COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: Losevose B. Capicon

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.92	70%	3.44
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his Contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.88	30%	1.46
	TOTA	L NUMERICAL RATING	4.90

4.90 TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

SUZETTE B. LINA
Department Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO **PATINDOL**

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN President

"Exhibit B"

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>JOSEROSE B. CAPRICHO</u>, of the <u>Dept. of Soil Science</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016.</u> (Prepare complete target, accomplishment and rating, plus exhibit forms and summary of ratings <u>included.</u>

JOSEROSE B. CAPRICHO

Ratee

Approved:

<u>UZETTE B. LINA</u> 🦴

lead of Unit

dministrative upport Services GASS) #				Actual		Remarks			
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
General Administrative Support Services (GASS)	# of course materials, examination, forms typed, collated , fastened or stapled.	Encoded, printed syllabus and laboratory manuals, course outlines and long exam, midterm/final examinations, department forms	200	350	5	4	5	4.66	
	# of DTR/CSR prepared, countersigned and recorded	Prepared/checked distributed DTRs /monthly CSR of faculty	20	30	5	5	5	5.00	
	# of TOs, trip tickets App for Leave, PR's, appointments, payrolls cash advance, liquidation, reimbursements, OIC letters and its supporting documents, prepared for approval	Prepared travel orders (TOs), trip ticket, Application for leave, appointment/ recommendation for renewal for faculty temporary and partime faculty, appointment for laborers/ students assistant, payrolls, PRs, cash advances, liquidations, reimbursements,	170	226	5	5	4	4.66	

		OIC letter, classes to be missed							
-	# of documents prepared and processed	Typed/printed annual procurement plan (APP), annual accomplishment report, actual teaching loads, individual Faculty workloads and projected workloads	10	14	5	5	5	5.00	
	# of classroom schedules prepared	Incharge in the preparation of classroom schedule, attend to DSS 119 reservation/utilization	4	5	5	5	5	5	
	# of job requests prepared	Prepared job requests and attend to DSS repairs	10	15	5	5	5	5	
	# of times assisting in classrooms/CRs maintenance	Guide student assistants/laborers during cleaning	5	12	5	5	5	5	
	# of DSS Field Services monthly reports prepared, sorted and submitted	typed/printed/sorted/filed IGP monthly reports to IASO	1	2	5	5	5	5.00	
Teaching Performance Evaluation	Number of evaluation conducted & results submitted to OVPI within the day during the evaluation period	Evaluated faculty in the course taught during the semester	20	37	5	5	5	5.00	
Total Over-all Rating								44.28	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	XX	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	xx	
FINAL RATING		4.92
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:										

-					
Cal	ihi	roi	00	h	1.
UGI	\mathbf{I}				ν.

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Date:

Recommending Approval:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS, Ph.D.

VP for Instruction

Date: _____

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN, Ph.D.

President

Date

1 - quality

2 - Efficiency

3 – Timeliness

4 - Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff Rating Period: Jun 2016

					1.0	1 0 117
Name of Staff:	Joserose	B. Carp	nchu	Position:	Damin	Alde IV
and the same of th						

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Cor	nmitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale	i		
	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time.	5)	4,	3	2	1	A
	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay.	5),	4	3	2	1	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5 (4)	3	2	1	
	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks.	5)	4	3	2	1	
	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip is when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4)	3	2	1	
The state of the s	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5)	4	3	2	1	
	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	(5)	4	3	2	1	

9. Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
10. Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele.	5	4	3	2	1
11. Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment.	(5)	4	3	2	1
12. Willing to be trained and developed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scale	•	
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1
 Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. 		4	3	2	1
 Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. 		4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score	9	£		3 2 ale 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2	
Average Score		88			

Overall	recommen	dation						
			 or the state of the same	 the state of the state of	and the state of the state of	 and the same of the same of the same of	The same of the same of	

S-B- LINA Name of Head