Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: <u>REYNA MAE C. CAINTIC</u>

	1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.60	70%	3.22
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	30%	1.56
		4.67		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	<u>4.67</u>
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING	4.67
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	<u>o</u>
Prepared by:	Reviewed by:
REYNA MAR C. CAINTIC Name of Staff	ROSARIO A. SALAS Department/Office Head
Recommending Approval:	VICTOR B. ASIO
	Dean/Director
Approved:	DEATDIE E BELONDAC

Vice President

COMPILATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR

		<u> 24 maziya</u>	Name of Acquiristraine Staif
Equivalent Vinnerical Rating (Cx2)	(3) Fertenias o Weight	iso, to multi (fi)patie是	
\$2.8	3507		L. Cangernal Rating per 18CE.
			men. seess sikesiili seervasgad – S eistamen seinaalalasee seid To eistamen eike lad oosaalas eistamen kirjansees
	ercal rating		
		<u> </u>	TOTAL NUMERICAL FACTOR OF A COC. Additional Approvade Points, who will over a College of the Col
			OMEIKE EELMARIOMELISER
			ADESCRIVAL SAMEWG.
		iska kaj kara ji	And the second of the second o
The second secon	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		vonggi. gmillion com 1957.
	Percenting and the second of t	Slase	the resign

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **REYNA MAE CC. CAINTIC**, of the <u>Department of Horticulture</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January</u> to June, <u>2018</u>.

REYMA MAE C. CAINTIC

Approved:

ROSARIO A. SALAS

Head of Unit

			<u> </u>	Actual			Rating]	Re marks
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tas ks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment	Q [*]	Ę	Ť	Ψį	
Research Services	No. of research projects rnaintained/prepa red	tead in land and seedling preparation, planning, stating, planting, harvesting of produce. Care and maintenance	2	3	5	4	4	4.33	
	No. of data gathered	Data gathered, recorded, analyzed and tabulated	10	15	5	5	s	S	
	No. of reports made submitted	Submitted reports	3	3	5	5	4	4.67	
	No. of laborers managed/supervised in the nursery	Supervised laborers	4	4	5	5	4	4.57	
Other tasks in support to research services	No. of reports made for STF Project Locally Grown Vegetable Seeds	Submitted reports	6	6	S	5	4	4.67	
Other functions in support to instruction	No of studem activities assisted	Hold class when concerned instructor is on travel Proctor in examinations	2	2	s	\$	s	S 4.67	
	No. of laboratories maintained	Maintained the tissue culture laboratories	1	1	4	5	4	4.33	
	No. of communities served as member	Member of working committees fir VS U Anniversary	2	2	S	5	2	4.67	

THE STATE OF A PARTY OF

MOCANDERS TO ESCON ACE COMPANIES A THEN PLY HOLD

The Insertable and software and or serves being the committee of the insertable of the committee of the serves of

March 12 Days

						AND CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY		
	Precess (wheel)	de parent for out the control of the	Series	Age of sections.	A Commence of the Commence of	112 objection choose to consider the consideration of the consideration		
	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Joseph and the control of the contro	Constitution of the consti		2. 名物の ED A D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Logical Centern Inc.	Teak commercial and tales	The control of the co
					The second secon			
	tropic and an except							
			3				60 62	
	}- }-							
			1 No.	÷				
医								

		6			
Total Over-all Rating				4.19	
Rating					
		1	l		

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	4.19
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	5.0
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	
FINAL RATING	4.60
ADJECTIVAL RATING	oUTSTANDING

4 – Average

2 – Efficiency

3 – Timeliness

1 – Quality

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Evaluated and Rated by	Recommending Approval:	Approved:
LLL	/// _	My.
ROSARIO A. SALAS	VICTOR B. ASIO	BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Head, DOH	Dean, CAFS 💃	Vice President Instruction
Date:	Date:	Date:

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period:	<u> January -</u>	J ^U ne	2 0 1 8	


Name of Staff: REYNA MAE C. CAINTIC Position: SRA

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below.

Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model.
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.		1/2/			_	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in	(5)	4	3	2	1
	transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding	\vdash	\sim			
2.	Make self-available to clients beyond official time	5 (4/	3	2	1
3.	Submit urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as	(3)	4	3	2	1
	CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within	\setminus				
	specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay					
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs	(5)	4	3	2	1
	within the prescribed time	\vee				
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-	(5)	4	3	2	1
	employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks					
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going	(3)	4	3	2	1
0.	out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.		7	1	_	_
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed	51	4	3	2	1
8.				3	2	1
a.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its]]	٣	Э	-	1
	clients	2	_	_		_
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the	5/	4	3	2	1
	assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the	\vee				
	functions of the university					
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the	(5)	4	3	2	1
	outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the	Ψ				
	office or satisfaction of clientele					
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for	(5)	4	3	2	1
	improvement of his work accomplishment	\vee				
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	13	4	3	2	1
	TOTAL SCORE		4	44	<u></u>	




В.	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale					
1.	Demonstrate mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	(5)	4	3	2	1	
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university	(3)	4	3	2	1	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients	(5)	4	3	2	1	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit	(5)	4	3	2	1	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1	
	TOTAL SCORE				··········		
	AVERAGE SCORE						

Overall recommendation	

.,

ROSARIO A. SALAS

Name of Head



EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: REYN	JARIAF C CAINT	Tr'	
Performance Rating: <u>Outsta</u>		4~	
Aim: To sustain the Outs	anding rating		
Proposed Interventions to In	prove Performance:		
Date: January 2018	Target Date: <u>Jun</u>	e 2018	
First Step: To be able to atte and scientific form. To be a			
Result Attended training, se	eminars and scientifi	c fonm	
Maintained and helped impro	ove the vegetable pr	oject	
Date: July 2018	Target Da	te: <u>December 20</u>	18
Next Step:			
· Annual			
Outcome:	rastas provinciam agreema andronovalis of aversion-one empoyed in Monghillo to	utionama valinnoscoppila pir-septim monteninama saturati del labori (1874) (1874)	
Final Step/Recommendation			
To finish my PhD degree			
	Prepared 1	ROSAR	
		Uni	t Head

Conforme:

READIA MAE C. CAINTIC Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff

