## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: RAFAEL B. VERGARA JR.

Particulars (	(1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70%	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR		4.70	70%	3.29
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments		4.75	30%	1.43
TOTAL NUMB	4.71			

**EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING:** 

4.71

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.00

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.71

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.71

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

RAFAEL B. VERGARA JR.

Name of Staff

Recommending Approval:

WINSTON M. TABADA

Department/Office Head

ROBERTO C. GU

Dean, CoE

Approved by:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

VP for Instruction





## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Visayas State University Visca, Baybay City, Leyte, PHILIPPINES Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

I, RAFAEL B. VERGARA JR., an administrative staff of the DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY commits to deliver and agreed to be rated on attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June 2019.

RAFAEL B. VERGARA JR.

Ratee

WINSTON M. TABADA

Head

MFO &				Actual	Rating				Remarks		
PAPs	Success/ Performance Indicator (PI)	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplish ment	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>			
UMFO 2.	HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES					***************************************	***************************************				
MFO 2.	Student Management Services										
PI 6.	Additional outputs										
	Number of hours devoted for assisting student related activities	Preparation of documents needed	2	4	5	4	4	4.33			
	Number of related students activities attended	Attended	1	2	5	5	4	4.67	CS Organization and DCST Week Opening		
	Number of in-house seminars/ trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended										
					Total points		9.00				
UMFO 6.	GENERAL ADMINISTRATION & SUPP	ORT SERVICES									
MFO 1.	Administrative and Facilitative Service	es									
PI7.		PR preparation	2	5	5	5	4	4.67	15 units Desktop, Ceiling Moun Wifi, Ubiquiti Unifi AP AC Pro,, 15 License Microsoft Office 2016 and 15 License Windows 10		

DIA	A delition of Outrosite					T -	<u> </u>	T	T
	Additional Outputs Preparation and issuance of				1			+	
	documents								
	Number of documents prepared and	Preparation, encoding and submission	5	12	5	5	4	4.67	Payroll (JO), PR, PPMP, Report of Actual Teaching Load, IPCR OPCR, Annual Report
	Number of Incoming and Outgoing documents recorded & released	Recording	150	231	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of Registration Permit Issued	Issuance of BSCS registration forms during enrollment	200	55	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of evaluation conducted and results submitted to OVPI	Evaluation Facilitator	10	19	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of test papers, hand-outs and other instructional materials reproduced	Photocopying and printing/riso	400	900	5	5	5	5.00	
		Participant	1	2	5	4	4	4.33	ISO 9001:2015 Orientation, PPMP and PR Preparation Orientation
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	Preparation of supporting documents	0	92	5	4	4	4.33	Preparation of necessary documents needed ISO 9001:2015 Certification
MFO 2.	Frontline Services						,		
	Efficient and customer-friendly frontline service	Zero percent complaint from clients served	100%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	
PI 2.	Additional Outputs/Best Practices								
					Total F	oints		42.67	
	Total C	ver-all Rating				5	1.67		
	Ave	rage Rating				4	.70		
	Adjec	ctival Rating				Outs	tanding	3	

1 3

	4.70
0.2	
0.1	
,	4.70
	Outstanding

ecommending Approval:
OBERTO C. GUARTE, Ph.D.
Dean, College
1
ate:

2 - Efficiency3 - Timeliness4 - Average

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS, Ph.D.

VP for Instruction

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January 1 – June 30, 2019

Name of Staff: RAFAEL B. VERGARA JR. Position: Administrative Aide IV
Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	<b>Descriptive Rating</b>	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

<ol> <li>Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.</li> <li>Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time</li> <li>Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/a CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulato specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime</li> </ol>	agencies such as	<b>⑤</b>	4	3	2	1
3 Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/a CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulato	agencies such as	<b>(5)</b>	4	2		
CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulato				J	2	1
		5	4	3	2	1
<ol> <li>Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets outputs within the prescribed time.</li> </ol>	and delivers	5	4	3	2	1
<ol> <li>Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks</li> </ol>	ce by assisting co-	(5)	4	3	2	1
<ol><li>Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from</li></ol>		<b>(5)</b>	4	3	2	1
7. Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable v	when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
<ol> <li>Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the service clients</li> </ol>	es of the office to its	5	4	3	2	1
9 Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher office assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the functions of the university	ces even if the attainment of the	5	4	3	2	1
<ol> <li>Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routputs of which results as a best practice that further increase office or satisfaction of clientele</li> </ol>		5	4	3	2	8
<ol> <li>Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and inno improvement of his work accomplishment</li> </ol>	ovations for	5 (	4	3	2	-
12. Willing to be trained and developed		(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)				Scal	е	
Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors		5	4	3	2	The second secon
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans	and targets of the	5	4	3	2	

## **EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

Name of Employee: RAFAEL B. VERGARA JR.

Performance Rating: Outstanding

Aim: To build on the strengths of the employee and identify those areas the employee

needs improvement.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 2019

Target Date: January to June 2019

First Step: Attend training seminar for Administrative Staff.

Result: Improved customer service and work values.

Date: February 2019

Target Date: January to June 2019

Next Step: Attend training on "Effective Office Skills for Administrative Support Staff"

Outcome: Gained office skills for administrative support staff.

Final Step/Recommendation:

Prepared by:

Department Head

Conforme

RAFAEL B. KERGARA JR.

Admin. Aide IV