

PERSONAL RECORDS AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OFFCE

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines

Phone/Fax: +63 053 563 7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: ARGIE P. SINGSON

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.33	70%	3.031
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.66	30%	1.398
		TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.429

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.429
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.429
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING	4.429
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	VS

Prepared by:

Recommending Approval:

Name of Staff

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>ARGIE P. SINGSON</u> of the <u>WATER AND SEWERAGE SYSTEM MAINTAINANCE UNIT</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>JANUARY TO JUNE 2020</u>

Approved:

ARGIE P. SINGSON

MARLON G. BURLA Head, WSSMU

MFO & Performance Indicators	Program/Activities/Projects	Tasks Assigned	TARCET	Actual			Rating	D	
Will O & Performance indicators	Program/Activities/Projects	rasks Assigned	TARGET	Accomplishm ent	Q ¹	E ²	T³	A ⁴	Remarks
	PI 1.1 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated/implemented academic and research	,	2	3	4	4	5	4.33	,
MF01-Water distribution	PI 1.2 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated/implemented administrative buildings	Repairs water	1	3	4	4	5	4.33	,
systems for new and major repairs/ renovations	PI 1.3 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated/implemented IGP buildings and structures	Repairs water distribution system in VSU main Campus	1	2	4	4	5	4.33	,
	PI 1.4 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated Student/ Staff Housing units		2	3	4	4	5	4.33	,
	PI 2.1 No. of plumbing systems improvements/repairs inside academic and research buildings		20	25	5	4	4	4.33	,
MFO 2 Plumbing systems improvement and maintenance	PI 2.2 No. of plumbing systems improvements/repairs inside administrative buildings		25	27	5	4	4	4.33	
inside buildings	PI 2.3 No. of plumbing systems improvements/repairs inside IGP buildings and structures			10	12	5	4	4	4.33
,	PI 2.4 No. of plumbing systems improvements inside Student/staff housing units		10	11	5	4	4	4.33	,
MFO3, Water distribution systems repair and maintenance outside buildings	PI 3.1 No. of water distribution lines repaired		10	11	4	4	5	4.33	,
Total Over-all Rating			,					39.00	,

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	7		4.33	Comments & Recommendations
Additional Points:	,	,		for Development Purpose:
Punctuality:				* Training to Basic Occupational
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)	,			* Troining to Basic Occupational Sakety 21 Health
FINAL RATING	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		4.33	
ADJECTIVAL RATING	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		VS	
Evaluate & Rated by:	Recommending Approval:			Approved by:
MARQUNG.BURLAS	MARIO LILIOWALENZO	M/20/2000		REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Date

Supervisor

2-Efficiency

3-Timeliness

4-Average

Date:

1-quality

pirector, GSD

VP. For Adm. Finance

Date:_____



Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: +63 053 563 7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January to June 2020

Name of Staff: ARGIE P. SINGSON

Position: Plumbing Foreman

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. (Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		(Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1

	Total Score					
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale			
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	,	16			
	Average Score	4.106		-		

Overall recommendation :	
--------------------------	--

Printed Name and Signature Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: ARGHE P. CINGGON Performance Rating:
Aim: EFFECTIVE DECLUTRY OF SERVICE Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: Date:
Result:
Date:
Next Step:
Next Step: BASIC OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & AFEITH
Outcome:
Final Step/Recommendation:
Prepared by:
Unit Head
Conforme:
North P. SING SON
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff