



**COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

**Annex P**

Name of Administrative Staff: **MARILOU L. STA. IGLESIA**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.94	70%	3.46
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.92	30%	1.48
<b>TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING</b>			<b>4.94</b>

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.94


Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: \_\_\_\_\_

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.94

ADJECTIVAL RATING: Outstanding


Prepared by:

  
**MARILOU L. STA. IGLESIA**  
Name of Staff


Reviewed by:

  
**MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA**  
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

  
**MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA**  
Vice President, Research, Extension and Innovation

Approved:

  
**MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA**  
Vice President for Research, Extension and Innovation

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Marilou L. Sta. Iglesia, of the OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH, EXTENSION & INNOVATION (OVPREI) commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July to December, 2023.

MARILOU L. STA. IGLESIA

Ratee

1/19/24

Approved:

MARIA JULET C. CENIZA

Head of Unit

1/19/24

MFO and PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
Research Administration Services	100% of administrative documents approved/acted within one day from receipt	Receives/ records appointments of casuals, contractuels, project/study leaders;, travel orders, leave applications, CSRs &DTRs, leave status, faculty workloads, clearances, reimbursements/liquidation of cash advances/PRs, RIS, vouchers; OICship and official communications	950	1058	5	5	5	5	
		Prepares appointment of casuals/contractuels/Job Orders, payrolls, vouchers, RIS, PRs, PJRs, Trip tickets, POs, Abstract of quotations, Travel documents, OICship, Application for Leave, Liquidation, Inspection Report, Canvass Papers, BUR, etc	85	93	5	5	5	5	
		Encodes and print official communications.	25	37	5	5	5	5	



		Help delivers office communications/papers to concerned offices/dept./centers/individuals.	100	60	5	5	4	4.67	
		Facilitates preparation for accommodation of meals/snacks of visitors specially during meetings.	150	89	5	5	5	5	
				INTERVENING: 1. Assisted/facilitated meals/lunch of farmers during Farmers & Fisherfolks Day.					
Efficient and customer friendly frontline service	Zero percent complaint from client served	Officers of the hours	Officers of the hours.	Officers of the hours.	5	5	5	5	
Total Over-all Rating					5	5	4.83	4.94	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		4.94
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	XX	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	XX	
FINAL RATING		4.94
ADJECTIVAL RATING		OUTSTANDING

**Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:**

*Hardworking and open to suggestions.*

Evaluated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA  
Unit Head

Date:

1/18/2024

MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA  
Vice President, R, E & I

Date:

1/18/2024

MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA  
Vice President, R, E & I

Date:

1/18/2024

- 1 – Quality
- 2 – Efficiency
- 3 – Timeliness
- 4 – Average





## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July - December 2023

Name of Staff: MARILOU L. STA. IGLESIA.

Position: ADMIN. AIDE III

**Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.**

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements


A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

**Vision:**  
**Mission:**

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.  
Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.



Total Score		59				
B. Leadership & Management ( <i>For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor</i> )		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score						
Average Score		4.92				
Overall recommendation :						

  
**MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA**  
 Vice President for Research, Extension and Innovation

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Marilou L. Sta. Iglesia  
Performance Rating: Outstanding

Aim: To have a smooth and efficient office operations.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: July 1, 2023 Target Date: December 31, 2023

First Step:

1. To come up with a systematic recording of documents.
2. To attend a training on data management system.

Result:

1. Systematic recording of documents achieved.

Date: January 1, 2024 Target Date: June 30, 2024

Next Step:


1. Application of data based management system.

Outcome: Efficient office operations.


Final Step/Recommendation:

Recommended for promotion.

Prepared by:

  
MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA  
Unit Head

Conforme:

  
MARILOU L. STA. IGLESIA  
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff