



**COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

**Annex P**

Name of Administrative Staff: **Borigon, Noel V.**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.67	70%	3.27
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.64	30%	1.39
<b>TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING</b>			<b>4.66</b>

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: \_\_\_\_\_

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: \_\_\_\_\_


FINAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.66**

ADJECTIVAL RATING: **Outstanding**


Prepared by:

  
**PRECILA C. BELMONTE**  
Temp. Administrative Officer


Reviewed by:

  
**MARLON M. TAMBIS/ EDGARDO. TULIN**  
Assistant Director/ Director

Recommending Approval:

  
**ROSA OPHELIA D. VELARDE**  
Director for Research

Approved:

  
**MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA**  
VP for Res., Ext., &  
Innovation



**"Exhibit B"**

**INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)**

I, **NOEL V. BORIGON**, of PhilRootcrops commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January 1, 2023 to June 30, 2023.

**NOEL V. BORIGON**  
Ratee

Approved:

**MARLON M. TAMBIS / EDGARDO E. TULIN**  
Asst. Director / Director


MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target (Jan-Dec 2022)	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
<b>Administrative Services / Utility Services</b>	No. of gates and doors opened and closed	To open and close entrance /exit gates and doors	6 doors	6	5	5	4	4.67	
	No. of hours consumed in monitoring / checking of unlocked office doors, open lights and other office equipment	To check for unlocked doors, open lights and other office equipment prior to closing the doors and the gates	100 hours	50	5	5	4	4.67	
	No. of hours consumed in cleaning and sweeping of dried leaves / dirt within PhilRootcrops vicinity (front yard and roads)	To clean/sweep PhilRootcrops surroundings	500	250	4	4	4	4	
	No. of hours consumed in the	To maintain PhilRootcrops landscape	500	250	5	5	4	4.67	

	landscaping of PhilRootcrops landscape								
	No. of hours consumed in the preparation of training hall for any activities of the Center and the University	To prepare the training hall for any activity (cleaning, putting up of ornamental plants, dusting of the tables, etc.)	15	8	5	5	4	4.67	
	No. of hours consumed in garbage disposal	To dispose garbage to the compost pit	70	35	5	5	5	5	
	No. of CR cubicles cleaned	To clean CRs	7	7	5	4	4	4.67	
Other duties	Number of DTRs prepared	To prepare monthly DTR	12	6	5	5	5	5	
Total Over-all Rating									

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
NUMERICAL RATING		4.67
ADJECTIVAL RATING		

To attend training on landscaping.

Evaluated & Rated by:


  
EDGARDO E. TULIN  
Director

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

  
MARLON M. TAMBIS  
Assistant Director


Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Recommending Approval:

  
ROSA OPHELIA D. VELARDE  
Director for Research

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by:

  
MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA  
VP. Research for Extension and Innovation

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

1 – Quality    2 – Efficiency    3 – Timeliness    4 – Average





## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January-June 2023

Name of Staff: Noel V. Borigon

Position: Administrative Aide III

**Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.**


Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	(4)	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	(4)	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	(4)	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	(4)	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(5)	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for	(5)	4	3	2	1



improvement of his work accomplishment						
12. Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1	
Score	Total					
<b>B. Leadership &amp; Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)</b>	Scale					
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1	
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Score						
Average Score		4.64				

Overall recommendation : \_\_\_\_\_

  
**MARLON M. TAMBIS/EDGARDO E. TULIN**  
 Assistant Director/Director



PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

X	1 <sup>st</sup>	Q U A R T E R
X	2 <sup>nd</sup>	
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	
	4 <sup>th</sup>	

Name of Office: **PhilRootcrops**

Head of Office: **Dr. Edgardo E. Tulin & Prof. Marlon M. Tambis**

Name of Personnel: **Noel V. Borigon**

Activity Monitoring	MECHANISM				Remarks
	Meeting		Memo	Others (Pls. specify)	
	One-on-One	Group			
<b>Monitoring</b>  <u>1<sup>st</sup> Quarter / 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter</u>  a. Monitoring of the assigned office activities	One-on-one discussion with the concerned staff regarding feedback from other personnel and visitors on the assigned office activities e.g cleaning of staff rooms, comfort room and surroundings	Meeting with staff under the Administrative Division to tackle issues (negative and positive feedback) of other PhilRootcrops personnel	Memo to attend the meeting		Negative feedback from concerned personnel were addressed e.g. dirty and smelly comfort rooms to clean and comfortable CRs
<b>Coaching</b>  Coaching of staff on the proper procedure in doing the assigned tasks  Encouraging the staff under the Admin Div to attend learning and development trainings offered by the University	One-on-one coaching	Group coaching through meetings and even in group discussions			Positive response to the coaching activity, negative feedback on the assigned office activity were immediately addressed

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

**PRECILA C. BELMONTE**  
Immediate Supervisor

Noted by:

**MARLON M. TAMBIS / EDGARDO E. TULIN**  
Assistant Director/Director

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: **NOEL V. BORIGON**

Performance Rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Aim: Clean comfort rooms and other assigned areas

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 1, 2023

Target Date: June 30, 2023

First Step:

- Meeting and coaching of staff to come up with procedures on how to clean the comfort rooms properly and other assigned areas; periodic check-up of the assigned areas

Result:

Clean CRs and other assigned areas

Date: July 1, 2023

Target Date: Dec 31, 2023

Next Step:

Periodic monitoring using the weekly monitoring chart; surprise monitoring to verify the accomplishment

Outcome: Clean and healthy surroundings and CRs

Final Step/Recommendation:

To maintain performance and or exceed the current performance.

To attend capability build-up trainings that will enhance individual skills and competencies; other trainings like health and wellness and stress management.

Prepared by:

**MARLON M. TAMBIS/EDGARDO E. TULIN**  
Asst. Director/Director

Conforme:

NOEL BORIGON

Name of Ratee /Faculty/Staff