COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Faculty Member: Mr. DIONESIO I. ESTUPA

| Program Involvement (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating (2 x 3) |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.40 | 70% | 3.08 |
| 2 Supervisory/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 4.82 | 30% | 1.45 |
| | | TOTAL, NUMERICAL RATING | 4.53 |

EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING:

4.53

Add: Additional Points, if any:

4.53

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

DIONESIO I. ESTUPA

Name of Administrative

WINSTON M. TABADA

Department Head

Approved by:

EDGARDO E. TULII

President ...

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY Visca, Baybay City, Leyte College of Engineering Visayas State University

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

in accordance with I, **DIONESIO I. ESTUPA**, Staff of the Department of Computer Science and Technology, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets

| Date: | Ratee | DIONESIO I. ESTUPA | THE STATE OF THE S | accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to Jun |
|-------|---------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | /Head of Unit | WINSTON M. TABADA | | y to June, 2016. |

ROBERTO C. GUARTE College Dean

Date:

Rating Equivalents:

5 - Outstanding
4 - Very Satisfactory
3 - Satisfactory
2 - Fair

| Cladoco. |
|------------------------------|
| Assist the laboratory |
| And the lebes |
| technical support |
| during enrolment as |
| Assists the registrar office |
| |
| Tasks Assigned |
| |
| |
| |

| | | S | | | | | | | Adjectival Rating | Adjectiv |
|------------------------------------|-----|--------|----|----------------------|-----------|---|---------------|---|-----------------------|----------|
| | | 4.47 | 4 | | | | | | Average Rating | Averag |
| | " | 22.333 | 22 | | | | | | Total Over-all Rating | Total C |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| needs and inquiries | | | | | clients | | | oci vico | | |
| clients with courtesy; | | | | nt from from clients | nt from f | mmediate response to client complaint needs and inclinities of the from clients | | customer-friendly frontline | | |
| 4.3 100% no complaint; served | | 4 | 4 | Zero 5 | Zero | Served clients with courtesy; | Service | PI 10. Efficient and | | |
| equipments. | | | - | | | | | | | |
| computer printers other IT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 LCDs, 16 Switch Hubs, 4 | | | | | | equipments. | | Equipments maintained. | | |
| 4.7 200 computer units, 6 servers, | 4.7 | 4 | 5 | 250 5 | 50 | Regular maintenance of IT | Documentation | PI 7. Number of IT | | |
| | | | | | | maintained | | | | |
| Internet cate and server room. | | | | | | room and Internet cafe | | Services (GASS) Internet cafe maintained. | Services (GASS) | |
| ICT 202, ICT 203, ICT 101, | | | | | | computer laboratory, server | | laboratory, server room and | & Support | |
| 5 4 4.7 ICT 103, ICT 201A & 201B, | 4.7 | 4 | 5 | 00 | 4 | Regular maintenance of the | Documentation | PI 6. Number of computer | MFO 6 General Admin. | MFO 6 |

Received by:

Planning Officer
Date:

Calibrated by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Date:

Recommending Approval:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

VP for Instruction

Date:

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN, Ph.D.

President

Date:

| | DJECTIVAL RATING |
|------|--|
| 4.40 | INAL RATING |
| | pproval) |
| | Approved Additional points (with copy of |
| | Punctuality |
| | Additional Points: |
| | y 6) |
| 4.40 | verage Rating (Total Over-all rating divided |
| | |

| Comments & Rec |
|---|
| Ğο |
| Recommendations for Development Purpose |
| o |
| Development P |
| urpose: |

| 1- Quality 2 - Efficiency 3 - Timeliness 4 - Average | Date: | Received by: Planning Office |
|--|-------|---|
| | Date: | Calibrated by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL PMT |
| | Date: | Recommending Approval: BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS VP for Instruction |
| | Date: | Approved by: |
| | | EDGARDO E. TULIN President |

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>January 1, 2016 – June 30, 2016</u>

Name of Staff: <u>DIONESIO I. ESTUPA</u> Position: <u>Laboratory Technician</u>

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| | usin | g the scale below. Encircle your rating. |
|-------|--------------------|---|
| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description |
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements |

| A. C | ommitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) | | 5 | Scale | 9 | |
|------|---|------------------|---|-------|---|---|
| 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 9. | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 10 | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 12 | Willing to be trained and developed | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

| | Total Score | | | | | |
|------|---|-----|-----|-------|---|---|
| | eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor) | | S | Scale | Э | |
| 1. | Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. | 5 |)4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 3. | Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 4. | Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 5. | Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | 5 (| (4) |)3 | 2 | |
| | Total Score | | 8 | 32 | _ | |
| | Average Score | | 4 | .8 | 2 | - |
| Over | rall recommendation : OUSTANDING | | | | | |

WINSTON M. TABADA