



**COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

**Annex P**

Name of Administrative Staff: TEODOMERO C. RATILLA

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.65	70%	3.26
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	30%	1.45
<b>TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING</b>			<b>4.71</b>

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.71

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:                     

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.71

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.71

ADJECTIVAL RATING: OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

TEODOMERO C. RATILLA  
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

ULYSSES A. CAGASAN  
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:


VICTOR B. ASIO  
Dean/Director

Approved:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS  
Vice President


## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT &amp; REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, TEODOMERO C. RATILLA, of the DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021.

  
**TEODOMERO C. RATILLA**  
 School Farm Demonstrator  
 Date: 1/7/2022

Approved:

  
**ULYSSES A. CAGASAN**  
 Department Head  
 Date: Jan 7, 2022

  
**VICTOR B. ASIO**  
 College  
 Date: 1/18/22

MFO & PAPS	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Accomplishment		Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks
			Target	Actual					
Administrative Support Services	No. of rice varieties planted	Supervise, monitor farm operations related to instruction, research, extension and production activities	3	4	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of corn varieties planted		2	2	4	5	5	4.67	
	No. of perennial crops planted and maintained		3	3	4	4	5	4.33	
	No. of legume crops planted & maintained		2	2	4	5	4	4.33	
	No. of production projects maintained		2	2	4	5	4	4.33	
	No. of croppings conducted		2	2	4	4	4	4.00	
	No. of annual crops planted and maintained		7	7	4	5	4	4.33	
	Quantity of rice seeds produced (kg)		775	1182	5	5	5	5.00	
	Quantity of corn seeds produced (kg)		60	100	5	5	4	4.67	
	Quantity of rice seeds released (kg)	Issue and release seeds to buyers/students	750	1102	5	5	5	5.00	
	Quantity of corn seeds released (kg)		20	62	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of clients served		50	28	4	5	4	4.33	
	Income generated		25000.00	45031	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of laboratory classes assisted	Issues needed farm supplies and materials	6	6	4	5	5	4.67	
	No. of student research assisted		5	7	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of laborers supervised	Supervise laborers in	1	1	4	4	5	4.33	
	No. of project reports prepared and submitted	Prepare periodic project	3	3	4	4	5	4.33	
	No. of farm tools/implements requested and procured	Request farm tools/implements/ supplies and materials	4	6	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of farm supplies (fertilizers, etc) procured		5	6	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of other assigned tasks performed on time		2	3	4	5	5	4.67	



Total Over-all Rating						93.00	
-----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	-------	--


Comments & Recommendation for Development Purpose:

maintain good practice in the office such as extend time for work if needed.

tcratilla...

Average Rating		4.65
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional Points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.65
ADJECTIVAL RATING		

Evaluated & Rated by:

  
ULYSSES A. CAGASAN

Dept/Unit Head

Date: Jan 7, 2022

1- Quality

2- Efficiency

3- Timeliness

Recommending Approval:

  
VICTOR B. ASIO

Dean/Director

Date: 1/18/22

4- Average

Approved by:

  
BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

VP for Academic Affairs

Date: 1/20/22



## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July-December 2021

Name of Staff: TEODOMERO C. RATILLA Position: SCHOOL FARM DEMOSTRATOR

**Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.**

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1



	Total Score	58				
<b>B. Leadership &amp; Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)</b>		Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1	
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Score	58				
	Average Score	4.83				

Overall recommendation :

*outstanding*

*MYSES A. CAGASAN*  
 Printed Name and Signature  
 Head of Office

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: TEODOMERO C. RATILLA

Performance Rating: OUTSTANDING

**Aim:** To sustain the outstanding rating

### Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance

Date: January 2021

Target Date: December 2021

#### First Step:

To attend trainings and seminars to improve skills and be able to assess TESDA-related courses

#### Result:

Attended and satisfactorily passed the TM training in TESDA

Target Date: December 2022

#### Next Step:

To register as one of the TESDA assessor in Agricultural Crop Production

**Outcome:** Become one of the TESDA assessors in Agricultural Crop Production

#### Final Step/Recommendation:


Maintain production for income generating project of the university and supervision of student researchers (Thesis) in the department and become TESDA assessor

Prepared by:

ULYSSES A. CAGASAN

Unit Head

Conforme:

  
TEODOMERO C. RATILLA  
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff