# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATINGFOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

# ALBERTO N. BANAYAG

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.5	x 70%	3.15
Supervisor/Head's assessment     of his contribution towards     attainment of office     accomplishments	4.6	x 30%	1.38
	TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING		

TOTA	AL NUMERICAL RATING:	
Add:	Additional Approved Points, if any	

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Recommending Approval:

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

"Exhibit B"

# INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

commits to deliver and agree to be to December July rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period VSU-ACIAR Projects of the I, ALBERTO N. BANAYAG ALBERTO BANAYAG

Approved:

MFO & PAPS		MF04: Administrative Services Transportation services served Pi. 2 Vehicle	maintenance Percer vehicle vehicle	Pr. 3 Good Percen assured running condition of
Surrose Indicators	Success marcardis	Number of transportation request served.	Percent of maintenance of vehicle Grandia and other vehicle in the university.	Percent good running condition assured.
1 1 1 1	lasks Assigned	Fetch and conduct project and university guests, project leaders/staff to visit the ACIAR Project sites and from point of destination (airport, accommodation, venue of for and meetings) in Leyte, Samar and Bohol areas, Legazpi, Luzon areas in Baguio and Benguet, Davao and Mindanao areas, Surigao, Cagayan De Oro, Claveria and Bukidnon.	Checkup vehicle, cleaning the garage and monitoring of the quarterly change oil and other services in the vehicle before and after travel and quarterly over all check at Toyota casa.	Good running condition of vehicle assured before and after travel.
	Target	09	100%	100%
	Actual Accomplishment	89	100%	100%
	Q,	5.	4.5	4.6
Rating	~ <u>u</u>	4.3	4.2 4.4	4.5 4.2
8	7-	ري م		
	A4	9.4	4.5	4.8
Remarks		4.48	4.4	4.53

Percent on call in campus service to key officials, VSU guests and ACIAR project staff when requested.  When requested.  Support in the liaise on the processing of ACIAR documents when requested.	duct key 100% 100% cst mpus est and liaise on the CLAR en	duct key 100% 100% 4.4  I campus est and liaise on the CIAR en	duct key 100% 100% 4.4 4.5 r.campus est and liaise on the CLAR en
Juct key 100% 100% set ample est and liaise on the CIAR en	duct key 100% 1.00% 4.4 I campus est and liaise on the CLAR en	duct key 100% 100% 4.4 4.5 r campus est and liaise on the CLAR en	duct key 100% 100% 4.4 4.5 4.3 1 campus est and liaise on the CLAR en
100%	100% 4.4	100% 4.4 4.5	4.4 4.5 4.3
	4.4	4.4	4.4 4.5 4.3
4.		4.5	4.5
	2,4		6.4

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	4.5
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	
FINAL RATING	4.5
ADJECTIVAL RATING	0

Planning Office Received by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Date:

Date: 1 – Quality 2 – Efficiency 3 – Timeliness 4 - Average

Recommending Approval:

Calibrated by:

OTHELLOB SCHOMO

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Approved by:

### Annex O

# Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>July – December, 2017</u>
Name of Staff: <u>ALBERTO N. BANAYAG</u> Position: <u>ADMIN AIDE IV</u>

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	6		
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5		
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay		0	
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	6		
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5		
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.		0	
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.		A)	
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(3		
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5		
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	3		
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment		(D)	
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(3)		

	Total Score		
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Sc	ale 51
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors		
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.		
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.		
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.		
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit		
	Total Score		
	Average Score	56	74.6

Overall recommendation

OTHELLO B. CAPUNO

Name of Head