COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ERLY S. ESGUERRA

4.82

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2 X 3)
Numircal Rating per IPCR	4.81	0.70	3.37
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	0.30	1.45
	TOTAL NUMER	4.82	

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.82
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	OUTSTANDING
Prepared by:	Reviewed by:
ERLY S. ÆSGUERRA	ALICIAM. FLORES
/ Name of Staff	Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President for Admin. & Finance

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President for Admin. & Finance

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL NATING FOR LOWING FIRATIVE STAFF.

Name of Administrative Staff

ENLY & ESCUENCE

and the state of t	finolavjupa priježi lagite muh jeg X 3)	Percentings Vestight 70% (3)	Numerical Rating (2)	Ferriquiers (1)
section of the section of	2.37	01.0	16 +	1. Numiral Raing per IPGR
to the section of the	∃ ≱.1	Q\$10		z. Supervisor/Head's essessment of his contribution towards attainment of prince accomplishments
. The second section of the second	Sil 4	071109 JAC	MENNING AND TO	

TO JAL NUMERIOAL PATING:

Additional Approved Points If any:

TOTAL NUMERIOAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING.

He viewed by:

SHIN

18 A

DUTSTANDING

Prepared by

ERBY STEGUENDA Zname of Staff

ALICIÁNA PLOPES Dapadmand'Offica Head

Recommending Approval.

LEMBERTO'S PATHODOL

Vice President for Andre S. Finance

:baworidgA

REMBERTÉ A PARANDOL Vice President for Alimia, & Finance

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **Erly S. Esguerra**, of the Procurement Services Management Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January to June</u> 2018.

ERLY S. ESGUERRA

ALICIA M. FLORES Head, SPPMO

MFO/PAPS	Program/Activities	Task Assigned	Accomplishment January to June 2018			Remarks			
	Undertaken	_	Target	Actual	Q^1	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
UMFO 6: General Admin	istrative and Support Services							*	
OVPAF MFO 6: Procuren	ent Services								
SPPMO MFO1: Administ	rative and Support Services								
PI 1: Efficient and customer friendly Services	A.1: Frontline services	T 1: Serves and attends to cleints requests and inquiries	Zero percent complaint from clients served	Zero percent complaint from clients served	5	5	5	5.00	
PSMO MFO 6.2: Procure	ment Process Management								
<u>PI 2:</u> Procurement documents peparation and processing	A.1: Number of PR's received, evaluated, encoded and consolidated	T1: Receives PR's, evaluates, encodes and consolidates into BOQ	200	300	5	5	4	4.67	
:	A.2: Number of vochers prepared	T 2: Prepares vouchers for payment to suppliers for purchases through Alternative Method of Procuremet and Public Bidding	800	901	5	5	4	4.67	
	A.3: Number of PR's evaluated	T 3: Evaluates specifications of items in the Purchase Request (PR'S)	350	500	5	5	5	5.00	

		A.4: Number of advertisement, bid supplement and other notices posted in the PhilGEPS and in conspicous places	T 4: Posts advertisement, bid supplement and other notices in the PhilGEPS (for procurement thru Alternative Method)	50	77	5	5	5	5.00	
ļı	PSMO MFO 6.3: Procurement Monitoring Management									
	PI 2: Procurement documents peparation, processing and monitoring		T12: Informs/ communicates endusers regarding the items requested	40	80	5	5	4	4.67	
		A.2: Number of suppliers records monitored and updated	T 2: Monitors and up-dates Suppliers Directory	150	200	5	5	4	4.67	
-	Total Over-all Rating					35	35	31	33.67	

	4.81
xx	
xx	

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purposes: Recommended to affend training on the update of k.A. 9184 and other Seminar / Workshop relative to procurement.

Evaluated and Rated by:
ALICIA M. FLORES
Head, SPPMO

Date:

1 - quality 2- efficiency 3- timeliness 4- Average **Recommending Approval:**

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL VP for Admin and Finance

Date: _____

Approved by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

VP for Admin and Finance

Date:



The sales of the s		00.2	2		3		04	T &: Ports advertisement, pid supplement and other notices in the PaiGETS (for pronurement thru Alternative Method)	A. C: Number of sown sengent, bid supplement and other notices possed in the PhilGEPS and in conspicous pieces	
	gar ya makagaan ili a samana kana an saman yagaan mari hili ili daa kana a kana an								inemogensid guinoff (off. sugar	FSWO MED ELL PONOPE
		V8.0	4	-1	2	52	94	Y32: lotorris/ coronit.riustus end- users regarding the frent: rivulesteri	State of the st	Pl 2. Procurement documents peparation, processing and
		V.8.N	-		ċ	200	082	12: Monitors and up-dates Supplicis Directory	1 ·	gshaticom
		Va.£5.		25	38					Total Coar of Bailing

į	28.75		(Average Rating (Total Over all roting divided by 3).
1			
,			additional roints:
		$r \times r$	Principality.
			Approved Additional points (with copy of approved)
1			PMAL RATING
			DISCRIPAL RATING
	The spannings of the entire to the second state of the second	manganes (next) class (next) para mangan and anagani and a collision and according to the collision of	

Evaluated and Refer by:

Auror to states Head, SPPND

*e; 15(1)

eginaeu i tridhenti i musellija i

Recommending Approvair

REMARKSTÜP & PATIROCU-VP for Admin and Steence

: 9760

Auproved Sy:

REMBEREO À PANNOOL Ve for Afinin and Finance

Color enti & Recourandstions for

Develonment Purposes: Leconimended for alternative and on the applicate, application of the Application of any continue of any close to procupe when the application of procupe when the application of a procupe

 $\langle c_0 \rangle_{\omega}$

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: **JANUARY TO JUNE 2018**

Name of Staff: ERLY S. ESGUERRA Position: ADMINISTRATIVE AIDE III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description						
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model						
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements						
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements						
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.						
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements						

				\I	_	
A. C	ommitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		3	cal		
	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	(4)	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	(4)	3	2	1
;	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
,	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(5)	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	[5]	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					

hig	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by ther supervisor)	Scale					
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Score	J	8	I	l	I	
	Average Score	ge Score 4.89					

ALICIA M. FLORES
Name of Head

Overall recommendation :

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Signature: Name of Employee: **ERLY S. ESGUERRA** Performance Rating: January to June 2018 Aim: Effective and efficient delivery of administrative services Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: Date: January 1 Target Date: **June 30, 2018** First Step: Recommended to attend Seminar-Workshop applicable to BAC Secretariat member, as Procurement staff and as government personnel/employee such as: 1) Good Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility to be conducted by POAP 2) Supply and Property Management System to be conducted by COA 3) PhilGEPS Training 4) Gender Sensitivity Trainers training Result: Scheduled to attend the seminar/training on Supply and Property Management System on September 4-7, 2018. • The Administrative Scholarship Committee disapproved the recommendation to attend the trainings to be conducted by POAP. • Scheduled to attend training to be conducted by PhilGEPS on July 30-31, 2018. • Scheduled to attend the GAD trainers training on July 9-10, 2018. Date: Target Date: Next Step: Outcome: Not attended yet the recommended seminar/trainings/workshops. Final Step/Recommendation: Recommend to attend training on the update of R.A. 9184 and other training/ seminar/ workshop relative to procurement. Conforme: