Annex P

# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

#### Geronimo T. Tumulak

Particulars (1)		Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerica Rating (2x3)		
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.45	70%	3.115		
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.92	30%	1.476		
		4.591				

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Prepared by:

MARIO E. BALIAD

**Immediate Supervisor** 

4.591

4.591

4.591

. . .

VS

Recommending Approval:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Director, GSD

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President

### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>GERONIMO T. TUMULAK</u> of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>January-June 2019</u>

Approved:

GERONIMO T. TUMULAK

Ratee

MARIO E. BALIAD Head of Unit

MFO & Performance				Actual	Rating					
Indicators	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplis hment	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks	
	PI 1.2 Rendered driving services within the specified period	Conduct solid waste management personnel in the collection and disposal of garbage (Main Job)	320	330	5	5	4	4.67		
	PI 1.2 Monitored the maintenance of the assigned vehicles	Assisted in maintaining and repairing of garbage truck	40	50	5	5	4	4.67		
	PI 1.3 Redered general chck-up and rendered	Helped in venue preparation of different activities of the VSU	25	30	5	4	4	4.33		
FMO1 Driving of Garbage Truck		Helped in the maintenance of the cleanliness and beautification in the campus ground and surroundings	15	20	5	4	4	4.33		
	PI 1.4 Maintained the cleanliness of the garage area.	Attended to the request of higher official and other departments of the VSU	15	20	5	4	4	4.33		
		Performed other duties as maybe assigned by the president of higher officials of the VSU	20	25	5	4	4	4.33		
Total Over-all Rating								26.67		

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	4.45	Comments & Recommendations
Additional Points:		for Development Purpose:
Punctuality:		Kundagicolo in dealin
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)		inth the wante.
FINAL RATING	4.45	disposed permuel
ADJECTIVAL RATING	VS	

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIO E. BALIAD

Supervisor

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Director, GSD

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President

# Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January to June 2019

Name of Staff:	GERONIMO T. TUMULAK	Position:	Admin. Aide I

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description					
5	Outstanding  The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model						
4	Very Satisfactory The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements						
3	Satisfactory The performance meets job requirements						
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.					
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements					
	A. Commitment (b	oth for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale		
1	Demonstrates sensitivity to with the office fulfilling and re	client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business ewarding.	5	4	3	2	
2	Makes self-available to clien	ts even beyond official time	3	4	3	2	
3		reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work	3	4	3	2	
4	Accepts all assigned tasks a prescribed time.	is his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the	5	A	3	2	
5	Commits himself/herself to her to perform all assigned tasks	elp attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail	6	4	3	2	
6	Regularly reports to work on matters and logs out upon d	time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal eparture from work.	3	4	3	2	
7	Keeps accurate records of h	er work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(A)	4	3	2	T
8	Suggests new ways to further	er improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university				3	2	
10		ng lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which at further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	3	4	3	2	
11	Accepts objective criticisms accomplishment	and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work	3	4	3	2	
12	Willing to be trained and dev	reloped	(3)	4	3	2	
		Total Score		59			
	B. Leadership & Managemen	t (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor			Scale		
1	Demonstrates mastery and subordinates and that of high	expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from ner superiors	3	4	3	2	
2	Visionary and creative to dra to that of the overall plans of	w strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned the university.	3	4	3	2	
3	functions of the department/	improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	0	3	2	
4	Accepts accountability for th	e overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	3	4	3	2	
5		ng their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets	3	4	3	2	
		Total Score					
		Average Score	/	1.9	)		_

verall recommendation		
		•
		4
	-	Supervisor

# **EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

Name of Employee:		GERONIMO T. TUMULAK	
Performance Rating:		January- June 2019	
Aim:			
Proposed Interv	ention/	s to Improve Performance:	
Date:		Target Date:	
First Step: Der	monstra	ated expertise in all aspects of job activities	
Result:			
Date:		Target Date:	
Next Step:			
Outcome:			
Final Step/Reco	mmen	dation:	
	2.0	Prepared by:	W
			MARIO E- BALAD
Conforme:			Director, GSD
		Junet	

Retee