COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Staff: ALEXANDER P. RABOR

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical rating per IPCR	3.78	70%	2.65
Supervisors/Head's assessment of His contribution towards Attainment of office accomplishments	4.06	30%	1.22
·	TOTAL NU	JMERICAL RATING	3.87

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.87

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERIAL RATING:

3.87

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VS

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Name of Staff

Department/Office Head

Approved:

REMBERT

Chairman, PMT

"Exhibit B"

I, ALEXANDER P.RABOR, of the <u>SECURITY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT OFFICE</u> commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets/accomplishment in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July 1 to December 31, 2018</u>

ALEXANDER P. RABOR

Ratee

CELSO GUMAOD

Head, Security Office

	Program/Activities/		ACCOMP	LISHMENT			Rating			
MFO / PAPS	Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Percentage	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)										
VPAF MFO 7: Security Services and Management Office										
Security Services Management MFOs:										
MFO 3. Public Safety										
PI. 1. Number of hours implementation of road traffic safety during rush hour	Traffic safety	Guide pedestrian and assist students, Faculty and Staff in crossing the highway								
MFO 4. Maintain Peace and Order										

PI 1. Number of hours fixed post being manned	Manning fixed Post (Post 1,2, Administration Building and Market area)	Checking in/out all motorized vehicle, Entering on the blotter all report incidents, Checked and assist all visitors, Checked/reprimand unlicense motorcycle driver, Received packages/money/letters and facilitate the owners to withdraw, Records trip ticket of VSU vehicles, Checking student, faculty and Staff ID's, Monitor in/out of Staff, Opening and closing of market gate including PCC and Holy spirit gate and Inspection of all stalls after closing time.	300	600	200%	4	4	3	3.666	
PI 2. Number of hours in the Campus properly roved	Campus roving	Observed area of responsibility (AOR)	35	68	194%	4	3	4	3.666	
Pl. 3. Number of orders/directives from higher office implemented	Orders/directives compliance/implementat ion on different memorandum circulars	Apprehend/reprimand violators on vandalism; Picking fruits, flowers, plants, etc. on campus without permission; Public disturbance; Trespassing; Littering; Intrusion VSU	6	6	100%	4	4	4	4	Remarks
TOTAL OVER-ALL RATING	at a measures for the p	properties; No smoking policy; Improper disposal of solid waste; and Curfew policy.	Traile E cours	: \$2 (14) \sigma_1	gus nhay.) para		g3a - +6 y 3	pumul c	o sud
THING SHE THE									11.33	

Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by3)		3.78
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	ХX	
Approved additional points(with copy of approval)	хх	
FINAL RATING		3.78
ADJECTIVAL RATING		VS

Evaluated and Rated by;

Approved by;

CELSO GUMAOD
Head/Office Head

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance

Date:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Comments and Recommendation for Development Purpose;

Protect the interest of our unit and our clients
without compromise and prejudice and be honest on your
actions, words and thought.

Position: Security Guard-1

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July 1 to December 31, 2018

Name of Staff: ALEXANDER P. RABOR

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

CITO OOUIT	below. Litelicie yo	ar rading.
Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. (Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		9	Scal	е	
1.	Takes charge of the post and all government properties in view.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Walks during his tour-of-duty in a military manner, keeping always on the alert and observing everything that takes place within sight or hearing.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Reports all violation of orders he is instructed to enforce.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Repeats all calls from posts more distant from the guard house where he is stationed.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Quits his post only when properly relieved.	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Receives, obeys and passes on to the relieving guard all orders from the university heads, SSO head, security officer or shift supervisors.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Talks to no one except in line of duty.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Gives the alarm in case of fire or disorder.	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Calls the superior officer in any case not covered by instructions.	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Salutes all university officials, his superiors, ranking public officials and commissioned officers of the Armed Forces of the Philippines.	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Especially watchful at night, and during the time of challenging, challenges all persons near his post and allows no one to pass without proper authority.	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Wears his uniform, badge, patches and insignia in a proper manner, and neat in appearance as a symbol of public trust and confidence.	5	4	3	2	1
13.	Familiarizes himself diligently with the rules and regulations laid down by the university and that of the customers or clients.	5	4	3	2	1
14.	Learns at heart or memorizes and strictly observes the laws and regulations governing the use of firearms.	5	4	3	2	1
15.	Acts at all times with decorum and does not permit personal feelings; prejudices and undue friendship to influence his actuations in the performance of his official functions.		4	3	2	1
	Total Score	6	April 1	Dam.	4.0	6

3. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scale		
Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1
Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.		4	3	2	1
Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score				I	
Average Score				1.	

Overall recommendation	:
------------------------	---

CELSO GUMAOD Name of Head

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: ALEXANDER P RABOR

		Date			Quality of	Over-all	Remarks/
Task Description	Expected Output	Assigned	Accomplish	accomplished	Output*	assessment of output**	Recommen- dation
Manning fixed post	Effective manning of fixed post as per SOP	Refer to weekly guard detail	End of weekly Guard Detail Order	December 28 2018	VS	VS	Observance of 11 General Order
Campus Roving	AOR properly observed	Refer to weekly guard detail	End of weekly Guard Detail Order	December 28 2018	VS	VS	
	Manning fixed post	Manning fixed post Effective manning of fixed post as per SOP Campus Roving AOR properly	Manning fixed post Effective manning of fixed post as per SOP weekly guard detail Campus Roving AOR properly Refer to weekly	Task Description Expected Output Assigned Accomplish Accomplish And of weekly guard detail Accomplish Accomplish	Task Description Expected Output Assigned Accomplish accomplished Beffective manning of fixed post as per SOP Manning fixed post Assigned Refer to weekly guard detail Order Campus Roving ACCOMPLISH Accomplish Becember 28 2018 Campus Roving ACCOMPLISH ACCOMPLISH ACCOMPLISH ACCOMPLISH Becember 28 2018 December 28 2018	Task Description Expected Output Assigned Accomplish accomplished Output* Output* Manning fixed post Manning fixed post Accomplish Effective manning of fixed post as per SOP Manning fixed post Accomplish End of weekly Guard Detail Order Campus Roving AOR properly observed Accomplish Accomplish Output* Output*	Task Description Expected Output Assigned Accomplish accomplished Output* assessment of output** Manning fixed post Manning fixed post Accomplish Effective manning of fixed post as per SOP Manning fixed post Accomplish End of weekly Guard Detail Order Campus Roving AOR properly observed Accomplish Accomplish Accomplish Output* Subsequence of output** Accomplish Cand of weekly Guard Detail Order December 28 2018 VS VS VS VS VS VS VS VS VS V

^{*} Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor ** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:

CELSO ĞUMAOD Head, Security Office

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

1st	Q U
2 nd	A
3 rd	R T
4th	E R

Name of Office: SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE

Head of Office: CELSO GUMAOD

Name of Personnel: ALEXANDER P. RABOR Signature:

Qummmmm Date:

A -11 11		MECHANISM							
Activity Monitoring	Meeti One-on-One	ng Group	Memo	Others (Pls. specify)	Remarks				
Monitoring	The head of office and shift supervisor conducted on-the – spot follow up observations and inspection of detailed SG in his AOR.	Participation of the SGs and admin staff meeting in the different activities conducted by the head of office.	President Memo on the different university event/celebrations.	LOI and verbal instructions of the University President and OVPAF.	Security Guard concerned was informed of his assignments and properly monitored.				
Coaching	The concerned staff was informed of the outcome of the previous office performance especially concerning drawbacks on their assigned tasksAdvices were given to the concerned SG.	Security guards attended command conference/ meetings to iron out what is best he can contribute the unit.	SSO memo., orders and LOI issued	Weekly duty detail order was issued to concerned SG	Narrative instruction was given and encouragement to do much better.				

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

CELSO GUMAOD Head, Security Office Noted by:

Vice Pres. for Admin & Finance

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Alexander P. Rabor Performance Rating: VS
Aim: <u>To improve performance</u> .
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: July 2018 Target Date: End of September 2018
First Step: Review the Eleven General Orders.
Result:
More aware of their respective duties and responsibilities.
Date: October 2018 Target Date: End of December 2018
Next Step: Attendance of general meeting and special conference with regards to
operation.
Outcome: Can easily respond to any form of incident happened in the campus
Final Step/Recommendation:
Attendance of security seminars/trainings.
Prepared by: Celso Gumaod Head, Security Office
Conforme: Alexander P. Rabor Name of Ratee/Staff