



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: **MIKKO ZILLAH D. ROSELLO**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.71	70%	3.29
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	30%	1.4
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.69

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.69

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: 4.69

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.69

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.69

ADJECTIVAL RATING: OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

MIKKO ZILLAH D. ROSELLO
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

ROSARIO A. SALAS
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

VICTOR B. ASIO
Dean/Director

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice President

Vision:

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.

Mission:

Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

[illegible]

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.76
ADJECTIVAL RATING		0

**Comments & Recommendations
for Development Purpose:**

keep up the good work

Evaluated and Rated by

RSal
ROSARIO A. SALAS

Head, DOH

Date:

07/07/21

Recommending Approval:

VB
VICTOR B. ASIO

Dean, CAFS

Date:

7/27/21

Approved:

BC
BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice President Instruction

Date:

8/13/21

1 – Quality

2 – Efficiency

3 – Timeliness

4 – Average



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January- June 2021

Name of Staff: MIKKO ZILLAH D. ROSELLO

Position: ADMINISTRATIVE AIDE III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements


A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

Vision:
Mission:

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.
Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

Total Score						
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score						
Average Score		4.83				

Overall recommendation : _____


ROSARIO A. SALAS
 Printed Name and Signature
 Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: MIKKO ZILLAH D. ROSELIO

Performance Rating: Outstanding

Aim: To sustain the Outstanding rating

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 2021 Target Date: June 2021

First Step: To attend and participate in trainings and seminars to improve skills and for
self-improvement so as to be more competent as support staff of the Dept. of
Horticulture.

Result: Attended trainings and seminars.

Date: January 2022 Target Date: June 2022

Next Step:

Outcome:

Final Step/Recommendation:

Prepared by:

ROSARIO A. SALAS
Unit Head

Conforme:

MIKKO ZILLAH D. ROSELLO
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff