## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

## TERESITA G. MANATAD

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.67	4.67 x 70%	3.27
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.66	1.40	
	TOTAL NUM	ERICAL RATING	4.67

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.67

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.00

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.67

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

**OUTSTANDING** 

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MARIA ROBERTA S. MIRAFLOR

Admin. Assistant VI

Admin, Officer III

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

January 1 to June 30, 2016.

TERESITA G. MANATAD

Ratee

Approved:

ANITA G. GØDOY

Head of Unit

Recommending Appproval:

LOUELLA C. AMPAC

**Director for Finance** 

				Percentage of	Details of Actual		Ra	ting		
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment as of June 30, 2016	Accomplishment	Q¹	E <sup>2</sup>	T³	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks
Certified Financial	Number of transaction	Records/Encodes daily, obligated Purchase	95% : 8200 documents	50%	4136 documents	5	5	4	4.67	
Documents/Reports	recorded/encoded right after	Orders, Vouchers & Payrolls under Special	under STF		under STF				161	
	receipt of documents, error free	Trust Fund Accounts to BAOM								
	Number of Income received and	Controls office/center/dept . augmentation	95% : 2100 documents	50%	1,056	5	5	4	4.67	
	encodedd in the Subsidiary	under STF and encode income from STF	income recorded to							
х	Ledger & BAOM & projects	Projects to Registry of Receipts & Obligations	176 proj/mo.		ū					
	controlled 2 days upon receipt,	Subsidiary Ledger of curent and prior years								
	error free	expenses			,				9	
	Number of documents obligated,	Obligates vouchers, purchase orders and	95% : 6100 documents	51%	3096 documents	5	5	4	4.67	N v
	2 days upon receipt, error free	payrolls and files BUR & vouchers copy under	obligated & filed under		under STF			6		
,	,	Fund 164(STF)	STF							
	L 6.					_				
		,	90% :1900 document	50%	960 documents	5	5	4	4.67	
	earmarked, 2 days upon receipt,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	earmarks							
	error free	under Fund 164( STF) Projects								
	Number of status of funds	Prepares monthly, Quarterly & year-end	26 internal	54%	14	5	5	4	4.67	
,	monthly, quarterly and year-end	status of funds under Fund 164 (STF) projects	reports/status oif		reports/Status					
	status prepared within prescribed		fund/project							
	period, error free			,						
				*						

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned		Percentage of	Details of Actual		Ra	ting		
Administrative		para transfer and	Target	Accomplishment as of June 30, 2016	Accomplishment	Q¹	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remark
Support services and Management	Efficient & customer-Friendly Frontline Service	Entertain clients and observe no noon break policy	Zero percent complaint from clients served		Zero percent complaint	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating										
Average Rating (Total Ove	er-all rating divided by # of entries)					30	30	24	28.00	
Additional Points:					4.67	Comme	nts & Re	comm	endation	s for
Punctuality						Develop	ment P	urpose	:	
Approved Additional po	pints (with copy of approval)									
FINAL RATING										
ADJECTIVAL RATING					4.67					

REDEMPTA L. SOR
Planning Office
Date:
1 - quality

Calibrated by:

PMT, Chairman

Date:

Recommending Approval:

V P for Admin & Finance

Approved:

Date: \_

3 - timeliness

Date:

2 - efficiency

4 - average

## Annex O

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>JANUARY 1 – JUNE 30, 2016</u>

Name of Staff: TERESITA G. MANATAD

Position: ADMIN. AIDE VI

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5 Outstanding sta		The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.	
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)					Scale					
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1				
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1				
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay		4	3	2	1				
4.	<ol> <li>Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.</li> </ol>			3	2	1				
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1				
6.	<ol> <li>Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.</li> </ol>		4	3	2	1				
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1				
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1				
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university		4	3	2	1				
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1				

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for	5	4	3	2	1
12.	improvement of his work accomplishment Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	40.	16	= 5	6=	4.61
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score					

	And

Overall recommendation

ANITA G. GODOY Name of Head