COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

Name of Administrative Staff:

MAY CLAIRE R. ABUNALES

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
5. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.89	4.89 x 70%	3.423
6. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.75	4.75 x 30%	1.425
	TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.85

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.00

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.85

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

ZON U. NUEVO

Head, Cash Office

Recommending Approval:

PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

I, May Claire R. Abunales , of the Cash Division commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period of January 1, 2019 to June 30, 2019

Approved:

CORAZON U. NUEVO Head of Unit

MEGRAD	MEGARAR				Rating				
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned		Accomplish	Q	Е	_	^	Remarks
				ment	l u	_	'	A	
ADMINISTRATION SUPPORT			zero	zero					
SERVICES & MANAGEMENT	Customer Friendly Frontline Service	Responsive and facilitated clients request.			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
MFO 1					0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	
	Number of external linkages for improved financial management	Requested Bank Statement to the deventor hours for	complaint	complaint	-	_			
	developed/maintained	Requested Bank Statement to the depository bank for verification of fund releases from other agencies	12	12	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	
		Processed bond application for Bonded Officials to Bureau of	_						
		Treasury	5	6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
		Prepared transmittal of checks to Manila Office	10	11	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	
DISBUSREMENT/	Percentage of funds disbursed with approved documents with customer								
PROCESING MFO2	satisfaction and error free	Delivered checks of VSU suppliers in Tacloban City	41	43	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	
FINANCIAL REPORTS	Number of monthly financial reports of all funds prepared, consolidated,	Prepared Report of Summary List of Check Issued and							
PREPARATION MFO3	approved and submitted to accounting office with complete supporting	Cancelled (SLCI) for General Fund (101)	6	6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
	document within the prescribe time, error free.								
COLLECTION SERVICES	Percentage of collection receipted and promptly deposited on the	Received & receipted income of the university as a Special							
MFO4	following working day.	Collecting Officer	3,000	3250	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
	2	Pagainted and recorded fried releases from Albertain in	0.5	50					
		Receipted and recorded fund releases from other agencies.	35	50	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
		Prioritized Senior Citizen/ PWD and Pregnant Women in							
	Number of best practices achieved.	paying school fees and other services.	100%	100%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
PRACTICES SERVICES MF06		paying series root and other derivious.							
Total Over-all Rating 44.0									
								11.0	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 9)	4.89	
Additional Points:		
Approved additional points(with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING	4.89	
ADJECTIVAL RATING		

LOUELLA C. AMPAC

Recommending Approval:

Director of Finance

Date:

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Needs more training/seminar to be developed. She has the capacity to became a future leader.

Approved by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President

Date:

Evaluated & Rated by:

CORAZON U. NUEVO Dept./Unit Head Date:

2- Efficiency

3- Timeliness

4- Average

1- Quality

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: JANUARY-JUNE 30, 2019
Name of Staff: MAY CLAIRE R. ABUNALES

Position: ADMIN. AIDE IV

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description				
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements				

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		(Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	3	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay				2	1
2.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
3.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.		4	3	2	1
5.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.		4	3	2	1
6.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients		4	3	2	1
7	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
8	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele		4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
0	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1

	Total Score								
B.	B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)				Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1			
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1			
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1			
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1			
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1			
	Total Score		5	7.00)				
	Average Score			4.75					

Overall recommendation	:	

CORAZON U. NUEVO Name of Head

EXHIBIT I

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: MAY CLAIRE R. ABUNALES

Task No.	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplish	Actual Date accomplished	Quality of Output*	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks/ Recommendation
1	Received & receipted university income of all funds	Daily	Within the day	Immediately upon presenting the request form	Very Impressive	О	
2	Prepared & generated SLCI	10 th of the following month	5 th day of the following month	3 rd day on the following month	Very impressive	О	
3	Followed-up releases from LBP	As need arises	As need arises	Immediately after request of the Project Leaders.	Impressive	VS	
4	Monitored & controlled releases of Fund transfer	Weekly	On the following day after received request	Immediately upon received notice/request.	impressive	VS	
5	Collected IGP income from the project site.	Daily	Within the day	Part of daily task per COA recommendation	VeryImpressive	О	

^{*} Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor ** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: MAY CLAIRE R. ABUNALES Performance Rating:
Aim: To be an excellent administrative worker.
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: Target Date: 2 nd Quarter
First Step: To update knowledge to be effective Asst. Collecting Officer and policies on Laws and Rules of Govt. Expenditures.
Result: Able to performed task assigned effectively
Date: July 2019 Target Date: 3rd Quarter
Next Step: Add more learning skills through trainings and seminars
Outcome: Effective and efficient front liner staff. Final Step/Recommendation:
Able to maintain a successful and effective/efficient as Asst. Collecting Officer.
Prepared by: CORAZON U. NUEVO Unit Head

MAY CLARER. ABUNALES Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff