

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

JANUARY to JUNE 2016

Name of Administrative Staff: Antonio Y. Abay-abay

Particulars	Numerical Rating	Percentage Weight	Equivalent Numerical Rating
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.70	70%	3.290
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.75	30%	1.425
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.715


TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.715

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:           


TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.715

ADJECTIVAL RATING: Outstanding


Prepared by:

  
ANTONIO Y. ABAY-ABAY  
Name of Staff


Reviewed by:

  
ROMEL B. ARMECIN  
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

  
REMBERTO A. PATINDOL  
Chairman, PMT

Approved:

  
EDGARDO E. TULIN  
President



## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT TARGETS & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

**ANTONIO Y. ABAYABAY**  
Ratee

**ROMEL B. ARMECIN**  
Head of Unit

[illegible]

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	XX	
FINAL RATING	4.70	
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Outstanding	

**Comments & Recommendations  
for Development Purpose:**

Received by:

  
DANIEL M. TUDTUD, JR.  
 Planning Office

Date: \_\_\_\_\_


- 1 – quality
- 2 – Efficiency
- 3 – Timeliness
- 4 – Average

Calibrated by:

  
REIMBERTO A. PATINDOL  
 PMT


Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Recommending Approval:

  
OTHELLO B. CAPUNO  
 Vice President

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by:

  
EDGARDO E. TULIN  
 President

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instrument Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff**

Rating Period: Jan - June 2016

Name of Staff: Antonio Y. Araybasay

Position: Admin Aide 4

**Instruction to supervisor:** Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2. Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks.	5	4	3	2	1
6. Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7. Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8. Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	5	4	3	2	1



9. Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
10. Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele.	5	4	3	2	1
11. Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment.	5	4	3	2	1
12. Willing to be trained and developed.	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score		57			
<b>B. Leadership &amp; Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)</b>		Scale			
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score		57			
Average Score		4.75			

Overall recommendation :

Needs further supervision

Baron  
Baron

Name of Head