

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: Aniceta M. Lumanac

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.81	70%	3.37
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his Contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.76	30%	1.43
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.80

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.81

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: _____

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: _____

ADJECTIVAL RATING: Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

JOSE ROSE B. SCAPRICHO
Name of Staff

SUZETTE B. LINA
Department Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Chairman, PMT

Approved:


EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **Ms. Aniceta M. Lumacad** of the Department of Agronomy and Soil Science, **College of Agriculture** commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period **Jan to June, 2016**.

Approved:


ANICETA M. LUMACAD
Ratee


SUZETTE B. LINA, Ph.D
Head of Unit

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q1	E2	T3	A4	
General Administration and Support Services (GASS)									
Lab Technician II	1. No. of Soil and plant tissue samples analyzed	1. Analyzed soil and plant tissue samples including the following activities: a. Checked & lab coding of samples received b. Issue billing slips for lab accounts c. Prepare glasswares & reagents needed for the analysis d. Weighing samples for analysis & for oven-	50	293* * - P reading	5	5	5	5	

[illegible]

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		4.81
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Calibrated by:

Recommending Approval:


Approved:



REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Date: _____



BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS, Ph.D.

VP for Instruction

Date: _____



EDGARDO E. TULIN, Ph.D.

President

Date

- 1 – quality
- 2 – Efficiency
- 3 – Timeliness
- 4 - Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: Jan - Jun 2016Name of Staff: Aniceta M. LumacadPosition: Lab. Tech II


Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2. Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time.	5	(4)	3	2	1
3. Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay.	(5)	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5. Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks.	(5)	4	3	2	1
6. Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7. Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8. Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	(5)	4	3	2	1

9. Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university.	5	(4)	3	2	1
10. Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele.	(5)	4	3	2	1
11. Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment.	5	(4)	3	2	1
12. Willing to be trained and developed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale			
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	(4)	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	(5)	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	(5)	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score		81			
Average Score		4.76			

Overall recommendation : _____


S.B. LINA

Name of Head