Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

Carmelino I. Castañas

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.665	70%	3.2655
Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment		4.58	30%	1.374
		4.6395		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Prepared by:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

4.6395

4.6395

4.6395

Outstanding

Recommending Approval:

birector, GSD

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>CARMELINO</u> I. <u>CASTAÑAS</u> of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>July to December 2018</u>

Approved:

CARMELINO I. CASTAÑAS

Ratee

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Unit Head

MFO & Performance Indicators	Success Inditors	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishme nt	Rating				Downste
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
MFO1: Performance Indicators	PI-1: Completed repairs	various repair of Buildings	100	120	5	5	4	4.67	
MFO2: Furnitures Works	P2, 1-Completed repairs and fabrication	various repair and fabraication of furnitures	90	95	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating								9.33	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)				4.665	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:				mendations
Additional Points:									
Punctuality:									
Approved Additional point (with copy of			1 1				fortibe		
FINAL RATING				4.665	2 Sentare				
ADJECTIVAL RATING				0					

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Supervisor MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Director, SGD

REMBEERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President

Instrum Performance Effectiveness of Administrative St....

	Rating Period:	July-Dec. 2018
Name of Staff: Carmelino I. Castañas	Position: Adm. A	Nide V

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description						
5	Outstanding The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model							
4	Very Satisfactory	ctory The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements						
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements						
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.						
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements	·					
. Commitme	ent (both for subordinates a	nd supervisors)		,	Scale	•		
1	Demonstrates sensitivity to business with the office fulfil	client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting lling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2		
2	Makes self-available to clier	nts even beyond official time	(3)	4	3	2	-	
3		reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by en without overtime pay	5	4	3	2		
4	Accepts all assigned tasks a the prescribed time.	as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within	(5)	4	3	2		
5	who fail to perform all assig		(3)	4	3	2		
6	Regularly reports to work or personal matters and logs of	(5)	4	3	2			
7	Keeps accurate records of I	5	4	3	2			
8	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients					2		
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs					2		
10	of which results as a best posatisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2			
11	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment				3	2		
12	Willing to be trained and developed					2	L	
		Total Score	<u> </u>	22	0 1			
B. Le		For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and		· · · ·	Scale	•	Т	
1	confidence from subordina	tes and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	L	
2	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.				3	2	L	
3	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.				3	2		
4	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.				3	2		
5	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit					2		
		Total Score					_	
		Average Score	/	1-5	8			

Overall recommendation

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Director GSD

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee:	CAEMELINO I. CASTA	AÑAS	** *	
Performance Rating:	July 1 to December	31, 2019	·	•
Aim:				
Proposed Intervention	ons to Improve Perform	ance:		
Date:		Target Date:		
First Step: Attend T	ESDA training and semi	nars		
	•		<u> </u>	
Result:				
Date:		Target Date:		
Next Step:			·	
Outcome:				
Final Step/Recomme	ndation:			
				•
, .		Prepared by:		0,
				IO VALENZONA
) Ire	ctor, GSD