



Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: **Dale P. Loreto**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.79	70%	3.35
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.73	30%	1.42
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.77

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: _____

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: _____

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: _____

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.77**

ADJECTIVAL RATING: **Outstanding**

Prepared by:

PRECILA C. BELMONTE
Temp. Administrative Officer

12/2/24

Reviewed by:

MARLON M. TAMBIS/ ALAN B. LORETO
Assistant Director/ Director

12/3/24

Approved:

SANTIAGO T. PEÑA JR.
VP for Res., Ext., &
Innovation

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, DALE P. LORETO, of the PhilRootcrops commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January 1, 2024 to June 30, 2024.

DALE P. LORETO

Ratee

12/3/24

Approved:

ALAN B. LORETO

Head of Unit

12/3/24

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Instruction	Number of students enrolled on GIS Laboratory classes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assist in teaching GIS Laboratory classes 	15	23	5	5	4	4.67	
Research Services	Number of Rootcrops Germplasm Online Database Improved:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved the Database for Sweetpotato, Cassava, Taro & Yam 	5	8	5	5	5	5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sweetpotato 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Layout the Database for Taro & Yam 	5	8	4	5	5	4.67	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cassava 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encode the data into the Database 	155	190	5	4	5	5.00	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Taro 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updating of Database 	8	23	5	5	5	5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yam 								
	Number of GIS Maps Developed for GIS research	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encode the data Process the data into the GIS Layout the Maps Convert the output map to image file for presentation Taking aerial photos/videos of VSU 	18 18 22 20 8	37 37 48 46 23	5 5 5 4 5	5 5 5 5 4	5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 4.67	

		campus & other research area using UAV/drone technology	8	23	5	5	5	5	
		• Process the data using the PIX4D mapper software	7	13	4	5	5	5	4-67
Extension Services	Number of Multimedia developed for Rootcrops Technology	• Designed a Multimedia for Rootcrops technology	3	5	4	5	5	5	4-67
		• Layout the Multimedia and encode the source code	3	5	5	4	5	5	5
		• Encode the data	3	5	5	5	5	5	5
		• Test/run the Multimedia	6	9	5	5	4	4	4-67
		• Update the Multimedia.	6	8	5	4	5	5	4-67
			6	8	4	5	5	5	4-67
Administrative Services	Developed and Maintain PhilRootcrops Website	• Developed home page	6	15	5	5	5	5	5
		• Gather information and encode the data							5
		• Upload the latest article to the web	8	17	5	5	5	5	5
		• Upload images	10	17	5	5	5	5	5
		• Update the webpage	12	17	5	5	5	5	5
	Number of computer units maintain and computer	• LAN installation and configuration of pc	5	7	5	4	5	5	4-67

	related equipments	for network.							
		• Troubleshoot of LAN connection	5	9	4	5	5	> 4.67	
		• Troubleshoot and repair hardware and software problems of computers	6	8	5	5	4		
		• Assemble computer pc's and install software and updates	3	6	5	5	5	5	
		• Register computer MAC Addresses for internet connection	6	10	5	5	5	5	
	Developed email address for the Center	• Provide computer specifications and accessories	5	7	4	5	5	> 4.67	
		• Provide email address	3	5	5	5	4		
	Desktop Publication								
	• Number of Poster Designed	• Poster layout developed	3	4	5	4	4	4.33	
	Total Over-all Rating								

4) Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by		4.79
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.79
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

To attend training workshop in relation to the conduct of research.

Evaluated and Rated by:

Recommending Approval

Approved by:

ALAN B. LORETO

Director

Date: 12/12/24

MARLON M. TAMBIS

Asst. Director

Date: 12/13/24

IVY C. EMNACE

Director for Research

Date: 12/19/24

SANTIAGO T. PEÑA

VP for Research, Extension and Innovation

Date: 12/19/24

1 - Quality 2 - Efficiency 3 - Timeliness 4 - Average

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

X	1st	Q U A R T E R
X	2nd	
	3rd	
	4th	

Name of Office: **PhilRootcrops**

Head of Office: **Alan B. Loreto/Marlon M. Tambis**

Number of Personnel: **Dale P. Loreto**




Activity Monitoring	MECHANISM				Remarks
	Meeting		Memo	Others (Pls. specify)	
	One-on-One	Group			
Monitoring	One-on-one discussion on project progress/ other task assigned e.g. database maintenance and GIS projects activities	Staff meetings under the Division			Project progress/ accomplishments within the desired project objectives
Coaching	Coaching through one-on-one discussion on proper database management and GIS activities Coaching on project development based on feedbacks from project evaluators/ critiques	Staff meetings under the Division			Project progress/ accomplishments within the desired project objectives

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

Noted by:


ALAN B. LORETO
Immediate Supervisor


MARLON M. TAMBIS
Asst. Director


ALAN B. LORETO
Director

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: **DALE P. LORETO**

Performance Rating: _____

Aim: To assist the project leader in research activities that involves mapping using GIS and databasing.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Attend workshops and trainings on specific crops modeling.

Date: July 2024

Target Date: Dec 2024

First Step:

-
- Request project leader for funds to participate in the trainings that will be conducted related to crop modeling
-

Result:

-
- Participated two workshops cum training on sensitivity, exposure and vulnerability assessment of crops.
 - Understood the capability of R programming software for current and future climatic event scenarios
-

Date: Jan 2025 Target Date: Jun 2025

Next Step:

-
- Apply the knowledge gained to other climate change projects of VSU
-


Outcome:

- Applied climate-risk vulnerability assessment to the projects conducted by VSU-PhilRootcrops for Leyte and Region 7
- Improved the process by using batch files for processing


Final Step/Recommendation:

- Become updated in crop modeling application software
-

Conforme:


DALE P. LORETO
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff

Prepared by:


MARLON M. TAMBIS
Asst. Director


ALAN B. LORETO
Director



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January-June 2024

Name of Staff: Dale P. Loreto

Position: Science Research Assistant

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	(4)	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	(4)	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1

8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score						
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score		52				
Average Score		4.73				
Overall recommendation:						


ALAN B. LORETO
 Director