



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: **MENDOZA, EDILBERTO V.**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
23. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.76	70%	3.33
24. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.91	30%	1.47
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.8

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.8
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.8

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.8

ADJECTIVAL RATING: 0

Prepared by:

EDILBERTO V. MENDOZA
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

JULIUS V. ABELA
Head, OUDRRM

Recommending Approval:

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN
Vice President for Admin & Finance

Approved:

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN
Chairman, PMT

"Exhibit B"

I, **EILBERTO V. MENDOZA**, of the **Office of University Disasted and Risk-Reduction Management** accomplished the following targets for the period **January - June 2022**.

EDILBERTO V. MENDOZA
Ratee

JULIUS V. ABELA
Head, OUDRRM

[illegible]

	Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)									
PI 2. Number of hours in the Campus properly roved	Campus roving	Observed area of responsibility (AOR)	745 hrs	1428 hours	5	5	5	5.0	
PI 4. Number of orders/directives from higher office implemented	Orders/directives compliance/implementation on different memorandum circulars issued by OP.	Apprehend/reprimand violators on vandalism; Picking fruits, flowers, plants, etc. on campus without permission; Public disturbance; Trespassing; Littering; Intrusion VSU prroperties; No smoking policy; Improper disposal of solid waste; Curfew policy; and COVID-19 health protocols	1460 hours	90%	5	5	4	4.7	Follow and implemented MEMO/ Advisory issued by top management and other offices
MFO 5. Administrative and Support Services Management									
PI 2. Number of VSU major events / program coordinated and secured	Safeguarding	Events on the campus properly secured	1	1	5	5	5	5.0	Secured VSU Anniversary
PI 4. Thermal Scanning of staff and personnel coming inside the campus	COVID-19 health protocols	Implement temperature checking at guard posts and checking of vaccine card upon entry to the VSU campus	90%	90%	4	5	4	4.3	Implement IATF protocols for safety measure against COVID
MFO 7. Proactive Risk and Disaster Management									
PI 1. DRRM programs/ trainings conducted in the campus in preparation to calamities/disaster	Facilitated trainings within the campus	Awareness for faculty, staff, and students for disaster preparedness	1	1	5	5	4	4.8 4.7	Facilitated the Earthquake and Fire Drill at Quadrant 3
TOTAL OVER-ALL RATING								4.81	

4.76

4.76

Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by 7)	4.81 ✓
---	--------

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

UNIT	Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)									
Additional Points:									
Approved additional points(with copy of approval)	XX								Continue to attend OPRM trainings & workshops for emergency, safety & personnel development
FINAL RATING		4.76							
ADJECTIVAL RATING		0							

Evaluated & Rated by:

JULIUS V. ABELA

Dept/Office Head

Date:

Approved by:

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN

Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance

Date:

- 1 - Quality
- 2 - Efficiency
- 3 - Timeliness
- 4 - Average



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January to June 2022

Name of Staff: EDILBERTO V. MENDOZA

Position: SECURITY GUARD III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.


Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

Total Score		4.91				
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	(5)	4	3	2	1	
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	(4)	3	2	1	
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	(5)	4	3	2	1	
Total Score		83				
Average Score		97.65/4.88				

Overall recommendation :

*Outstanding;
Very dependable; can work with minimal supervision.*


JULIUS V. ABELA
Printed Name and Signature
Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: MENDOZA, EDILBERTO V.
Performance Rating: VS

Aim: To enhance skills and be resilient

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: Jan 2022

Target Date: June 2022

First Step: Attend DRRM Training / Workshop

Result:

Date: April 2022

Target Date: June 2022


Next Step: Facilitate/Conduct Earthquake and Fire Drill

Outcome: Preparedness in times of calamity or disaster


Final Step/Recommendation:

Attend trainings relevant to security and DRRM programs.

Prepared by:


JULIUS V. ABELA
Head, OUDRRM

Conforme:


EDILBERTO V. MENDOZA
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff