



# OFFICE OF THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND **REWARDS & RECOGNITION**

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff:

#### MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.93	70%	3.45
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.88	30%	1.46
		TOTAL NUN	IERICAL RATING	4.91

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.91

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.91

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.91

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE

Name of Staff

**HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS** 

Immediate Supervisor

Recommending Approval:

**HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS** 

OIC-Director, ODHRM

Approved:

VP for Admin and Finance

### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Miriam M. De la Torre, of the Office of the Head of Performance Management and Rewards and Recognition (OHPMRR) commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021</u>.

Approved:

MIRIAM M. DÉ LA TORRE

Ratee

OIC Director, ODHRM

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator Tasks Assigned Target (Jan-Dec)	Actual Accomplishment (Jul-		Ra	iting		Remarks		
					Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
<b>UMFO5. SUPPORT TO</b>	OPERATIONS								
<b>OVPAF MFO 1: ISO alig</b>	ned management and admin	istrative support services							
<b>ODHRM MFO: ISO alig</b>	ned Personnel Records Deve	elopment and Management S	<u>ervices</u>						
OHPMRR MFO 1: ISO	9001:2015 aligned document	s and compliant processes							
ISO 9001:2015 aligned documents and compliant processes	PI 1. Percentage compliant to ISO-aligned documents and compliant processes	Implement ISO-aligned HR documents and processes	100% compliant	100% compliant	5	5	5	5.00	
UMFO 6: General Admi	nistration Support Service								
<b>OVPAF MFO 2: HUMAN</b>	I RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	AND DEVELOPMENT							
<b>ODHRM MFO 1: Admir</b>	nistrative and support service	es Management		-/					
<b>OHPMRR MFO 2: Adm</b>	inistrative and Support Servi	<u>ces</u>							
Administrative and support services	PI 2. Efficient & customer friendly frontline service	Serves clientele with very satisfactory service	100% of clients served Very Satisfactory	100% of clients served Very Satisfactory	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 3. No. of linkages with external agencies maintained	Maintain linkages with external agencies	2 (CSC Region & Ombudsman)	3 (CSC Region & Ombudsman)	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 4. No. of ad hoc committee assignments served/functions performed	Perform assignments in Ad Hoc committees	2 (PMT& PRAISE)	3 (PMT& PRAISE- SIAC)	5	5	5	5.00	

* 1 *) 1	wh w w								
ODHRM MFO 2: IMPLE	MENTATION OF THE RECRU	JITMENT, SELECTION AND F	PLACEMENT SYSTEM						
	PI 5. Percentage of JO contracts/appointments received reviewed and countersigned	Reviews and countersigns appointments of JO workers	100% of received appointment	100% of received appointment	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 6. Percentage of JO new service cards prepared	Prepares New Service Card for JO workers	100% new service Cards prepared	100% new service Cards prepared	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 7. Percentage of JO Service Cards updated	Updates Service Cards of JO workers	100% Service Cards updated	100% Service Cards updated	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 8. Percentage of JO records encoded	Encodes appointments, personal profile of JO workers	100% of JO records encoded	50% of JO records encoded	5	5	5	5.00	
	nt and Effective implementate		ance Management						
OHPMRR MFO 3: Perfo	ormance Management and Re								
Performance Management and Rewards and Recognition Services	PI 10. Percentage of employees monitored their submission and actually submitted their IPCRS	Monitors submission of approved IPCRs and received were reviewed	100% of employees monitored their submission and actually submitted their IPCRS	100% of employees monitored their submission and 98% submitted IPCRs	5	5	4	4.67	
	PI 11. Number of performance evaluation summary result prepared and submitted (PMT, CSC & QAC)	Prepares and submits performance report of rating	3 performance evaluation summary result prepared and submitted (PMT, CSC & QAC)	2 performance evaluation summary result prepared and submitted (PMT & CSC)	5	5	5	5.00	
		Encodes and tabulate performance evaluation	700 evaluation of JO performance tabulated	578 evaluation of JO performance tabulated	5	5	4	4.67	

	PI 14. Summary of the ratings and qualitative comments prepared and submitted to L & D Office for analysis and input to L & D interventions	evaluation and all received Employee Dev't Plan (EDP) of employees endorsed and submitted to L and D office for	1 Summary of the ratings and qualitative comments prepared and 100% of received EDP submitted and endorsed to L & D Office for analysis and input to L & D interventions	1 Summary of the ratings and qualitative comments	5	5	4	4.67	
	PI 15. Number of employees given loyalty awardees every September and paid loyalty bonus	facilitates preparation of Loyalty Award Certificates and Pins	50 employees given loyalty awardees every September and paid loyalty bonus	76 employees given loyalty award every September and paid loyalty bonus	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 19. Percentage of documents needed for level 3 accreditation packaged and readily available for assessment and displayed at the HR Accreditation Center	documents for level 3 accreditation	100% of documents needed for level 3 accreditation packaged and readily available for assessment and displayed at the HR Accreditation Center	N/A					No CSC assessment tool received for level 3 PRIME-HRM level 3
	ations & new Best Practices I								
	vations & new Best Practices	Facilitates university entry to the	1 entry to the DAP	N/A					receipt of call
Innovations and Best Practices	PI 20. Number of entry to the DAP search for 2021 Government Best Practice Recognition submitted and defended, if required (SPMIS)	DAP search for 2021 Government Best Practice recognition	search for 2021 Government Best Practice Recognition submitted and defended, if required (SPMIS)						for submission of entry was after deadline

1 (4) (4) (4) (4)

Additional activities not identified in the target	Percentage of employees entitled to PEI and SRI benefits endorsed for payroll	Identify and prepare list of employees entitled to PEI and SRI for endorsement to payroll	100% of employees entitled to PEI and SRI benefits endorsed for payroll preparation	100%	5	5	5	5.00	
	Percentage of JO workers entitled to one-time gratuity pay endorsed for final validation of actual service	Prepare list of JO workers qualified to receive one-time Gratuity pay based on copy of approved contract	100% of JO workers entitled to one-time gratuity pay endorsed for final validation of actual service	100%	5	5	5	5.00	
Total Over-all Rating								74.00	
MIRIAM	M. DE LA TORRE	Average Rating :  Additional Points:  Punctuality  Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)  FINAL RATING  ADJECTIVAL RATING		4.93 4.93 Outstanding					ment Purposes:  ing + kolingly  to attend  is such as  I traing / con
Evaluated	d & Rated by:	Recommending Approval:		proved by:		0		8	8/

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS Immediate Supervisor	HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS OIC Director for HRM	DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN Vice President for Admin & Finance
Date:	Date:	Date:

4 - Average

3- Timeliness

2 - Efficiency

Legend:

1 - Quality





Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines

Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

#### Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July-December 2021

Name of Staff: MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE Position: Administrative Officer III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. C	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		(	Scale	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	)4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

	Total Score					
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher upervisor)		S	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	3	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	8	3/1-	1		
	Average Score	4	7.89	3		

Overall recommendation	1

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS
Printed Name and Signature
Head of Office

# PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

	1st	Q
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Α
1	3 <sup>rd</sup>	R
1	4th	E R

Name of Office: ODHRM-OHPMRR

Head of Office: Honey Sofia V. Colis

Number of Personnel: 3 (1 regular; 2 JO)

A ativity.		MECHAN	IISM		
Activity Monitoring	Me	eting	Memo	Others (Pls.	Remarks
Monitoring	One-on-One	Group	Memo	specify)	
Monitoring		Thru staff meeting on: July 13, 2021 July 16, 2021 July 21, 2021 July 23, 2021 Aug 10, 2021 Oct 1, 2021 Oct 4, 2021 Oct 7-8, 2021 Oct 11, 2021 Oct 14, 2021 Oct 14, 2021 Nov 25, 2021 Dec 2, 2021			
Coaching	<b>√</b>				

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

Noted by:

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS Immediate Supervisor

**DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN**Next Higher Supervisor

### EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name	of	Employee:	MIRIAM :	M.	DE	LA	T	<b>ORRE</b>
		1 -						

Performance Rating: July-December 2021

Aim: Improve supervisory and technical skills

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: July 1, 2021 Target Date: July 2022

First Step: Clearly communicate and cascade the targets and assess accomplishments of

the office.

Result: The employee had significantly accomplished the targets of the office.

Date: December 28, 2021 Target Date: 1st Quarter of 2022

Next Step: To attend any relevant available training courses to develop technical and

supervisory skills.

Outcome: Efficient and effective management of OHPMRR and its processes.

Final Step/Recommendation:

Prepared by:

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS OIC-Director, ODHRM

Conforme:

MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE

AO III