COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: **Reyanldo V. Dosdos** January – June 2016

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.85	X70%	3.40
2.Supervisor/ Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.67	X30%	1.40
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING	G .		4.80

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

4.80

Outstanding

Prepared by/.

MARCO L. CABRAS

Utility/ messenger

Recommending Approval:

Reviewed by:

LEGARTOB. RAMOS

ILMU Init Head

MBERTO A. PATINDOL Chairman, PMT

Aprroved:

President

"Exhibit B"

I, Reyneldo V. Dosdos, commits to the deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in agree with the indicated measures for the period January to June 2016.

DOSDOS

Approved: <u>LEGARNO B. RAMOS</u>
Dept. Head

MFO & PAPs	Success	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual /		Ra	ting		Remarks
MI O G I AI 3	Indicators	Tusks Assigned	raiget	Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Repair of IT Equipments	Number of Repaired and maintained IT Equipment	IT Equipment toubleshooting based on job request							
		Cleaning of unit Scan for virusi backup files Hardware installation Software installation update antivirus restore files to main drive	30	36	5	5	5	5	
	Number of Electronic Printer	Create Continues Ink System(CIS)	3	4	5	5	5	5	
	Repaired	Troubleshooting /Repair/Testing	10	21	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of Desktop Monitor/LCD Repaired	General cleaning and checkup for repair Troubleshooting /Repair/Testing	10	14	5	5	5	5.00	

	Number of AVR Repair	Ger I cleaning and eckup for repair Troubleshooting /Repair/Testing	3	5	5	5	4	4.67	
	Repaired	General cleaning and checkup for repair Troubleshooting /Repair/Testing	5	5	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of Research data recovered and	Ensures 100% data recovery	20	35	5	5	5	5.00	
9 °	Number of Advising/Assisting	Advices clientile regarding minor computer trouble by phone	5	5	5	5	4	4.67	
	Make monthly report	Make a list of units restored for billing purposes	10	15	5	5	4	4.67	

Total Over-all Rating

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)
Additional Points:

Punctuality
Approved Additional points
(with copy of approval)

FINAL RATING
ADJECTIVAL RATING

R	e	ce	ĺ٧	ed	by	1:

Planning Office

Calibrated by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

PMT

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A PATINDOL

Vice President

Approved by:

EDGARDO A. TULIN

President

4.85

Date:

Date:

Date:

Date:

1 - quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January - June 2016

Name of Staff: Reynaldo V. Dosdos Position: Admin Aide VI

Instruction to super visor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

	A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time.	(5)	4	3	2	
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay.	5	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks.	(5)	4	3	2	
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	-
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	
8.	Suggest new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	(5)	4	3	2	
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university.	(5)	4	3	2	
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele.	5	4	3	2	
11.	Accepts objective criticism and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment.	(5)	4	3	2	
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	
	TOTAL SCORE	5	6			
	AVERAGE SCORE	4	+. (07		

B. Leadership & Management (for supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scal	ē	
 Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors 	5	4	3	2	
Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	
 Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. 	5	4	3	2	
 Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. 	5	4	3	2	
 Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit. 	5	4	3	2	
Total Score Average Score		-			_

Overall Recommendation	<u> </u>
Overall Recommendation	•

LEGARIO B. RAMOS Name of Head