COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

(January – June 2016)

Name of Administrative Staff:

JUNITO A. PANONCE

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.69	70 %	3.283
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.25	30 %	1.275
	TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.558

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.558

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.558

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Jame of Staff

Manolo B. Loreto, Jr.

Office Head

Recommending Approval:

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, JUNITO A. PANONCE of the University Student Services Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period JANUARY to JUNE, 2016

JUNITO A PANONCE

MANOLO B. LORETO, JR.
Head of Unit

Country	Guid				St. Devel		MFO	
	Guidance &				Student Development		MFO'S/PAPS	
Number of information service- activities conducted	Number of goup growth guidance seminars, sessions/activities conducted	Percentage of students with academic deficiencies followed-up	Percentage of referred students/walk-in clients counselled	Number of students' seminars, forums, orientations, jobs fair/job seeking, conference conducted/coordinated	Number of applicants for individual, organization awards evaluated, screened and interviewed	Number of poor/disadvantaged served by support services for non-academic needs	ouccess indicators	2
Disseminates information/inquiries; Updates bulletin Boards/fliers	Committee Membership in Orientation & other Guidance Activities	Conducted academic follow-up	individual and group counseling (personal, social; career, etc.)	Conducted/Coordinated students' seminars, for a, orientations, jobs fair/job seeking, and conference.	Evaluates/ Screens and Interviews applicants for individual awards	Interview applicants for Income & Academic B Grant	l asks Assigned	Talla Appianal
2	2	90%	3%	2	10	თ	lager	-
ω	ω	95%	17%	N	28	10	Accomplishment	Actual
<i>O</i> 1	Οī	4	5	4	Ch	თ	Ω	
(7)	4	4	5	G	Ch	(J)	ш	Ra
4	5	5	5	თ	5	Οī	-1	Rating
4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	Α	
a) Job Fair; b)New Students' Orientation; c) Bulletin Boards Updated				a) Honors & Awards Convocation b) Jobs Fair			Kemarks	

	Efficient and customer-friendly frontline service		Administrative Support Services				Guidance & Counseling Unit		
	and riendly ervice		rative ervices				ce & ng Unit		
	omplaint unattended from	Number of student clearance signed	ne serving as officer- other section	Percentage of application for shifting of courses approved within 1 hour	of psychological tests	No. of raw scores converted to SAI, Per centile Rank and Stanine	Percentage of psychological tests Conducted, checked and scored of examinees conducted checked psychological test of examinees and scored as requested by VSU as requested by VSU external external campuses	Percentage of new/referred students conducted, checked and scored psychological tests for vocational counselling	Number of programs, seminars /forum as resource person
Total Over-all Rating	Guidance Counselor; Testing Unit In-Charge	Signed clearance of students	Serves as officer-in-charge of other section	Endorsed for approval for shifting.	Interpreted psychological test results to examinees	Converted Raw Scores to School Ability Index, Percentile Rank and Stanine	Conducted, checked and scored psychological test of examinees as requested by VSU external campuses	Conducted, checked and scored psychological test of examinees for vocational counselling.	Serve as resource person for programs,seminars and fora
	0%	600	O	90%	25	60	0%	100%	0
	0%	745	8	96%	27	60	100%	100%	٦
	5	5	5	5	5	٥.	(J)	5	Ch Ch
	5	4	5	4	4	4	Ch	4	Ch
	Ŋ	(J)	5	4	4	4	4	4	5
75.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	5.00
									a. Lecture on Perceptual Ability (CVM)

		Section of the Party Section S
Average Rating		4.69
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	×	
tional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.69
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Outstanding	
		The same of the sa

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

16

Date:	Wice-P/esident	M	Recomending Approval:
Date:	President	EDGARJO E. TULLIN	Approved by:

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January – June 2016

Name of Staff:	Junito A. Panonce	Position: Guidance Counselor III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. (Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scale	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	⑤	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	⑤	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	⑤	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	⑤	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	1	4	3	2	1

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	⑤	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score			51		
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		(Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score			4.25	,	

0 11 1 11			
Overall recommendation			
O VOI all 1000111111011aatiot1			

MANOLO B. LORETO JR.

Mulb but

Name of Head