



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: Zandro G. Israel

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.67	70%	3.269
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.52	30%	1.356
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.625

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.625

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.625

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.625

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

ZANDRO G. ISRAEL

Name of Staff 11-10-27

Reviewed by:

RODEN D. TROYO

Department/Office Head 11-10-27

Recommending Approval:

MARIO LILIO VALENZNA

Dean/Director

11-14-23

Approved:

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN


Vice President

11/28/23

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)


I, ZANDRO ISRAEL of the GROUND & LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE under of the PHYSICAL PLANT OFFICE commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: January - June 2023


ZANDRO G. ISRAEL
Ratee

Approved:

RODEN D. TROYO
Head, Unit


MFO & Performance Indicators	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
FMO1-Ground maintenance & waste management services	PI 1.1 well maintained campus grounds and waste properly collected and disposed	1. Prepares schedule of work activities of LSWMU personnels	5 schedule per work	8 schedule per work	5	5	4	4.67	
		2. Monitors daily work assignments of laborers	15 laborer	15 laborer	5	5	4	4.67	
		4. Monitors the collection and disposal of waste around the campus	5 days/week	5 days/week	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating								14.00	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)				4.67	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose: Basic occupation safety and health				
Additional Points:									
Punctuality:									
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)									
FINAL RATING				4.67					
ADJECTIVAL RATING									

Evaluate & Rated by:



RODEN D. TROYO
Supervisor
Date: 11-10-23

1-quality
2-Efficiency
3-Timeliness
4-Average

Recommending Approval:


MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Director, PPO
Date: 11-10-23

Approved by:


DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN
VP for Admin. & Fiance
Date: 11/20/23



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: **January- June 2023**

Name of Staff: **ZANDRO G. ISRAEL**


Position: **Admin. Aide III**

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1

11. Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12. Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score	58				
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score	24				
Average Score	4.52				
Overall recommendation	:				


RODEN D. TROYO
 Printed Name and Signature
 Head of GLU 11-10-23

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: ZANDRO G. ISRAEL

Performance Rating: January- June 2023

To develop skills and abilities in the organization needed to effectively performed the task.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 2023 Target Date: February 2023

First Step: Working as a team

Result: Improve intra-personal relationship

Date: April 2023 Target Date: June 2023


Next Step: Collaboration in the organization

Outcome: Building a working team

Final Step/Recommendation:

Effectively delivered the required service

Prepared by:


RODEN D. TROYO
Supervisor

11-10-23

Conforme:


ZANDRO G. ISRAEL
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff 11-10-23