COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

VILLAUREL, JENZEN JHON M.

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating	Percentage Weight	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical rating per IPCR	3.83	70%	2.68
	5.05	7 0 70	2.00
Supervisors/Head's assessment of His contribution towards Attainment of office accomplishments	3.47	30%	1.04
	TOTAL NUME	3.72	

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.72

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERIAL RATING:

3.72

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VS

Prepared by:

The

Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

CELSO GUMAOD
Department/Office Head

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

"Exhibit B"

T, JENZEN JHON M. VILLARINE LI, of the SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period Jan 1 to June 30, 2019.

JENZEN JHON M. WILLARUEL

Ratee

CELSO GUMAOD

Head, Security Office

MEG / DADO	Program/Activities/	Table Assissed	ACCOMP	LISHMENT			Ra	ting			
MFO / PAPS	Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target Actual		Percentage	Q ¹	$Q^1 E^2$		A ⁴	Remarks	
UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)											
VPAF MFO 7: Security Services and Management Office											
Security Services Management MFOs:											
MFO 1. Conduct Investigations on Reported Incidents											
PI 1. Number of all reported incidents had been investigated	Investigation	Conducts investigation recorded/reported at the blotter	100%	55	100%	5	4	4	4.33		
PI 2. Number of reported incidents submitted to higher office for legal action	Reporting	Preparation of reports/encoding	100%	55	100%	5	4	4	4.33		

MFO / PAPS	Program/Activities/	Tooley Apolemed	ACCOM	PLISHMENT			Ra	ting		
WIFO/ PAPS	Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Percentage	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
MFO 2. Accomplishment Reporting										
PI 1. Number of weekly accomplishment reported	Reporting	Submission of weekly reports								
<u>PI 2.</u> Annual accomplishment reported on time	Reporting	Preparation/encoding of annual accomplishment report								
MFO 3. Public Safety										
PI 1. Number of road signage/barricades placed on appropriate places and maintained	Road Safety	Assist in the placement of signages and maintenance	15	15	109%	4	4	4	4.00	
<u>PI 2.</u> Number of students dormitories oriented/inspected for security and safety	Student safety in dormitories	Assist in inspection of emergency exits, electrical, emergency lights, emergency alarms, etc.	10	10	100%	3	3	4	3.33	
PI 3. Number of security and safety trainings/seminars conducted and or facilitated	Emergency preparedness	Assist of trainings facilitators/trainors in security and safety trainings and seminars	2	2	100%	4	4	4	4.00	
MFO 5. Admin. And Support Services Mgt.										
P1 1. Efficient office management and maintenance	Administrative work	Keeping of reported incidents records, encoding of DDO and assist the head of office in disseminating orders	100% no complain t	100% no complaint	100%	4	4	4	4.00	
TOTAL OVER-ALL RATING									15.33	

MFO / PAPS	Program/Activities/ Projects	Tasks Assigned
Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by 6)		3.83
Additional Points:		
Approved additional points(with copy of approval)	хх	
FINAL RATING		3.83
ADJECTIVAL RATING		VS

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Improve sensor of layaffy and godicafed

according to flow unif.

Rating

Remarks

Evaluated & Rated by:

CELSO GUMAOD
Dept/Office Head

Date:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Approved by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

ACCOMPLISHMENT

Target

Actual

Percentage

Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance

Date:

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: JENZEN JOHN M. VILLARUEL

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplished	Acutal Date of Accomplish ment	Quality of Output	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks Recommend ation
1	Manning fixed post	Effective manning of fixed post as per SOP	Refer to weekly guard detail	End of weekly Guard Detail Order	June 30, 2019	VS	Very Satisfactory	Observance of 11 General Orders
2	Campus Rooving Fither very impressive impressive needs improvement	observed	Refer to weekly guard detail	End of weekly Guard Detail Order	June 30, 2019	VS	Very Satisfactory	

^{*} Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:

CELSO GUMAOD

Head-Security Services Office

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January 1 to June 30, 2019

Name of Staff: JENZEN JOHN M. VILLARUEL

Position: Security Guard I

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements.

1.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Sca	le	
-	and an government properties in view.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Walks during his tour-of-duty in a military manner, keeping always on the alerand observing everything that takes place within sight or hearing.	t 5	4	3	2	
3.	Reports all violation of orders he is instructed to enforce.		+		+	+
1	D. A. B. B. C.	5	4	3	2	
4.	Repeats all calls from posts more distant from the guard house where he is stationed.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Quits his post only when properly relieved.	5	4	3	2	+
6.	university heads, SSO head, security officer or shift supervisors.	5	4	1	2	1
7.	Talks to no one except in line of duty.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Gives the alarm in case of fire or disorder.	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Calls the superior officer in any case not covered by instructions.	5	A	3	2	1
10.	commissioned officers of the Armed Forces of the Philippines.				2	1
1.	Especially watchful at night, and during the time of challenging, challenges all persons near his post and allows no one to pass without proper authority	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Wears his uniform, badge, patches and insignia in a proper manner, and neat in appearance as a symbol of public trust and confidence.		4	3	2	1
3.	Familiarizes himself diligently with the rules and regulations laid down by the university and that of the customers or clients.	1	4	8	2	1
4.				3	2	1
5.	Acts at all times with decorum and does not permit personal feelings; prejudices and undue friendship to influence his actuations in the performance of his official functions.	5	A	3	2	1
	Total Score	2	21	"	3.	4

. Lea	Scale					
1	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1
2	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score					

Overall recommendation	:	
------------------------	---	--

CELSO GUMAOD

Head-Security Services Office

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

1st 2nd 3rd 4th

Name of Office SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE

Head of Office: CELSO GUMAOD

Name of Staff: VILLARUEL, JENZEN JHON M

Activity		MECHANISM			
Monitoring	Meetin One-on-One	Group	Memo	Others (Pls. specify)	Remarks
Monitoring	The Head of office and shift supervisor conducted on the spot follow-up observations and inspection of detailed SG in his AOR	Participation of the SG's and admin staff meeting in the different activities conducted by the head of office	President Memo on the different university event/celebratio ns.	instructions of the Universitty	Security Guard concerned was informed of his assignments and properly monitored.
Coaching	of the previous office performance especially concerning draw-backs on	Security Guards attended command conference/meeting s to iron out what is best he can contribute the unit.	SSO Memo, orders and LOI issued	Weekly duty detail order was issued to concerned SG.	Narrative instruction was tiven and encouragement to do much better.

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

CELSO GUMAOD

Head-Security Services Office

Noted by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Vice Pres for Admin. & Finance

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee:

VILLARUEL, JENZEN JHON M

Performance Rating: O

Aim: To improve performance

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 01, 2019

Target Date: End of March 2019

First Ste	ep:	
	Review the Eleven General Orders	
Result:		
	More aware of their respective duti	es and responsibilities
Date:	April 01, 2019	Target Date: End of June 2019
Next Ste	ep:	
	Attendance of general meeting and	special conference with regards to Security
	operations	garast to country
Outcom	e: Can easily respond to any form of incide	ent happened in the campus.
Final Ste	p Recommendation:	
	Attendance of security seminars/tra	inings.

Conforme:

Name of Ratee Staff

Prepared by:

CELSO GUMAOD Head-Security Services Office