# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

July - December 2018

Name of Administrative Staff:

### RAUL T. BAGARINAO

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.67	70%	3.27
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	30%	1.45
	TOTAL NUM	ERICAL RATING	4.72

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.72
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

RAUL BAGARINAO

Vame of Staff

FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR.

Office Head

Recommending Approval:

FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR.

Executive Assistant

Approved:

EDCARDO E. TULIN

President

#### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>RAUL BAGARINAO</u>, of the <u>Office of the President</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July – <u>December 2018</u>.

RAUL BAGARINAO

Approved:

FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR.

Head of Unit

				Target for		Rating				Remarks
Univ. MFO & PAPs	OP MFO	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	July – Dec. 2018	Actual Accomplishment	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
MFO 6. General Adm. and Support Services	OP MFO 1	Percentage of documents processed & released within the day it is acted by the President or OIC	Utility work	97%	100%	5	4	5	4.67	
		Percentage of UAC/UADCo/OP memo/notices/minutes delivered	Messengerial work	97%	100%	5	5	4	4.67	
		Clean and maintained offices and comfort rooms at Adm. Bldg (3 <sup>rd</sup> level)	Janitorial work	4 offices, 3 comfort room, lobby area	4 offices, 3 comfort rooms, lobby area	4	5	5	4.67	
Total Over-all									14.01	
Rating										

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 3)	4.67
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	
FINAL RATING	4.67
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Outstanding

1 – Quality; 2 – Efficiency; 3 – Timeliness; 4 – Average

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Need to adopt measures in exercise of duties with decreased mangrover for willing / messergerial / building upleep.

	Recommending Approval:  FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR.	Approved by:
Unit Head  Date:	Executive Assistant  Date:	President Date:
Date	Date.	Date.

#### Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>July – December 2018</u>

Name of Staff: <u>Raul T. Bagarinao</u> Position: <u>Administrative Aide I</u>

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description			
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model			
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements			
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements			
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.			
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements			

Α.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	$\left(\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 2 \end{array}\right)$	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	14	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	(4)	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	$\binom{5}{2}$	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	<b>(5)</b>	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5		3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(§)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	[9	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1

							•
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5)	4	3	2	1	
	Total Score		58				
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		S	Scale	9		
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	<b>(5)</b>	4	3	2	1	7
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	<b>(</b>	3	2	1	YX
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	<b>(4)</b>	3	2	1	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	<b></b>	4	3	2	1	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	Ø	4	3	2	1	
	Total Score						
	Average Score		4.9	33			

Overall recommendation

EDGARDO E. TULIN

## PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

1st	Q U
2 <sup>nd</sup>	A R
 	R
3 <sup>rd</sup>	Τ
 	Ε
4th	R

Name of Office: Office of the President

Head of Office: Francisco G. Gabunada, Jr.

Name of Faculty/Staff: Raul Bagarinao Signature:

\_\_Date:\_\_\_\_\_

		V	/		
		MECHA	NISM		
Activity Monitoring	Meeting		Memo	Others (Pls.	Remarks
	One-on-One Group	iviemo	specify)		
Monitoring	First workinday of the month  As the need arises:	E			
Coaching	Second week od the month As the need arises.				

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR.

Immediate Supervisor

Verified by:

EDGA DO E. TULIN

Next Higher Supervisor

cc:

OVPI

ODAHRD PRPEO

# EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Raul Bagarinao Performance Rating:
Aim: /Improve execution of messengerial, jjanitorial and other utility functions.
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: Target Date: July 2018
First Step: Interact and discuss with other staff within the assigned building/cluster that have similar job description to share experience and plan out refirement in task execution to conform with the decreased manpower availability.  Result:  Staff starts implementing identified refirements of tasks.
Date: Target Date: August 2019
Next Step: Identify and tests adjustment in execution of tasks to
Conform with the decreased manpower availability
Outcome: Staff identifies appropriate sessengerial, janitorial and other utility functions.
Final Step/Recommendation:
Adopt appropriate messengerial, janitorial and other utility functions.
Prepared by:  FRANCISCO G. GABUNADA, JR.  Unit Head

Conforme: