# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

## **GASPAR S. ARPOCEPLE**

Particulars (1)		Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)	
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.53	70%	3.171	
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.50	30%	1.35	
	4.521				

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.521
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:	
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.521
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.521
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	VS

Prepared by:

MARIO C. BANTUGAN

Adm. Aide III

Reviewed by:

Vice Pres. for Adm. & Finance

Recommending Approval:


REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President



### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, GASPAR S. ARPOCEPLE of the WATER AND SEWERAGE SYSTEM MAINTAINANCE UNIT commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the

following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2018


Approved:

ASPAR S. ARPOCEPLE

Ratee

MARLON G. BURLAS Head, WSSMU

	Program/Activities/Projects	Toolse Assigned	TARGET	Actual Accomplish ment	Rating			Remarks	
MFO & Performance Indicators		Tasks Assigned			Q¹	E <sup>2</sup>	T³	A <sup>4</sup>	Nemarks
MFO1-Water distribution systems for new and major	PI 1.1 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated/implemented academic and research buildings	Repairs water distribution system in VSU main Campus	3	4	5	5	4	4.6666667	
	PI 1.2 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated/implemented administrative buildings		2	3	5	5	4	4.6666667	a.
MFO 2 Plumbing systems	PI 2.1 No. of plumbing systems improvements/repairs inside academic and research buildings	Repairs water distribution system in VSU main Campus	55	60	5	4	4	4.3333333	
improvement and maintenance inside buildings	PI 2.2 No. of plumbing systems improvements/repairs inside administrative buildings		45	55	5	5	4	4.6666667	
MFO3, Water distribution systems repair and maintenance outside buildings	PI 3.1 No. of water distribution systems in new and renovated/implemented IGP buildings and structures		20	25	5	4	4	4.3333333	
Total Over-all Rating								22.67	



Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4) Additional Points:	4.534	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:
Punctuality:		+ Training on Basic occupational on safety a HEALTH (BOSH)
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)  FINAL RATING	4.534	* TECHNICAL SEMANAR ON PPR
ADJECTIVAL RATING	VS	* IFCHAICHE DING

Evaluate & rated by

Supervisor

Recommending Approval:

ARIO LUNO VALENZONA

Approvedby:

PLETINGERS AND ATTINDOL VICE PRES. FOR ADM.

#### Annex O

# Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff


		Rating Period:	Junaury to June 2018
Name of Staff:	GASPAR S. ARPOCEPLE	Position: Adm. Ai	de V

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description			
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model			
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements			
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements			
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.		
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements			
Commitme	ent (both for subordinates		Scal	е	
1	Demonstrates sensitivity business with the office for	to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting ulfilling and rewarding.		4	
2		ients even beyond official time			8
		ine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED,			
3		A, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time	1 1	4	1
J	by rendering overtime wo	ork even without overtime pay			
	Accepts all assigned task	s as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs		4	
4	within the prescribed time		1	丄	
E	Commits himself/herself	to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-		4	1
5	employees who fail to pe	rform all assigned tasks		11	╄
6		on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out			5
0	on personal matters and	logs out upon departure from work.		<del>                                     </del>	1,
7	Keeps accurate records	of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.		4	_
8	1 **	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients			
	Accepts additional tasks	assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment			
9	is not related to his positi		1	15	
	university			┸	
		uring lean periods by performing non-routine functions the			1,
10	outputs of which results a		İ	5	
	or satisfaction of clientele			<b>_</b>	┸
11		ms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of	1 1		19
	his work accomplishmen			-	
12	Willing to be trained and	developed			] 3
		Total Score	54		
B. Lea	adership & Management (	For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor	Sca	le	
	Demonstrates mastery a	nd expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and		1	T
1	confidence from subord	inates and that of higher superiors	1		L
	Visionary and creative to	draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the			T
2	office/department aligne	d to that of the overall plans of the university.			╀
	Innovates for the purpos	e of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational	1 1		
3	processes and functions	of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.		+-	╀
4		or the overall performance and in delivering the output required of			
4	his/her unit.	monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved	<del>                                     </del>	+	+
		ess in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the			
5	attainment of the calibra		1 1		
	Tattaininent of the calibra	Total Score	1 —	1	$\dagger$
		Average Score	12:50		

Overall recommendation

WSEMU CHEAD



## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: <b>GASPAR S</b>	S. ARPOCEPLE	•	
Performance Rating: Very Satisf	actory		
Aim:		•	
Proposed Interventions to Improv	e Performance:		
Date:	Target Date:		
First Step:		•	
	•		
Result: Attend Training on Basic (	Occupational on Safety &	& Health (Bosh), Te	echnical Welding
Seminar on PPL			
Date:	Target Date:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Next Step:		·	
Outcome:			
D' 10. /D		·	
Final Step/Recommendation:	·		
	-	1.0	<b>x</b> -
	Prepared by:		$\mathcal{Y}$
		MARLONG. 1	BURLAS
	•	Supervis	sor Sor
Conform:	. /		

GASPAR S. ARPOCEPLE Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff

LadidaE

		1	
# 1 1	(TARING)	KIVJ4:	TOWN TOTAL

Approximation of the state of t

RESIDENT (COMPANY) FOR A STATE OF THE STATE

and the same of the same and the control of the con

Control (see set)

. Talk Low

- anvilo

Ving Stepth on namengoling

July Generality

Contourat

THE PROPERTY OF THE CORE

30 h A Care No