

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: **CHRISTIE CYRENE T. TAUY**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
9. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.71	70 %	3.30
10. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	30 %	1.45
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.75

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.75**

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.75**

ADJECTIVAL RATING: **Outstanding**

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:


Christie Cyrene T. Tauy
Name of Staff


Manolo B. Loreto, Jr.
Office Head

Recommending Approval:


REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Chairman, PMT

Approved:


EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, CHRISTIE CYRENE T. TAUU, of the USSO commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period JANUARY to JUNE, 2017.

 **CHRISTIE CYRENE T. TAUU**
Ratee

Approved:  **MANOLO B. LORETO, JR.**
Head of Unit

MFO's/PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q	E	T	A	
Efficient and customer-friendly frontline service	Zero complaint from clients unattended	Guidance Counselor; & Other Administrative Services Provider	0 complaint unattended	No complaint file	5	5	5	5.00	
	Percentage of referred students/walk-in clients counseled	Individual and group counseling (personal/social; career)	3%	46%	5	5	5	5.00	
	Percentage of students followed-up and who availed of consultations	Academic follow-up and consultations	90%	80%	2	5	5	4.00	
	Percentage of new students given orientation/intake interview	Conducts orientation/intake interview of new students (BAS, BSA, BSDC, BSFT)	30%	51%	5	5	5	5.00	
Student Welfare Unit: Guidance & Counseling Services	Number of individual records of new students updated (BAS, BSA, BSDC, BSFT)	Encodes, profiles, and files individual inventory of new students	30	31	4	5	4	4.33	
	Number of group growth guidance seminars/sessions/activities conducted	Conducts/facilitates/participates as moderator/speaker/facilitator/co-mentor member in group guidance seminars/activities	4	6	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of other guidance related activities attended/participated	Member/participant, president, secretary, echoer	3	3	4	5	5	4.67	

MFO's/PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q	E	T	A	
Student Development Unit: Student Affairs/ Organizations Services	Number of orientations/seminars/fora/ team buildings coordinated/given to student leaders	Conducted orientations/seminars/fora/ team buildings given to student leaders	5	7	4	5	4	4.33	
	Number of evaluations/screenings/recognitions done	Evaluates/screens and interviews applicants for individual and finalist for organizational awards	30	54	5	4	4	4.33	
		Evaluates, screens and interviews organizations for recognition	50	54	5	4	4	4.33	
	Number of coordinations/monitorings done	Coordinates awards and recognition for deserving students and organizations	1	1	4	5	5	4.67	
		Coordinates/monitors and recommends for approval student organization activities	250	316	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of coordinations done	Conducts regular meeting/consultations/conferences with organization leaders	35	52	5	5	4	4.67	
Administrative Support & Services	Number of issuance of requested certificates/excuse letters/good moral and other documents of the same nature	Issues certificates for students/faculty/staff	55	80	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of program/institutional accreditation related process supported	Prepares documents for student support services	5	5	4	5	5	4.67	
		Serves as resource person for programs/seminars/fora	3	5	4	5	5	4.67	

MFO's/PAPs		Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks	
						Q	E	T	A		
FINAL RATING			4.71								
ADJECTIVAL RATING			Outstanding								

Received by:

Calibrated by/

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

Finley
R Planning Officer

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
PMT

BEATRIZ A. DELONIAS
Vice-President

EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

Date: _____

Date: _____

Date:

Date:

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January – June 2017Name of Staff: Christie Cyrene T. TauyPosition: Guidance Counselor

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1

11. Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	⑤	4	3	2	1
12. Willing to be trained and developed	⑤	4	3	2	1
Total Score	58				
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
Average Score	4.83				

Overall recommendation : _____


MANOLO B. LORETO JR.
 Name of Head