## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

## **BRYAN P. REBUYAS**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2 X 3)
Numircal Rating per IPCR	4.85	0.70	3.40
Supervisor/Head's     assessment of his     contribution towards     attainment of office     accomplishments	4.92	0.30	1.48
	TOTAL NUME	RICAL RATING	4.87

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.87

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

4.87

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

BRYAN P. REBUYAS

Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Bryan P. Rebuyas, of the Procurement Services Management Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June 2017.

RYAN P. REBUYAS
Ratee

LICIA M. FLORES
Head, SPPMO

			Accomplishment	nt January					
MFO/PAPS	Program/Activities	Task Assigned	to June 2017	017		Rat	Rating		Remarks
	Olivertakeli		Target	Actual	Q1	E <sup>2</sup>	Т3	A <sup>4</sup>	
UMFO 6: General Admin	UMFO 6: General Administrative and Support Services								
OVPAF MFO 6: Procurement Services	nent Services								
SPPMO MFO1: Administ	SPPMO MFO1: Administrative and Support Services								
PI 1: Efficient and customer friendly Services	A.1: Frontline services	T 1: Serves and attends to cleints requests and inquiries	Zero percent complaint from clients served	Zero percent complaint from clients served	N	20	72	5.00	
PSMO MFO 6.2: Procure	PSMO MFO 6.2: Procurement Process Management								
PI 2: Procurement documents peparation and processing	A.1 : Number of PO's served and retrander of and from local suppliers suppliers	T1: Serves and retrieves PO's to and from local suppliers	400	430	2	5	5	5.00	
	A.2: Number of PO with items picked up at local suppliers	A.2: Number of PO with items T2: Pick-up S/M/E at local suppliers in Baybay City & other parts in Leyte	200	809	5	5	5	5.00	
	A.2: Number of trips conducted in hauling cargoes at Baybay Wharf	T2: Pick-up/haul cargoes at Baybay Wharf coming from VSU Cebu Office & Cebu City suppliers	96	125	2	5	5	5.00	
	A.3: Number of PO's with items delivered to end-users	T3: Deliver Supplies Materials and Equipment to end-users.	400	430	5	2	4	4.67	

	A.4: Number of RFQ T4: Serve & reserved/retrieved to/from local local suppliers suppliers	T4: Serve & retrieve RFQ to/from local suppliers	430	200	5	5	5	5.00	
PSMO MFO 6.3: Procure	PSMO MFO 6.3: Procurement Monitoring Management								
PI 2: Procurement documents peparation and processing	A.1: Number of PO with lacking deliveries completed & transaction completed for payments	T 1: Monitoring & completing of PO transactions for PO with lacking deliveries for payments	100	140	2	5	5	4.00	
	A.3: Number of emergency purchases conducted	T3: Conduct emergency purchases as assigned by head	50	50	5	5	5	5.00	
	A.4: Number of hours coducted washing vehicle assigned at SPPMO	T4: Conduct simple car maintenance (car washing etc.) to vehicle assigned at SPPMO.	20	30	2	5	2	5.00	
Total Over-all Rating					45	45	44	43.67	

×× Approved Additional points (with copy of approval) Average Rating (Total Over-all rating devided by 9) ADJECTIVAL RATING Additional Points: Punctuality FINAL RATING

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purposes:

4.85

Approved by:

Recommending Approval

Calibrated by:

Received by:

EDGARDO E. TULIN President

TERESITA L. QUINANOLA Head, PRPEO

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL **PMT** 

REMBERTO X. PATINDOL

Vice President

Date:

Date:

Date:

Date:

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: JANUARY-JUNE 2017

Name of Staff: BRYAN P. REBUYAS Position: ADMINISTRATIVE AIDE III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	<b>Descriptive Rating</b>	Qualitative Description			
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model			
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements			
3	Satisfactory The performance meets job requirements				
Fair The performance needs some development to meet job requirement					
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements			

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	_		Scale			
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1	
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay.	5	9	3	2	1	
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1	
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1	
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	(3)	4	3	2	1	
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non- routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment.	(3)	4	3	2	1	
12.	Willing to be trained and developed.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
	Total Score						59

by	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated higher supervisor)			Scale			
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Score						59
	Average Score						4.93

Overall recommendation :	

ALICIA M. FLORES Name of Head