COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

January - June 2018

Name of Administrative Staff:

NORJITO B. QUIMCO

| Particulars (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | (2x3) |
| Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.67 | 70% | 3.27 |
| 2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 5 | 30% | 1.5 |
| | TOTAL NUM | ERICAL RATING | 4.77 |

| ADJECTIVAL RATING: | Outstanding |
|--|-------------|
| Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: | |
| TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: | 4.77 |
| TOTAL NUMERICAL DATING. | 477 |

Prepared by:

NORJVIO B. QUIMCO

Recommending Approval:

Reviewed by:

FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR.

Office Head

FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR. Executive Assistant

Approved:

EDG/RDO E. TULII President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>NORJITO QUIMCO</u>, of the Office of the President commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January – June 2018</u>.

NORJITO QUIMCO

Approved:

FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR.

Head of Unit

| | | / | | Target for January | Actual | | Rat | ing | | Remarks |
|--|--|---|--|--------------------|----------------|-------|-----|-----|------|---------|
| Univ. MFO & PAPs | PAPs OP MFO Success Indicators Tasks Assigned – June 2018 Accomplishment | | Q ¹ | E ² | T ³ | A^4 | | | | |
| MFO 6. General Adm. and Support Services | OP MFO 1 | Zero complaint from clients | Conduct and fetch passengers to destinations safely and timely | Zero complaint | Zero Complaint | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| | | Clean and maintained assigned vehicle for road worthiness | Road worthy vehicle | 1 vehicle | 1 vehicle | . 4 | 5 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| Total Over-all | | | | | | | | | 4.67 | |
| Rating | | | | | | | | | | |

| Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 2) | 4.67 |
|---|-------------|
| Additional Points: | |
| Punctuality | |
| Approved Additional points (with copy of approval) | |
| FINAL RATING | 4.67 |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING | Outstanding |

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:
Need exposure to trainings (learning experiences to improve execution of transport maintenance and provision services.

| Evaluated and Rated : FRANCISCO & GABUNADA, JR. Unit Head | FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR. Executive Assistant | Approved by: EDGANDO E. TULIN President |
|---|--|---|
| Date: | Date: | Date: |
| | | |

1 – Quality; 2 – Efficiency; 3 – Timeliness; 4 – Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>January – June 2018</u>

Name of Staff: Norjito B. Quimco Position: Administrative Aide IV

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| | using the scale below. Entircle your rating. | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description | | | | | |
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model | | | | | |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements | | | | | |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements | | | | | |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. | | | | | |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements | | | | | |

| Α. (| Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) | | | Scale | € | |
|------|---|----------------|------------|-------|---|---|
| 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | <u>(5)</u> |) 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | $\binom{5}{2}$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks | (F) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | (1) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | B | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 9 | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 12. | Willing to be trained and developed | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

| | Total Score | | 6 | 0 | | |
|----|---|-------------------|----|-------|---|---|
| | Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor) | | | Scale | e | |
| 1. | Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. | (5 ²) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3. | Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. | (5/ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total Score | | 25 | • | • | |
| | Average Score | | 7 | | | |

Overall recommendation

excellent worker

EDGARDO E. TULIN Name of Head

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

| 1st | Q U |
|-----------------|--------|
| | |
| 2 nd | Α |
| | R |
| 3 rd | Τ |
| *** | Ε |
| 4th | R |

Name of Office: Office of the President

Head of Office: Francisco G. Gabunada, Jr.

Name of Faculty/Staff: Norjito B. Quimco Signature:

| Da | to. | |
|----|-----|--|
| Da | rc. | |
| | | |

| | | MECHA | NISM | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------|---------|
| Activity Monitoring | Meetin | ıg / | Memo | Others (Pls. | Remarks |
| | One-on-One | Group | IVICIIIO | specify) | |
| Monitoring | | | | | |
| Oisans job-related | · first working | | | | |
| Oisause job-related accomplishments; plane | · First working day of the month. | | | | |
| and problems. | | | | | |
| | · arthe need arises. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Coaching | | | | | |
| Discuss ways to | · First working | | | | |
| Discuss ways to improve the execution | · First working day of the | | | | |
| rightire the present | month. | | | | |
| of assigned tasks. | . As the | | | | |
| | · De the | | | | |
| | , | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR.

Immediate Supervisor

Verified by:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

Next Higher Supervisor

cc:

OVPI

ODAHRD

PRPEO

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

| Name of Employee: Performance Rating: | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Aim: Improve tra | insport maintenance and provision services |
| Proposed Interventions | to Improve Performance: |
| Date: | Target Date: January 2019 |
| First Step: Visit tra | neport and HELVMU Offices at VSU to interact, observe in best practices in transport maintenance. |
| Result: Identify a best prince | apply and evaluate applicability of vehicle maintenance stices. |
| Date: | Target Date: March 2019 |
| | |
| and le | Hices of other universities / institutions to observe interact an brest practices in transport provision and maintenance seem |
| provision | <u> </u> |
| | apply and walnute best practices in transport maintena |
| , | voision pewices. |
| Final Step/Recommend | |
| transport provise | apply proven best practice in vehicle maintenance and |
| 11 toroget provis | Prepared by: |
| | FRANCISCO G. CABUNADA, JR. Unit Head |
| onforme: / | , |
| NORJINOB. | QUIMCO |
| Rates . | 40 mm |