SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL RATINGS OF FACULTY MEMBERS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS

Name of Faculty Member:

	Program Involvement (1)	Percentage Weight of Involvement (2)	Numerical Rating (Rating x %) (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Instruction			
	a. Head (50%) from IPCR	0.50	3.18	1.59
	b. Student (50%) from Teaching Performance Eval'n. By-Students	0.50	5.00	2.50
	Total for Instruction	100%	4.09	4.09
2.	Administration and Support Services	0%	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL	100%	TOTAL EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING	4.09

EQUIV	/ALENT	NUME	RICAL	RATING:
Add:	Additio	nal Po	ints, if	any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.09

4.09

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Recommending Approval:

ALELI A. VILLOCINO

Dean/Director

Department Head

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIA

Vice President

Visayas State University College of Education

VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY INTEGRATED HIGH SCHOOL

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, SHALOM GRACE C. SUGANO, Instructor of VSUIHS, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January, 2019 to June, 2019.

Instructor

Principal, VSUIHS

		/			Principal, VSUII	4S					
MFO No.	MFO Description	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Units/Persons	Towart	Actual		The same of the sa	ting	a)		
			Responsible	Target	Accomplishment	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark	
MFO 1	20/0										
	1. Graduate Degree Program	Management Services									
	0 = 3	PI 1: Number of graduate degree .		NA							
	1 = 4.8	specializations offered and monitored		IVA							
	≥2 = 5.0										
	1.5 - 2.0 = 4.8	PI 2: Total FTE monitored									
	> 2 = 5.0			NA							
	0-25% = 3.0	PI 3: Percentage of students who graduated		NA		_	4	\rightarrow			
	26 - 90% = 4.5	within the prescribed period		110							
	>90% = 5.0										
	2. Graduate Student Manage	ment Services									
	0-25%= 3.0	PI 1: Percentage of graduate students	T	NA T							
	26-50% = 4.5	awarded with honors/distinction		INA							
F	>50% = 5.0										
	0-25%= 3.0 26-50% = 4.5	PI 2: Percentage of graduate students		NA		+			-+		
		advised who rated the adviser/GAC member at least very satisfactory									

		PI 3: Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the ff:	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
		a. Pursuing advanced research degree program	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0-25% = 3.0 26 50% = 4.5 >50% = 5.0	scientific research, policy research, social science research)	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
		c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
02	Higher Education Comition	d. Whose research work resulted in an extension program	VSUIHS Faculty		0.00	3	3	3	3	
	Higher Education Services 1. Curriculum Program Management Services									
	<15 = 4.0	gement Services								
	15 - 17.99 = 4.5	PI 1: Total FTE monitored (Higher Ed and Basic Ed)	VSUIHS Faculty		29.19	5	5	5	5.00	
	1-25%= 4	PI 2: Percentage of undergraduate curricular program compliant to CMO, approved and offered		NA						
	below nat'l = 4.0	PI 3: Average percentage passing in licensure exam		NA				_		
	above nat'l up to 75% = 4.5 76 - 100% = 5.0	PI 4: Percentage of first time licensure exam takers who passed the licensure exam		NA						
	0 graduate = 3.0 100% or more = 5.0	PI 5: Number of graduates who graduated within prescribed period		NA						
	No increase = 4	PI 6: Percentage increase in the number of undergraduate students who graduated within prescribed period		NA						

	> 10% = 5.0	PI 7: Percentage of graduates (two years prior) who are employed and/or pursuing graduate studies		NA						
	0 linkage - 3.0 1 - 2 linkage = 4.5 >2 = 5.0	PI 8. Number of academe/industry linkages established		NA						
		PI 9: Number of thesis/special problem students:	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
	100% = 5.0	a. Thesis outline/manuscript/Case Studies/Special Problems/OJT Narrative Reports/Engineering Projects/Portfolios advised	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
		b. Thesis outline/manuscript/Case Studies/Special Problems/OJT Narrative Reports/Engineering Projects/Portfolios approved	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 organization = 3.0 1 organization = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	PI 10: Number of student organizations advised/assisted	VSUIHS Faculty		1	4	4	4	4.00	
		PI 11: Number of instructional materials developed/revised	VSUIHS Faculty		17	5	5	5	5.00	
		a. OBE-compliant syllabi	VSUIHS Faculty							
	0 IEC = 3.0 1 IEC = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	b. Teaching guides/Student guides/ Laboratory Manuals	VSUIHS Faculty							
	2 01 HIOTE - 3.0	c. Complete sets of teacher-developed audio visual materials (powerpoints, videos, computer games, etc)	VSUIHS Faculty							
		d. On-line interactive learning resources per subject	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
		PI 12. Number of instruction-related awards	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
03	Research Services									
		PI 1. Number of published papers in peer- reviewed journals	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3	

	In refereed int'l journals In refereed nat'l journals In institutional journals				-			
0 output = 3.0	PI 2. Number of patents/Utility Models/copyrights filed and registered	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
1 - 2 output = 4.0 3 - 5 output = 4.5 > 5 output = 5.0	PI 3. Number of research papers (oral presentation, posters, keynote speaker, plenary speaker) presented in regional/national/ int'l scientific/professional fora and conferences	VSUIHS Faculty	1	4	4	4	4.00	
	In int'l fora/conferences			+	+-	-	-	-
	In nat'l fora/conferences			+-	+-	-	-	
	In reg'l fora/conferences			+-	+	-	+	
	In institutional fora/conferences		I					
0 prop/proj = 3.0	PI 4. Number of research studies conducted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.0	
1 - 2 prop/proj = 4.5 3 or more prop/proj = 5.0	PI 5. Number of research proposals submitted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	PI 6. Number of research proposals approved	Research Committee	0	3	3	3	3.00	
None = 3.0 100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0	PI 7. Amount of research money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty	None					
None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0	PI 8. Amount of research money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty	None					
	PI 9. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or other beneficiaries	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	

	0 awards = 3.0 1 award =4.5 2 or more = 5.0	PI 10. Number of research projects/studies completed within the year PI 11. Number of research-related awards	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
		(research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
FO 4	Extension Services								
	0 pds = 3.0 100 - 500 pds = 4.5 >500 pds = 5.0	PI 1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 training = 3.0 1 training = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	PI 2: Number of trainings conducted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 IEC = 3.0 1 IEC = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	PI 3. Number of IEC materials/techno-guides developed/used	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 group/ind = 3.0 1 grp/ind = 4.0	PI 4. Number of beneficiaries served	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	> 2 or more = 5.0	Groups			+-				
-	2 07 111016 - 0.0	Individuals			+-				
		PI 5. Number of technical/expert services provided/rendered	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 services = 3.0	Research Mentoring		0	+		-+	-	
	1 - 10 services = 4.0 10 - 20 services = 4.5	Peer reviewers/Panelists		0	-		-+	_	
	>20 services = 4.5	Resource Persons		0			_	_	
	> 20 361 VICES = 0.0	Convenor/Organizer		0		_			
		Consultancy		0					
+		Evaluator		0	+	-+	-+	_	
	0 proposal = 3.0	PI 6. Number of extension projects conducted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	1 - 2 proposals = 4.5 3 or more prop = 5.0	<u>PI 7.</u> Number of extension proposals submitted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3 3	3.00	
		PI 8. Number of extension proposals approved	VSUIHS Faculty	0	3	3	3 3	3.00	

None = 3.0									
100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0	PI 9. Amount of extension money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0	from institutional funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
0 awards = 3.0 1 award =4.5	PI 11 Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
2 or more = 5.0	PI 12. No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty)	VSUIHS Faculty		0	3	3	3	3.00	
Support to Operations (STO)									
1. Faculty Development Ser	vices					-			
0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 >3 faculty = 5.0	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced degree programs	OVPI, ODAHRD, SFAC, Department		0					
2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring	Services								
1 - 2 faculty = 4.5	aligned with university RSP policy and	VSULHS Personnel committee & APB		0					
	1.1M - 5M = 4.5	100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0 None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0 PI 10. Amount of extension money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP) PI 11 Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance PI 12. No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty) Support to Operations (STO) 1. Faculty Development Services 0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 >3 faculty = 5.0 PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced degree programs 2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services 0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired aligned with university RSP policy and	100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0 None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0 PI 10. Amount of extension money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP) PI 11 Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance PI 12. No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty) Support to Operations (STO) 1. Faculty Development Services 0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 >3 faculty = 4.5 PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced degree programs PI 1: Number of faculty recruited/hired aligned with university RSP policy and	100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0 None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0 PI 10. Amount of extension money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP) PI 11 Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance 2 or more = 5.0 PI 12. No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty) Support to Operations (STO) 1. Faculty Development Services 0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 >3 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired aligned with university RSP policy and VSUIHS Faculty VSUIHS Faculty	100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0 None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0 PI 10. Amount of extension money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP) VSUIHS Faculty 0 VSU	100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0 None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0 Pl 10. Amount of extension money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP) VSUIHS Faculty 0 VSUIHS Faculty 0 3 VSUIHS Faculty 0 2 Support to Operations (STO) 1. Faculty Development Services 0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 >3 faculty = 4.5 And an advisory services of quality pursuing advanced degree programs OVPI, ODAHRD, SFAC, Department 0 VSUIHS Personnel aligned with university RSP policy and over the properties of the properti	100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0 None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0 PI 10. Amount of extension money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP) VSUIHS Faculty 0 VSUIHS Faculty 0 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 > 5M = 5.0 None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 > 50K = 5.0 PI 10. Amount of extension money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP) O	100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0 None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0 P110. Amount of extension money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP) VSUIHS Faculty VSUIHS Faculty

3. Faculty Evaluation Servi	3. Faculty Evaluation Services									
1 - 2 events = 4.5	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/coordinated for the entire university	OVPRE	0							

	0 event = 3.0 1 - 2 events = 4.5 3 or more events = 5.0	PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/coordinated outside the university	OVPRE		0			
	90 - 100% = 5.0 60 - 89% = 4.5 <60% = 4.0	PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated	OVPI, Department, admin staff		80			
	0 event = 3.0 1 - 2 events = 4.5 3 or more events = 5.0	PI 6: Number of college/department- level seminars/trainings/workshops/ reviews conducted/ attended/ facilitated	OVPRE		1			
	4. Program and Institutional	Accreditation Services						
	100% programs = 5.0 <100% programs = 4.5	PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least Level 1		NA				
	100% compliant = 5.0 <100% compliant = 4.5	PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements		NA				
MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Ser	vices (GASS)						
	0 complaint = 5.0	PI 1. Zero complaint from clients (complaints dropped in suggestion boxes, complaints on change of grades and other complaints)	OVPI, College, Department, Units		0			
	1 or more = 4.5	PI 2. Number of complaints addressed within the prescribed time	OVPI, College, Department, Units		0			
		PI 3: Additional Outputs						
	No initiative = 3.0 1 initiative = 4.5 2 or more initiatives = 5.0	Best practices/new initiatives/innovations	OVPI, College, Department, Units		1		Đ	
		Values Restoration Program						

Total Over-all Rating	108.00	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating)	3.18	
Additional Points:		She has a pleasant disposition towards
Punctuality		her colleagues and is committed, to
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		accomplish any tast assigned to her.
FINAL RATING		she has the poteritial to publish a
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Satisfactory	scholarly article in a retereed journa

Evaluated & Received by:

Department Head Date:

Legend:

Q1 - Quality

E² - Efficiency

T² - Timeliness

A⁴ - Average

Recommending Approval:

Dean, College of Education

Date:

Approved by:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice Pres. for Instruction

Date

Rating Scale:

4.6 - 5.0 Outstanding

3.8 - 4.5 Very Satisfactory

3.0 - 3.7 Satisfactory

2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory

2.1 - & below Poor

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: SHALOM GRACE C. SUGANO

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplis h	Actual Date Accomplishe d	Quality of Output*	Over-all Assessment of Output**	Remarks / Recomm
1	Teach Science IIIA and Research I for Junior High School and General Chemistry II for Senior High School	Deliver quality learning to students in the junior and senior high school	January 2019	June 2019	June 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding	Circuiton
2	Revised syllabi in Science IIIA and Research I for junior high and General Chemistry II for Senior High School	Revise syllabi that addresses the needs of learners	January 2019	June 2019	June 2019	Very Impressive	Very Satisfactory	
3	Revised course outline in Science IIIA and Research I for junior high and General Chemistry II for Senior High School	Revise course outline that addresses the needs of learners	June 2019	June 2019	June 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding	
4	Develop teaching aid like ppt presentations in Science IIIA and Research I for junior high and General Chemistry II for Senior High School	Make a more comprehensive ppt presentation		June 2019		Very Impressive	Very Satisfactory	
5	Develop teaching guide/ handouts	Develop teaching guide and hand outs suitable to senior high school students		June 2019		Very Impressive	Outstanding	
7	Assist in school-related activities	Assisted in checking attendance/monitoring of students in activities like conference with Parents, Entrance Examination, Promotional and Honors Programmes	Jan	uary to June 2	019	Very Impressive	Outstanding	

8	Field study students assisted	Assisted six (6) field study students from the college of education	January to March 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding
9	Submit reports and other requirements	Sign CSR, submit grade sheets	January to March 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding
10	Supervise the Juniors Organization	Supervise and Organize the JS Prom	January to February 2019		
11	Coordinator of Grade 12 Students	Supervise the Grade 12 students in the preparation for the Parents' – Senior Night	May to June 2019		
12	Special assignments from the Principal	Research Coordinator	January to June 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding

^{*} Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

Prepared by?

ALELI A. VILLOCINO, Ed.D.
Unit Head

^{**} Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: <u>Dr. Shalom Grace C. Sugano</u> Performance Rating: <u>Very Satisfactory</u>
Aim: To write a research proposal and submit to OVPRE for funding.
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: <u>January 2019</u> Target Date: <u>March – June 2020</u>
First Step: Attend seminar on Research Proposal writing.
Result: Write a research proposal for endorsement to OVPRE.
Date: Target Date:
Next Step: <u>Prepare an obedized syllabus.</u>
Outcome: Carry out responsibilities as an instructor.
Final Step/Recommendation:
Attend seminars and trainings related to the field. Publish more research to reputable journals.

Prepared by:

VILLOCINO, Ed.D.
Unit Head

Conforme:

Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff