



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: **Norman O. Villas**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.92	70%	3.44
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	5	30%	1.5
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.94

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.94**

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: _____

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.94**

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING **4.94**

ADJECTIVAL RATING: **Outstanding**

Prepared by:

Norman O. Villas
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

Sean O. Villagonzalo
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

NA

Dean/Director

Approved:

Remberto A. Patindol
Vice President

Vision:

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.

Mission:

Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Norman O. Villas, of the University Information & Communications Technology Management Center commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June, 2020.

NORMAN O. VILLAS

Ratee

Approved:

SEAN O. VILLAGONZALO

Head of Unit

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator	Task assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishments	Rating				Remarks
					Q1	E2	T3	A4	
MFO 1: Technical Assistance	Number of technical assistance via phone/Cellphone calls served	Technical Support	15	50	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of technical assistance via Instant messaging served	Technical support via IP Messenger/Slack/Email	80	148	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of A/V and live streaming	Configure, Lay cables for Online	4	15	5	5	5	5.00	
MFO2: Systems Administration, Development & Maintenance	Number of Appends on the development & maintenance of Transcript of records (Registrar)	Convert Data from SRMS to TOR	211	30	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of records imported from Enrollment System to ID Database	Import/Convert Data from SRMS	5,000	7800	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of VSU Email User Account Appended	Create new Email User	30	50	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of VSU Email accounts	Recover Email Password	30	65	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of VSU Web Assets Appended	Import VSU Web Assets to the web	25	80	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of new articles or news posted in VSU website	Post Articles to VSU website from content writers	40	55	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of data compression for the enrollment system for external campus	Compact SRMS Database	200	300	5	5	5	5.00	
MFO3: Server installation, repair and Maintenance	Proxy Server installed, configured, updated.	Configure Internet Proxy Server	3	5	5	5	5	5.00	
	Web Server installed, configured, updated.	Configure VSU Web Server	3	8	5	5	5	5.00	
	VOIP Server installed, configured, updated.	Configure VOIP Server	1	2	5	5	4	4.67	
	Database Server installed, configured, updated.	Configure and Maintain	4	8	5	5	5	5.00	
	File Server installed, configured, updated.	Configure and Maintain File	2	3	5	5	5	5.00	
MFO4: Data Backup on the	Number of enrollment system database backup.	Backup Enrollment	150	250	5	5	5	5.00	


following Systems:	Number of transcript of records database backup	Backup Transcript of Records Database	150	250	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of BAOM database backup	Backup BAOM Database	150	250	5	5	4	4.67	
MFO5: Seminars and Training	Number of training s conducted/facilitated	Conduct User Training	2	5	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of Systems Maintained	Maintain Systems	7	10	5	5	5	5.00	
MFO6: SYSTEMS Development	Number of System Developed	Develop Online Enrollment System	4	8	5	5	5	5.00	
Total Over-all Rating									4.92

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		4.92
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	XX	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	XX	
Final Rating		4.92
Adjectival Rating		Outstanding

Commnet & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

It would be best for VSU if a scholarship to faculty & staff who cannot leave their work, but can continue studying on extramural offering of their field of expertise on weekends.

Evaluated & Rated by:


SEAN O. VILAGONZALO
 Dept./Unit Head

Date: _____

Recommending Approval:

NA
 Dean/Director

Date: _____

Approved by:


REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
 VP for Admin. & Finance

Date: _____

1- Quality 2- Efficiency 3- Timeliness 4- Average



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January – June 2020

Name of Staff: Norman O. Villas Position: Computer Programmer I

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements


A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

Vision:
Mission:

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.
Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

Total Score		60				
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1	
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Score						
Average Score		5				

Overall recommendation : _____


Sean O. Villagonzalo
 Printed Name and Signature
 Head of Office

Vision:
Mission:

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.
 Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge
 and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

Page 2 of 2
FM-PRO-14
 v1 05-27-2020

No. 211

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLANName of Employee: Norman O. Villas

Performance Rating: _____

Aim:

ICT is very dynamic technology and this requires a very dynamic skills of manpower, hence the ICT personnel must be very dynamic in learning new things related to ICT annually.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:**Date:** January – June 2020 **Target Date:** June 30, 2020**First Step:**

Find regional and national short term trainings, seminar, workshop, conference & Convention related to ICT.

Result:

Several regional, national ICT related trainings are available.

Date: July – December 2020 **Target Date:** December 31, 2020**Next Step:**

Send NOVillas to ICT related training, seminars, workshop, conference & convention.

Outcome:

- Improved skills and technique due to training, seminars attended.
- Faster resolution of ICT related problems due to enhanced skills.
- Improved ICT analytic skills due to training attended.


Final Step/Recommendation:

- Due to the dynamism in ICT technology itself, continue sending Norman O. Villas annually to training, seminar not only in the country but as well as international, and if possible to a master's degree related to ICT

Prepared by:


Sean O. Villagonzalo
Unit Head

Conforme:


Norman O. Villas
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff