## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

(July - December 2017)

Name of Administrative Staff: **ARNULFO M. DUARTE** 

| Particulars (1)  | Numerical<br>Rating (2) | Percentage Weight 70% (3) | Equivalent<br>Numerical Rating<br>(2x3) |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 3. Numerical Rating per IPCR   | 4.70                    | x 70%                     | 3.29                                    |
| 4. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 4.58                    | x 30%                     | 1.37                                    |
|  | TOTAL NUM               | MERICAL RATING            | 4.66                                    |

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.66

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Head, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering

Recommending Approval:

TO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

\* President

College of Engineering

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
Visca, Baybay City, Leyte Visayas State University

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, ARNULFO M. DUARTE, Staff of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July to December 2017

ARNULFO M. DUARTE Welder II Date: 22 January 2018

Department Head Date: 22 January 2018 CELSÓ GÚMAOD

Rating Equivalents: 5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory 3 - Satisfactory 2 - Fair 1 - Poor

| 1     |  |  |                                  |  | 3                                 | Accom-                            | _       | Rating     | D D                   |                          | Remark  |
|-------|--|--|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| No.   | MFO Descrip-tion                               | Success/Performance<br>Indicator (PI)  | Program/ Activities/<br>Projects | Tasks Assigned   | Target                            | plishmnt<br>(July-Dec<br>2017)    | Quality | Efficiency | Timeliness<br>Average | 0                        |   |
| MFO 4 | MFO 4 Extension<br>Services                    | PI 1. Number of persondays trained weighted by length of training  | Trainer                          | Training on welding  | 200                               | 335                               | 2       | 2          | 4                     | 7 335 p                  | 4   4.7   335 person-day trained  |
|       | 3  | PI 2. Number of IEC materials/technoguides developed/used  |                                  | Develop instructional<br>module  | 10                                | 10                                | က       | 2          | 4                     | 4 4.7 10 module          | odule   |
|       |  |  |                                  | Develop jobsheet /<br>Develop safety signages  | 10                                | 10                                | 2       | 2          | 4                     | 7 10 jo                  | 4.7 10 jobsheets  |
|       |  |  |                                  |  |                                   |                                   |         | _          |                       |                          |   |
| MFO 6 | General Admin. &<br>Support Services<br>(GASS) | MFO 6 General Admin. & PI 10. Efficient and customer- Service Support Services friendly frontline service (GASS) | Service                          | Served clients with courtesy;<br>immediate response to client<br>needs and inquiries | Zero<br>complaint<br>from clients | Zero<br>complaint<br>from clients | 2       | 5          | 4                     | client<br>client<br>imme | 4 4.7 100% no complaint; served clients with courtesy; immediate response to client needs and inquiries |

ct ag op

SIT

|                       |             | PI 11. Additional Outputs                  |             |  |    | -        |     |      |             |               |    |
|-----------------------|-------------|--|-------------|--|----|----------|-----|------|-------------|---------------|----|
|                       |             |  | Fabrication | Fabricated chipping hammer   | 10 | 15       | 2   | 5    | 4           | 4.7 10 units  | S  |
|                       |             |  | Fabrication | Fabricated welding positioner  | 10 | 12       | rs. | 2    | 4           | 4.7 10 units  | S  |
|                       |             |  | Fabrication | Fabricated office door   | 2  | 2        | 2   | 5    | 4 4.7       | 7 2 units     |    |
|                       |             |  | Fabrication | Fabricated tools cabinet   | 1  | -        | 2   | 5    | 4           | 4.7 1 unit    |    |
|                       |             |  |             | Assisted and overseen JO in fabricating trusses                                  | 2  | 2        | 2   | 5    | 4 4.7       | 7 2 units     |    |
|                       |             |  |             | Assisted and overseen JO in fabricating hip trusses                              | 2  | 7        | 2   | 2    | 4           | 4.7 2 units   |    |
|                       |             |  |             | Assisted and overseen JO in fabricating strut                                    | 2  | 2        | 2   | 5    | 4 4.7       | 7 2 units     | 5  |
|                       |             |  |             | Layouted and overseen OJT in making partition & EIM NC workshop                  | -  | <b>F</b> | 2   | 4    | 4.          | 4.7 1 unit    |    |
|                       |             |  |             | Overseen the transfer of SMAW NC II welding booth to the new SMAW NC II workshop | -  | -        | 4   | 20   | 4.          | 4.7 1 unit    |    |
|                       |             |  |             | Overseen the repair of old COE garrage to became the SMAW NC II workshop         | -  | -        | 2   | 4    |             | 4.7 1 unit    |    |
|                       |             |  |             | Layouted steel cabinet for AACUP   | 2  | 2        | 2   | 5    | 4.7         | 7 2 units     |    |
|                       |             |  |             | Prepared and beveled pipes for SMAW NC II assessment                             | 32 | 33       | 2   | 4 5  |             | 4.7 32 pieces | es |
|                       |             |  |             |  |    |          |     | -    | 4           |               |    |
| Number of Pen         | formance In | Number of Performance Indicators Filled-up |             |  |    |          |     | 16   |             |               |    |
| Total Over-all Rating | Rating      |  |             |  |    |          |     | 75.2 |             |               |    |
| Average Rating        |             |  |             |  |    |          |     | 4.70 |             |               |    |
| Adjectival Rating     | ıg          |  |             |  |    |          | Out | stan | Outstanding |               |    |

Calibrated by:
REMBERTON PATINDOL
Chairman, PMT
Date:

TERESITA L. QUINANOLA
Planning Officer
Date:

Received by:

Recommending Approval:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS Vice Pres. for Instruction Date:

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff Rating Period: <u>July – December 2017</u>

Name of Staff: ARNULFO M. DUARTE

Position: Welder II

**Instruction to supervisor:** Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| Scale | <b>Descriptive Rating</b> | Qualitative Description   |
|-------|---------------------------|---|
| 5     | Outstanding               | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4     | Very Satisfactory         | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements  |
| 3     | Satisfactory              | The performance meets job requirements  |
| 2     | Fair                      | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.  |
| 1     | Poor                      | The staff fails to meet job requirements  |

| Α.  | Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)  |     | 5  | Scale | Э |   |
|-----|---|-----|----|-------|---|---|
| 1.  | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.  | 5   | 4  | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 2.  | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time   | 5   | 4) | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 3   | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | 5   | 4  | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 4.  | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.  | 5   | 4  | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 5.  | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-<br>employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks   | 5   | 4  | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 6.  | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.  | 5   | 4  | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 7.  | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.   | (5) | 4  | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 8.  | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients   | 5   | 4  | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 9   | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university                             | 5   | 4  | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele               | 1/  | 4  | 3     | 2 | 1 |

| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for<br>improvement of his work accomplishment   | 5 | 4   | 3     | 2 | 1 |
|-----|---|---|-----|-------|---|---|
| 12. | Willing to be trained and developed   | 5 | 4   | 3     | 2 | 1 |
|     | Total Score   |   | 5.  | 5     |   |   |
|     | Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)   |   | 5   | Scale | Э |   |
| 1.  | Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors  | 5 | 4   | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 2.  | Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.  | 5 | 4   | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 3.  | Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.  | 5 | 4   | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 4.  | Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.   | 5 | 4   | 3     | 2 | 1 |
| 5.  | Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | 5 | 4   | 3     | 2 | 1 |
|     | Total Score   |   |     |       |   |   |
|     | Average Score   | 4 | 4.5 | 8     |   |   |

| erall Recommendation |  |
|----------------------|--|
|                      |  |

CELSO GUMAOD

Head, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering