 Outstanding

3.8 - 4.5 Very Satisfactory

3.0 - 3.7 Satisfactory

2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory

2.1 - & below Poor

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT AND REVIEW (IPCR)

I, <u>ORLANDO V. LATRAS</u>, of the <u>Department of Animal Science</u>, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January</u>, <u>2016</u> to <u>June</u>, <u>2016</u>.

Orlando V. LATRAS

Ratee

Approved:

DINAH M. ESPINA

Head of Unit

		Tools Assistanced	Task Assigned Targets Actual Ratin		Actual	Actual	Tools Assigned Torgets Actual		Rating			Ratir		ating		Remark(s)
MFO & PAPS	Success Indicators	Task Assigned	Targets	Accomplishment		E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Kemark(s)							
Administrative Support		Pasturing and returning cattle,	Cattle = 20	Pastured and returned cattle = 27 to	5	5	5	5.00								
Services		deworming, spraying of chemical for		their shed daily, provided them with												
		fly/tick control, takes care the newly		forages, water and feeds.				1								
		born animals.		Dewormed them every 3 months,												
				sprayed them with chemical for												
				fly/tick control as the need arise and												
				took care newly born animals by												
				providing them with vitamins.		1										
	Number of paddocks of pasture established	Maintenance of the different paddocks	10	Applied fertilizer and maintained	5	5	4	4.67								
1 1		of pasture.		three (3) paddocks used for grazing												
	,			and seven (7) cut and carry of the	/											
		4		project. Repaired fences when												
				necessary.												
	Number of animal shed maintained	Clean/sanitize animal shed.	1	Daily cleaning of cattle shed = 1 and	4	5	5	4.67								
				its surroundings. Manure and grass		12										
				debris are properly disposed.												
	Number of animal facilities/equipment	Maintain the facilities and equipment	3	Repaired the cattle shed, driving	4	5	5	4.67								
1 1		of the cattle project.		chute/loading rump and maintained												
		, ,		the weighing scale and segration												
				pens.												
			<u> </u>	Total Over-all Ratir	ng			19.00								

Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating/No. of A ⁴ Entries)	4.75	
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	0	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	0	
FINAL RATING		4.75
ADJECTIVAL RATING		OUTSTANDING

A⁴ - Average

	FullCuality				1
	Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		0		
FINAL RATING				4.75	
ADJECTIVAL RATING				OUTSTANDING	
(INA	viewed by: AH M. ESPINA d, DAS-CAFS	Received by:	Calibrated by: Chairman, PM'i	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Vice-President for Admin.	Approved by: Lizzer EDGARDO E. TULIN President
		Date:	Date:	Date:	Date:
	Legend:	Q ¹ - Quality E ² - Efficiency			- 4.5 Very Satisfactory
		T ² - Timeliness		3.0	- 3.7 Satisfactory

Comments & Recommendation for

Development Purpose:

2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory 2.1 - & below Poor

18.1

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January - June, 2016

Name of Staff: Orlando V. Latras

Position: Administrative Aide 1

Instruction to supervisor:

Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/ center/college/campus using the scale below.

Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	1	5	Scale	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4)	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed (5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score \	/	7	1	,	
			-	71	1	

	3. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)				Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, rand confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	espect	5	4	3	2	1		
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.					2	1		
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.					2	1		
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.				3	2	1		
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit		5	4	3	2	700		
	Tota	Score				-	-		
	Average	Score							

ramupor dojetnom spremuštanje (C

Overall	reco	mm	end	ation
Overall	1000	111111	CIIU	allon

Name of Band Wish

in necestive tradition to the entresidence is the School of Alberta Committee and