## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

EDERLINA S, DIANO

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.77	4.77 x 70%	3,33
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.75	4.75x 30%	1.42
	4.75		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.75

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.00

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.75

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

EDERLINA S. DIANO Admin. Aide IV

MYRNA S.PANCITO Head, Budget Office

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

1, EDERLINA S. DIANO, of the Budget Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1 - December 31, 2017.

EDERLINA S. DIANO

Ratee

Approved:

MYRNA S. PANCITO Head of Unit

MFO & PAPS	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Percentage of Accomplishment as	Details of Actual		2	Rating		Remar
		0	•	of Dec. 31, 2015	Accomplishment	ō	E <sub>2</sub>	ī.	A4	
Certified Financial Documents/Rep	Number of transaction recorded/encoded right after receipt of documents,	Records/Encodes daily, obligated Purchase Orders, Vouchers & Payrolls under General Fund & IGP Accts. To BAOM	Purchase 95%: 5000 documents:	132%	6632 documents: under GF	w	S	4	4.67	
	Number of sub-allotment & projects controlled 2 days upon receipt, error free & posting & filing	Controls office/center/dept sub-allotment 95% : under General Fund and encode income from encoded IGP Projects to Registry of Receipts & BAOM & Obligations Subsidiary Ledgar	95% : 6200 documents encoded & posted to the BAOM & Sublsidiary Ledger	134%	8309 documents	2	2	4	4.67	
61	Number of documents obligated, 2 days upon receipt error free	Obligates vouchers, purchase orders and payrolls and files OBR/BUR & vouchers copy under Fund 101 & IGP	95%; 4000 General Fund documents	165%	6,632 documents: GF	S	5	4	4.67	
	Number of documents earmarked, 2 days upon receipt, error free	Earmarks Job Orders, Contract of services, Purchase Requests, RIS and fund transfer under Fund 101 & projects under IGP	95% : General Fund = 1500	111%	General Fund = 1,677documents	S	N.	4	4.67	
	Number of status of funds monthly, quarterly and year- end status prepared within prescribed period, error free	Number of status of funds Prepares monthly, Quarterly & year-end monthly, quarterly and year-status of funds under Fund 101 & IGP projects end status prepared within prescribed period, error free	20 Registry of Allotment & Obligations monthly &100 offices, center quarterly reports & Status of funds	150%	30 RAO's monthly & quarterly reports & Status of funds	vs.	5	ru.	2.00	
Administrative Support services and Management	Efficient & customer- Friendly Frontline Service	Entertain clients and observe no noon break policy	Zero percent complaint from clients served	100%	100% Zero percent complaint	12	vs.	ın	2.00	
Total Over-all Rating						30	30	26	53	
Average Rating (To	Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by # of				4.77	Com	Comments &	So So	1 12	
Additional Points:						Reco	mme	ndat	Recommendations for	Ŀ
Punctuality						Dev	ndole	ent !	Development Purpose:	Ü
Approved Addit	Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	3()			4.77	_				
ADJECTIVAL RATING	9				1114	_				

TERESTER L. RUINMOLA BEOMAGNED L. PAT INDEL
BOOM, PLYSO PAT CHOMMON Received by: . Calibrated by: Date: 1-quality Date:

3 - timeliness

4 - average

Date:

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN President

Date:

Recommending Approval:

Killy Pole Boll - PET M Vol.

Vice President, Admin & Finance

2 - efficiency

## Annex O

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period:July 1 – December 31,	2017
Name of Staff: Ederlina S.Diano	Position:Admin. Aide IV

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	<b>Qualitative Description</b>			
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model			
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirement			
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements			
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.			
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements			

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	<b>(5)</b>	4	3	2	1	
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	6	4	3	2	1	
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	•	3	2	1	
2.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
3.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	6	4	3	2	1	
4.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
5.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
6.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1	
7	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	6	4	3	2	1	
8	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	<b></b>	4	3	2	1	
9.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1	
0	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1	

Total Score							
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scale				
<ol> <li>Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors</li> </ol>	5	4	3	2	1		
<ol><li>Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.</li></ol>	5	4	3	2	1		
<ol> <li>Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.</li> </ol>	5	4	3	2	1		
<ol> <li>Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.</li> </ol>	5	4	3	2	1		
<ol> <li>Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit</li> </ol>	5	4	3	2	1		
Total Score  Average Score							
		475					

overall recommendation	·	
		V.
		MYRNA S. PANCITO
	_	Name of Head