COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff	:	ANTONIETA D. ISRAEL

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.81	x 70%	3.37
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.75	x 30%	1.43
	4.80		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING : 4.80
ADD: Additional Approved Points, if any : _____

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING : 4.80

ADJECTIVAL RATING : OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

ANTONIETA D. ISRAEL Admin Aide III Reviewed by:

DANIEL M. TUDTUD, JR. University/Board Secretary

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

, ANTONIETA D. ISRAEL	, staff of the	OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITY	//BOARD SEC	RETARY	commits to d	eliver and agree to be rated on the
attainment of the following targets in acc	ordance with the indicate	ed measures for the period	July	to <u>Decemb</u>	<u>oer</u> , 2018.	
ANTONIETA D. ISRAEL	·			Approve	ed:	DANE M. TYDTUD, JR.
Ratee						Head of Unit

Personnel	Designation	Number
Head	University/Board Secretary	1
Regular Admin Staff	Administrative Officer III	1
Regular Admin Staff	Administrative Aide III	1
Total		3

Rating Equivalents: 5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Fair

- 1 Poor

	Cura a sa la dia adam	Tasks Assigned	Tarast	Actual		Ro	ıting		Domarka
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators		Target	Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
Efficient and customer friendly frontline service	Zero percent complaint from client served	-	0%	0%	5	NA	NA	5	
Meetings Organized and Facilitated	Number of meetings successfully undertaken - Board of Regents - University Administrative Council - University Academic Council	Facilitating University and Board Meetings	4 meetings	11	5	4	5	4.67	
Documents Prepared attendant to Meetings: * Minutes of meetings	Number of completed documents prepared within 7 working days before scheduled meeting - Board of Regents - University Administrative Council - University Academic Council	Photocopying/Riso printing of the Minutes of Meetings	2,500 pages/copies	2,826	5	5	5	5.00	
* Other documents	Number of completed documents prepared within 7 working days before scheduled meeting - Board of Regents - University Administrative Council - University Academic Council	Photocopying/Riso printing of materials for distribution to all BOR/UADCO/UAC Members	5,000 pages/copies	5,430	5	5	5	5.00	

AAFO & DA Da	MFO & PAPs Success Indicators Tasks Assigned Target	Actual	Actual Rating				Domarka		
MFO & PAPS	Success indicators	rasks Assigned	larger	Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
		Recording of incoming/outgoing documents	150 copies	210	5	4	4	4.33	
·		Preparation of Standard Government Forms	200 copies	450	5	5	5	5.00	
Information Dissemination	Number of BOR resolutions and materials disseminated to appropriate offices within 5 working days from date of meeting	Releasing of approved BOR materials with BOR resolutions	300 copies/pages prepared & released	780	5	5	4	4.67	
Performance of Other Functions Assigned by the	Number of pages lay-outed/ reproduced in the Annual Report of	Lay-outing VSU Annual Report	80 pages	-	-	-	-	_	
Total Over-all Rating			35	28	28	33.67			

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 7)	4.81
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	-
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	-
FINAL RATING	4.81
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Outstanding

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Attendance in trainings on records mgt. and advaced electronic storage and retrieval systems recommended for improved productivity.

Evaluated & Rated by:

DANJEUM. TUDTUD Head of Unit

Date:

Approved by:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

Date:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: JULY to DECEMBER 2018

Name of Staff:ANT	ONIETA D. ISARAEL	Position: _	ADMIN. AIDE III
Instruction to supervisor:	Please evaluate the effectiveness attainment of the calibrated target campus using the scale below. Er	s of your dep	partment/office/center/college/

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

Α.	A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)					
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score 57						

1	B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scale					
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1			
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1			
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1			
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1			
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1			
	Total Score								
	Average Score 4.15			5					

Overall recommendation	

DANIEL M. TUDTUD, JR.
University/Board Secretary

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

1st	Q U
2 nd	A
3 rd	R T
4 th	E R

Name of Office

OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITY SECRETARY

Head of Office

PROF. DANIEL M. TUDTUD, JR.

Name of Personnel

ANTONIETA D. ISRAEL

Activity	MECHANISM	Remarks	
Monitoring	Meeting (One-on-One)		
Monitoring	Discussions regarding the need to come up with the required number of materials were done so that these can be efficiently reproduced to facilitate easy circulation to members in the different units/offices of the university.	It was agreed that the reproduction equipment in the offices be subjected to regular maintenance for high efficiency and that the office maintains sufficient stock of supplies and materials to eliminate delays.	
Coaching	Regular upgrading of the office's facilities and equipment so that electronic tagging of all materials lodged with the office can be electronically encrypted for the safe and quick reproduction and recovery of all files.		

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

Noted by:

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Emp Performance	•	ANTONIETA D	. ISRAEL	
	o improve perfor		ing, and after meetin	gs of the
•	•	ove Performance:		
Date: <u>06 A</u>	ugust 2018	l arget Date:	28 September 2018	
First Step :	intended for Meetings and	inclusion in UADCO,	and sorting enough cor UAC, BOR Finance C aterials so that it can e	committee and BOR
Result :	The Committee for the meeti		eceived complete set o	f materials needed
Date: <u>01 O</u>	ctober 2018	Target Date:	05 November 2018	
Next Step:		th emphasis on the I	nd electronic tagging o	
Outcome :			being electronically tag	
Final Step/Red	commendation:			
	Ms. Israel sh		d keeping trainings as aining to improve her e	
			Prepared by: DANIEL M. University/E	TUDTUD, JR. Bolard Secretary

Conforme:

ANTONIETA D. ISRAEL Admin. Aide III