COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: VICTORINO M. LAMO

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.83	0.70	3.38
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	5.00	0.30	1.50
		TOTAL NUME	4.88	

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.88

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.88

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

VICTORINO M. LAMO

Name of Staff

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

Dean, CAFS

Vice-President for Instruction

RATING SCALE: 4.6-5.0 Outstanding

3.8-4.5 Very Satisfactory

3.0-3.7 Satisfactory

2.2-2.9 Unsatisfactory

2.1- & below Poor

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT AND REVIEW (IPCR)

I, <u>VICTORINO M. LAMO</u>, of the <u>Department of Animal Science</u>, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January</u>, 2019 to <u>June</u>, 2019.

VICTORINO M. LAMO

Ratee

Approved:

JULIUS X. ABELA

lead of Unit

MFO & PAPS	Success Indicators	Task Assigned	Targets	Actual Accomplishment	Rating		R		ating		Remark(s)
				Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴			
Efficient and Customer Friendly Frontline Services	Zero percent complaint from client served	Officer of the day (frontliner), first person to entertain students, clients/ customers, and VSU co-employees.	80% no complaint	100% no complaint	5	4	5	4.67			
Services	Number of payrolls, DTR/CSR, faculty workload, job orders, staff appointments and other documents recorded and forwarded on time to higher offices for approval	Recorded and forwarded payrolls, DTR/CSRs of faculty/staff, SA, GTAs, part-time teacher, job orders of laborers and SA to higher offices for approval	50	75	5	5	5	5.00			
	Number of TO, vouchers, certificate of appearance, leave applications, etc. recorded and forwarded on time to higher offices for approval	Recorded and forwarded Travel Orders (TOs), typed/ computerizes travel vouchers for liquidation of cash advances, processed for signature of head and other certifying officials	35	55	5	5	4	4.67			
	Number of PR, canvass papers, PO, vouchers, etc. prepared, signed, released, recorded and forwarded on time	Prepared/computerized Purchase Requests (PRs)for instruction and research projects, processed reimbursements vouchers of purchases, forwarded to approving head and other officials, recorded and forwarded	50	80	5	5	5	5.00			
	Number of Project Reports prepared and submitted on time	Typed project reports, forwarded for signature and approval of head and other approving, recorded, forwarded and filed	6	6	5	5	4	4.67			

				Total Ove	r-all F	Rating		29.00	
instructors/pr	ofessors	requested by instructors/professors							
days or holida	ys when requested by	during official working days or holidays when							
opened/closed	d/checked during official working	other facilities were opened/closed/checked						1	
rooms, offices		rooms, administrative/faculty offices and							
Number of DA		DAS lecture/laboratory rooms, comfort	10	10	5	5	5	5.00	
		DAGILL /I-Lto	10	10	5	5	5	5.00	

Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating/No. of A ⁴ Entries)		4.83
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	0	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	0	
FINAL RATING		4.83
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding

Cor	nments & Recor	nme	ndation fo	r
De	velopment Purp	ose:		
A	velopment Purp Jerolama þ	for	inings s	- temme
1	Administry	De	Jan Y	enat
			1	3
		<	alou	^ '
	Approved by:			0
		/	1041	4
	BE	ATRI	Z S. BELON	IIAS
re	Vice-Pr	resid	ent for Ins	truction
		/		

JULIUS V. ABELA

Head, Dept. of Animal Science

Date:

Evaluated and Rated by

Dean, College of Agriculture

Recommending Approval:

Date: __

Date:

Legend: Q1 - Quality

E² - Efficiency

T² - Timeliness

A⁴ - Average

4.6 - 5.0 Outstanding

3.8 - 4.5 Very Satisfactory

3.0 - 3.7 Satisfactory

2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory

2.1 - & below Poor

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January - June, 2019

Name of Staff: Victorino M. Lamo

Position: Farm Worker 2

Instruction to supervisor:

Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/ center/college/campus using the scale below.

Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

Α.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	0	(Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	$\binom{5}{5}$	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	. ,	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	6	V			

Au = J. N

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)				Scale					
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1			
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1			
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1			
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1			
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1			
	Total Score)							
	Average Score								

Overall re	commendation	
------------	--------------	--

JULIUS V. ABELA, Ph.D.
Name of Head

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Performance Rating: OUTSTANDING
Aim: To efficiently deliver services in terms of administrative support to achieve department targets.
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance and/or Competence and Qualification to assume higher responsibilities:
Date: January 2019 Target Date: March 2019
First Step Prepare office documents ahead of time and constant follow-up of
documents to avoid delay in all office transactions.
Result: Purchase and procurement of supplies, materials and equipment
were facilitated.
Date: April, 2019 Target Date: June, 2019
Next Step: Sharing of administrative workload with other administrative
staff for smooth flow of office transactions.
Outcome: Submitted office documents on time to achieve dept. targets.
Final Step/Recommendation:
Proper sharing of work and well-organized schedule of weekly activities posted in the administrative office for easy monitoring by the department head.
Prepared by:
JULIUS V. ABELA

VICTORINO M. LAMO Name of Ratee (Staff)

Conforme: