

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: NELITA C. BORNIAS


1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.75	70%	3.33
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	30%	1.45
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.78

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.78
 Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: _____
 TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: _____


FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.78

ADJECTIVAL RATING: 0


Prepared by:


NELITA C. BORNIAS
 Name of Staff

Reviewed by:



ROSARIO A. SALAS
 Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:


VICTOR B. ASIO

 Dean/Director

Approved:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

 Vice President

COLLECTION OF INDIVIDUAL RATINGS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STATE

WILLIAM C. BORNHART

Name of Administrative Staff

1)	2)	3)	4)
Individual Rating per IPRA	4.75	100%	1.33
Supervisor's Rating of his subordinates' achievement of office assignments	4.81	90%	1.45
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.73

4.75

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.75 APPROVED FOR: 4.75

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.75

ADJECTIVE RATING

Q

Reviewed by:

Approved by:

ROBERT A. SALAS
Department of Education

WILLIAM C. BORNHART
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

VICTOR E. JACO

Reviewed by:

ELIZABETH S. BERNHART

Reviewed by:

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, NELITA C. BORNIA, of the Department of Horticulture commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June, 2018.

NELITA C. BORNIA
Ratee

Approved:

ROSARIO A. SALAS
Head of Unit

MFO & PAs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Research Services	No. of research projects maintained/prepared	Lead in land and seedling preparation, planning, staking, planting, harvesting of produce. Care and maintenance	2	4	5	5	4	4.67	
	No. of data gathered	Data gathered, recorded, analyzed and tabulated	15	15	4	5	5	4.67	
	No. of reports made submitted	Submitted reports	6	8	5	5	5	5.0	
	No. of labors managed/supervised in the nursery	Supervised laborers	2	3	5	5	4	4.67	
Other tasks in support to research services	No. of ornamental plants propagated through tissue culture	Mass propagation of ornamental p[plants through tissue culture	35	35	5	5	5	5	
Other functions in support to instruction	No. of student activities assisted	Hold classes when instructor concerned is on official travel/Proctor in examinations	35	34	4	5	5	4.67	
	No. of laboratories	Maintained the tissue culture laboratory of the ornamental crops	1	2	5	5	4	4.67	


	no. of communities served as member	Member of working committees for VSU Anniversary								
Total Overall Rating									4.75	

Average Rating (Total Overall rating divided by 4)	4.75
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	5.
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	
FINAL RATINGS	4.75
ADDITIONAL RATINGS	0


Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Keep it up.


Evaluated and Rated by:


ROSARIO S. SALAS
Head, DOH
Date: _____

Recommending Approval:


VICTOR B. ASIO
Dean, CAPS
Date: _____

Approved by:


BEATRICE S. BELUGMAS
VP for Instruction
Date: _____

1 – Quality 2 – Efficiency 3 – Timeliness 4 – Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January – June, 2013Name of Staff: DELITA C. BORNIA Position: Science Research Assistant

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below.

Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model.
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)					
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding	5	4	3	2 1
2.	Make self-available to clients beyond official time	5	4	3	2 1
3.	Submit urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2 1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time	5	4	3	2 1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2 1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2 1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed	5	4	3	2 1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2 1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2 1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2 1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2 1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2 1
TOTAL SCORE		41.83			

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000


100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrate mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
TOTAL SCORE						
AVERAGE SCORE						

Overall recommendation _____


ROSARIO A. SALAS
Name of Head

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: NELITA C. BORNIA
Performance Rating: Outstanding

Aim: To sustain the Outstanding rating

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 2018 Target Date: June 2018

First Step: To be able to attend and participate in trainings, seminars/conferences and scientific forum. To be able to help improve the ornamental nursery. To pass licensure examination.

Result Attended training, seminars and scientific forum.

Maintained and helped improve the ornamental crops project.

Date: July 2018 Target Date: December 2018


Next Step:

Outcome: _____


Final Step/Recommendation:

To finish my MS degree.

Prepared by:


ROSARIO A. SALAS
Unit Head

Conforme:


NELITA C. BORNIA
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: WILLIAM C. BOWMAN
Position: Assistant Manager

To: William C. Bowman

Approved by: William C. Bowman

Date: January 1970 Target Date: June 1971

Part of: to be able to attend and participate in a training program
and related forms. To be able to help improve the company's training program.

Result: Attended training program and received diploma

Comments: and helped improve the company's training program

Date: July 1971 Target Date: December 1972

Item 100

Outcome

Final Performance Review

To: William C. Bowman

Approved by:

WILLIAM C. BOWMAN
Assistant Manager

Comments

WILLIAM C. BOWMAN
Assistant Manager